



Exhibit 22




Managing Hedge Fund Risk

Strategies and Insights from Investors,
Counterparties, Hedge Funds and Regulators
Second Edition

Edited by Virginia Reynolds Parker

Managing Hedge Fund Risk
**Strategies and Insights from Investors,
Counterparties, Hedge Funds and Regulators**
Second Edition

Edited by Virginia Reynolds Parker



Haymarket House
28-29 Haymarket
London SW1Y 4RX
Tel: +44 (0)20 7484 9700
Fax: +44 (0)20 7484 9800
E-mail: books@riskwaters.com
Sites: www.riskbooks.com
www.incisivemedia.com

Every effort has been made to secure the permission of individual copyright holders for inclusion.

© Incisive Media Investments Limited 2005

ISBN 1 904339 40 9

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Managing Editor: Laurie Donaldson
Development Editor: Tamsine Green
Copy Editor: Andrew John
Senior Designer: Matthew Hadfield

Typeset by Mizpah Publishing Services, Chennai, India

Printed and bound in Spain by Espacegrafic, Pamplona, Navarra

Conditions of sale

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form whether by photocopying or storing in any medium by electronic means whether or not transiently or incidentally to some other use for this publication without the prior written consent of the copyright owner except in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 or under the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Limited of 90, Tottenham Court Road, London W1P 0LP.

Warning: the doing of any unauthorised act in relation to this work may result in both civil and criminal liability.

Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the text at the time of publication, this includes efforts to contact each author to ensure the accuracy of their details at publication is correct. However, no responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of the material contained in this publication will be accepted by Incisive Financial Publishing Ltd.

Many of the product names contained in this publication are registered trade marks, and Risk Books has made every effort to print them with the capitalisation and punctuation used by the trademark owner. For reasons of textual clarity, it is not our house style to use symbols such as TM, ®, etc. However, the absence of such symbols should not be taken to indicate absence of trademark protection; anyone wishing to use product names in the public domain should first clear such use with the product owner.

Contents

List of Contributors	ix
-----------------------------	-----------

Introduction	xix
<i>Virginia Reynolds Parker</i>	
Parker Global Strategies LLC	

PART I: PERSPECTIVES FROM THE INVESTORS

1 The Risk in Measuring Hedge Fund Performance	3
<i>Mark J. P. Anson</i>	
CalPERS	
2 Measuring Hedge Fund Performance	19
<i>Ronald L-Liesching</i>	
Pareto Partners	
3 Implementation Considerations When Using Absolute-Return Strategies for Traditional Portfolio Risk Reduction	43
<i>Alan H. Dorsey</i>	
CRA RogersCasey	
4 Risk Management Issues for the Family office	65
<i>Luc Estenne</i>	
Partners Advisers SA	
5 What Does Risk Mean for Pension Fund Trustees?	77
<i>Robbie Alexander</i>	
Octane Group	
6 Examining Potential Risk Mitigation Benefits of Diversification	89
<i>John Levitt</i>	
Gregoire Capital	

PART II: PERSPECTIVES FROM THE HEDGE FUND MANAGERS

7 Sound Practices for Hedge Funds	109
<i>Tanya Styblo Beder</i>	
Tribeca Global Management LLC	

MANAGING HEDGE FUND RISK

8	Managing a Global Credit Portfolio	121
	<i>Michael Mabbutt</i> Thames River Capital	
9	Managing Risk in a Global Event Arbitrage Portfolio	135
	<i>John Paulson</i> Paulson & Co. Inc	
10	Risk Management for Convertibles	147
	<i>Michael A. Boyd Jr, Scott Watson, Evan Misshula</i> Forest Investment Management LLC	
11	Mortgage Strategies	175
	<i>Eric H. Keiter</i> MKP Capital Management, LLC	
12	Risk Management for a Distressed Securities Portfolio	193
	<i>Marti P. Murray</i> Murray Capital Management, Inc	
13	Short Selling: A Unique Set of Risks	213
	<i>A. R. Arulpragasam; James S. Chanos</i> Arktos LLC; Kynikos Associates	
14	Risk Management for Hedge Fund Strategies – Foreign Exchange	223
	<i>A. Paul Chappell</i> C-View Limited	
15	Investable Hedge Fund Indices: Creation and Management	237
	<i>Thomas Schneeweis; Vassilis Karavas, Rae DuBose</i> University of Massachusetts; Lyra Capital	

PART III: PERSPECTIVES FROM FUND-OF-HEDGE-FUND MANAGERS

16	Risk: Defining It, Measuring It, and Managing It	259
	<i>Robert A. Jaeger</i> EACM Advisors LLC	
17	Hedge Fund Risk and its Implications	275
	<i>David J. Gordon</i>	
18	Integrating Risk Management into the Portfolio Management Process for Effective Fund-of-Hedge-Funds Risk Management and Performance Measurement	291
	<i>Virginia Reynolds Parker</i> Parker Global Strategies LLC	

CONTENTS

19	The Big Five	309
	<i>Ken Kinsey-Quick</i>	
	Thames River Capital	
 PART IV: OPERATIONAL, COUNTERPARTY, REGULATORY, AND LEGAL PERSPECTIVES		
20	Operational Risk	327
	<i>Marcelo Cruz; Jonathan Davies</i>	
	RiskMaths; Risk Business	
21	Managing Hedge Fund Risk from the Dealer's Perspective	335
	<i>Irene D. May, Jr</i>	
	JP Morgan	
22	Regulatory Risk for Hedge Fund Managers	359
	<i>Cynthia M. Fornelli</i>	
	Bank of America	
23	Legal Risks of Investments in Hedge Funds	373
	<i>Christopher M. Wells</i>	
	Coudert Brothers LLP	
	Index	383

List of Contributors

Robbie Alexander is the Chief Executive Officer of Octane Holding Ltd, where he is a key player in the development and rising success of Octane. Robbie is the primary contact at Octane and leads the client consulting committee. He has an honours degree in accounting and is a chartered accountant by profession. He has 12 years investment management experience and is the author of the book *Futures and Options, A Guide to South African Derivatives*.

Mark J. P. Anson is the Chief Investment Officer for the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). Mark graduated with honours from the Northwestern University School of Law in Chicago and received both his PhD and a masters in finance from Columbia University Graduate School of Business in New York, again with honours, as *Beta Gamma Sigma*. Mark graduated with distinction from St. Olaf College in Minnesota, with a double major in economics and chemistry. He has been honoured with the Distinguished Service Award from the Institute of International Education and Fulbright Foundation. Mark has earned the Chartered Alternative Investment Analyst, Chartered Financial Analyst, Certified Public Accountant, Certified Management Accountant, and Certified Internal Auditor professional degrees and is a member of the New York and Illinois Bar Associations. Mark has received the Series 3, 4, 7, 8, 24, and 63 NASD securities industry licenses.

A. R. (Rajpal) Arulpragasam served as president of Arktos and Beta Management Limited (BML) at the time of the first edition. Arktos and BML are the onshore and offshore trading managers for Beta Hedge, which is an innovative, marketneutral, US equity hedge fund strategy. He is also the president of ARA Portfolio Management Company, (ARA), that manages portfolios of commodity interests for its investment clients. Prior to this, he directed research and development at a boutique yield-curve arbitrage firm, after which he engaged in private consulting until founding ARA in 1992. He was born in Sri Lanka and brought up in England, then left for the United States in 1970. He received his BS in mathematics from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and later pursued graduate studies in the field of operations research at Stanford University.

Tanya Styblo Beder joined Citigroup Alternative Investments in 2004 as CEO of their single manager proprietary hedge fund unit, Tribeca Global

MANAGING HEDGE FUND RISK

Alan H. Dorsey is a managing director and head of the non-traditional investment group at CRA RogersCasey, a pension consultancy located in Darien, Connecticut. He has responsibility for the hedge fund, private equity, real estate, and hard asset investment and research group. Previously, Alan was the Managing Director, Director of Research, at Bryant Park Capital and with investment bankers Dillon Read & Co. Alan began his career at Argus Research, a US based investment firm founded by his grandfather. Alan graduated with a BA in economics from Wesleyan University, where he is a member of the Investment Committee and a past trustee. He holds the Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) designation. Alan has published numerous papers on alternative investments. His recent book, *How to Select a Hedge Fund of Funds – Pick the Winners and Avoid the Losers* was published by Institutional Investor Books in 2004.

Rae DuBose is currently a managing director and head of due diligence at Lyra Capital, investment manager for the Dow Jones Hedge Fund Strategy Benchmarks. She has been involved in due diligence on hedge funds in prior roles at Zurich Capital Markets and as a fund-of-fund manager for an offshore private banking group. Prior to her involvement in alternative investments, Rae was a spot foreign exchange dealer and market maker for a money center bank. She obtained her masters in finance from the J.L. Kellogg Graduate School of Management at Northwestern University.

Luc Estenne is Chief Executive of Partners Advisers SA, a Geneva-based family office that provides global hedge fund investment advisory services to a group of privately held investment companies and selected institutions in Europe. From 1994 to 1996, Luc was an officer of Bank Brussels Lambert (BBL) in New York and Brussels, trading proprietary capital. Prior to joining BBL, he held different positions in the Global Technology and Operation group of JP Morgan Brussels. Luc received his MBA (commercial engineer degree), with distinction, from the Catholic University of Louvain in 1990.

Cynthia M. Fornelli is the Securities Regulation and Conflicts Management Executive in compliance risk management at Bank of America. Prior to this, Cynthia was Deputy Director of the Division of Investment Management of the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), where she was responsible for implementing SEC policy, rules and regulations in the investment company and investment advisory industries. Before joining the SEC in 1999, Fornelli spent several years in private practice, first as an associate in the Washington DC office of Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson, and then as a member of the Investment Management Practice Group of Dechert in Washington DC. She has written and spoken extensively on compliance issues for the investment management industry. Cynthia

Risk Management Issues for the Family Office

Luc Estenne

Partners Advisers SA

The risk management issues of a family office should be examined in relation to its subjective definition of risk, which is derived from the family investment preferences and objectives. Accordingly, in this chapter, we will first expose the typical family office preferences and objectives. We will then briefly review the theory of risk quantification and its limits. In addition, we will examine some of the key issues of hedge fund risk qualification that we have identified as hedge fund asset allocator. Finally, we will address some portfolio level risk management issues.

THE INVESTMENT PREFERENCES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE FAMILY OFFICE

The typical family group is already rich. Consequently, its investment strategy will be mostly guided by wealth preservation and wealth transfer issues from one generation to the next, as opposed to pure wealth creation objectives. In this context, one would expect the family to have a long-term investment horizon that should govern short term return volatility acceptance and lead to long-only equity investments. Most investment management textbooks reinforce this view.

However, the behavioural reality of family office investment is different. The fact that the majority of global assets allocated to hedge funds comes from private investors and family offices clearly illustrates the specificity of their investment preferences. These preferences, which are fundamentally governed by an asymmetrical

MANAGING HEDGE FUND RISK

sensitivity to loss vis-à-vis profits, govern family office allocation to hedge funds.

Objective one: Avoid large losses

The family office will structure its investments in order to avoid large losses. Because it attaches a high value to capital preservation, it will tend to exclude from its universe of potential investments the high-risk, high-return investment strategies so that it can focus most of its resources on strategies displaying a higher chance of success. Instead of trying to hit home runs, the family office objective will be to concentrate on return consistency in order to benefit from the returns compounding effect.

Objective two: Protect the downside

Equity markets' performance over the last decade has certainly twisted investors' return expectations and their perception of financial risks. Financial markets do not always move up; bear markets, corrections and crashes do happen. The fear of these disasters and the search for a remedy are central to the family office investment behaviour. In this context, hedge fund allocation is motivated by the capacity of some strategies to absorb financial markets' shocks and to provide substantial downside protection.

Objective three: Search for α or absolute returns

The corollary to the downside protection need for the family office is its search for investments that display asymmetric return profiles like that produced by the best hedge fund strategies. Typically, their performance engine is not β the market index, but rather the α that is extracted from successful short term market timing, equity hedge stock picking, equity market neutral, event driven, relative value or arbitrage relationship.

Objective four: Maximise risk-adjusted returns

Within the framework delimited by the above preferences and objectives, the family office should aim to optimise the risks-adjusted returns related to its investment strategy. The realisation of this objective will be conditioned by its capacity to allocate assets:

- to managers who display significant competitive advantage and skills; and

- ☐ within a structure that secures a commonality of interest between the manager and their investors.

Based on these preferences and objectives, the risk management objective of the family office hedge fund asset allocator will be to:

- ☐ identify and exclude disaster loss potential;
- ☐ understand and evaluate the performance engine and attached risks; and
- ☐ define and implement a coherent portfolio construction process.

RISK QUANTIFICATION IN THE CONTEXT OF HEDGE FUND ALLOCATION

The theory

Risk is the exposure to uncertain change. It can be seen as the combination of the probability of a negative event happening and the loss associated with the occurrence of this negative event. Financial risks are usually split into three main categories: credit risk, market risk and operational risk. Table 1 shows how these different risk categories are usually structured into sub-components.

The financial industry measures market risks and credit risks using value-at-risk (VAR) methodology. VAR is defined as the maximum possible loss for a given portfolio within a known confidence interval over a specific time horizon. In their never-ending quest for more quantification, some also measure operational risks using a similar value-at-operational risk methodology.


According to these methodologies, it should be possible to measure the VAR for each hedge fund and each potential combination of hedge fund portfolio. The association of hedge funds portfolio returns to these measures should enable us to construct the optimised portfolio in terms of risk-adjusted returns.

The limitations

It is reassuring to measure what we fear most and human nature is such that the quantification and measure of risk too often translate into an improper sense of comfort and control. Beyond the very large number of system and operational issues that would need to be solved and summarised into a single VAR number to quantify the risks of hedge fund investing, I strongly believe that the value of such an exercise would be very limited. Here are the main reasons.

MANAGING HEDGE FUND RISK

Table 1 Categorisation of risk



Market rate distribution

The quantification of risk relies on the definition of a probability distribution of market moves. The precise definition of such distribution is extremely difficult. Typically, most methodologies fail to take skewed distributions into account, and are very imprecise when it comes to extreme market move measurements, ie, when we most need them.

Linear versus non-linear relationships

The relationship between portfolio market value and market change for complex financial instruments is not typically linear. Accordingly, it becomes much more difficult to measure the impact

of market moves on the value of such instruments because most calculations neglect the second order or gamma effects. This is usually the case for any instrument with embedded optionality, or convex fixed-income instruments.

Instability of market relationships

Most methods of calculating VAR rely on estimates of the volatilities and correlations of market changes in order to aggregate diverse risky positions. The problem is that these correlations are highly unstable and tend to migrate towards 1 in times of market crises.

Instrument-specific risks

Beyond the theoretical limitation of VAR, it is important to recognise that the methodology is of no value in many hedge fund strategies. For instance, it is not possible to describe event-driven strategies such as distressed securities investing or risk arbitrage strategies with VAR methodology.

PRACTICAL ISSUES RELATED TO RISK QUALIFICATION

Forced to recognise the practical and theoretical limits and difficulties of risk quantification, the family office hedge fund allocator must rely on prudence, prevention and judgement in order to qualify the risks into a structure framework.

If we start with the assumption that there are no returns without risks, we believe that it is Partners Advisers job, as an asset allocator in hedge funds, to identify which risks are taken by hedge fund managers in order to generate their performance, and how these risks are measured and managed.

Partners Advisers identifies risk as belonging to two groups: strategy/portfolio risk and structure/organisation risk. Each risk is reviewed and analysed during the due diligence process and given a score on a scale from 1 to 5. Once the investment is made into the hedge fund, each risk is reviewed during the monitoring phase of the investment process and given an updated score on a quarterly basis.

Strategy/portfolio risks include the following:

- ☐ *Market beta risk*: how exposed is the hedge fund to equity markets direction?

MANAGING HEDGE FUND RISK

- ☐ *Erratic markets risk*: would the hedge fund suffer in erratic markets?
- ☐ *Style risk*: is the hedge fund exposed to value or growth styles?
- ☐ *Concentration risk*: is the hedge fund running a concentrated portfolio? Would it lose an unacceptable amount of money if the largest position blows up?
- ☐ *Leverage risk*: is the hedge fund using leverage? How much?
- ☐ *Momentum risk*: would the hedge fund suffer from a sharp trend reversal?
- ☐ *Liquidity risk*: how liquid are the underlying hedge fund's investments? Would the hedge fund suffer in a liquidity crunch?
- ☐ *Liquidity providing risk*: is the hedge fund's strategy based on liquidity providing to the market? Would the hedge fund suffer from a flight to quality?
- ☐ *Credit risk*: is the hedge fund exposed to credit markets?
- ☐ *Volatility risk*: is the hedge fund long or short volatility?
- ☐ *Duration risk*: is the hedge fund exposed to long term interest rates?
- ☐ *Short term interest rate risk*: is the hedge fund exposed to short term interest rates? By any action by central banks?
- ☐ *Yield curve risk*: is the hedge fund exposed to movements in the yield curve?
- ☐ *Basis risk*: does the hedge fund hedge its long holding using instruments from another asset class? Is there a risk of the instrument decorrelating from its hedge?
- ☐ *Spread risk*: does the hedge fund try to capture spreads as part of its strategy? What is the risk of a blow out of those spreads? Is the hedge fund overly exposed to this scenario?
- ☐ *Currency risk*: is the hedge fund exposed to currency risk?
- ☐ *Correlation risk*: is there a risk of the different strategies employed by the hedge fund correlating to 1 in times of stress?

Organisational/structural risks include the following:

- ☐ *Financing risk*: has the fund solid financing agreements in place? Does the fund have notice periods in place that avoids their brokers to suddenly increase margin requirements, is there a limit on the extent to which brokers can increase margin requirements?
- ☐ *Counterparty risk*: does the fund use more than one broker? Does it spread its holdings and cash among different brokers to mitigate counterparty risk?

- ☐ *Organisational risk*: how stable, solid is the organisation? Does the manager have experience in running a business, is there a back office made of experienced people, how long have the different principals worked together, etc?
- ☐ *Compliance risk*: is there a dedicated compliance officer? Are there compliance rules in place for trade processing, personal trading, etc.
- ☐ *Regulation*: is the management company regulated by a government body?
- ☐ *NAV calculation*: who has the final responsibility for NAV calculation? Where does the administrator get the portfolio, positions, quotes from? Is the process truly independent from the manager?
- ☐ *Pricing difficulty*: how difficult is the book pricing process? For assets hard to price, how is the process set up, who does it, how?
- ☐ *Liquidity Risk*: how liquid is the fund for investors? What is the redemption frequency? How long is the notice period? Is there any lockup/exit fees?
- ☐ *Transparency*: what kind of transparency do investors get?
- ☐ *Partnership structure*: how is the partnership set up, is there more than one partner? If yes, who owns the majority of the company? Is there a risk of the partners breaking apart? Is the portfolio manager part of a larger organisation? Is there a risk of the manager leaving the organisation?
- ☐ *Third parties quality*: are the administrator, the prime broker, auditor, lawyers blue chip names? Do they have a good reputation in the business?
- ☐ *Background/references*: how good is the manager's pedigree? Does he or she come from a well regarded company? Are the references any good? Is their CV reliable?
- ☐ *Assets under management risk*: is the manager running too much assets for the strategy? Is he or she running sufficient assets for the strategy?

Strategy/portfolio risks are aggregated at the fund of hedge funds level by computing the average of each score for a particular risk weighted by the size of the allocation to the hedge fund. Accordingly, it is possible to have a summary of the strategy/portfolio risks for the aggregated fund of hedge funds and to measure the impact of an allocation change on its risk profile.

The key factor, however, is the human one. There is no hedge fund without managers. At the end of the process the investment decision is a judgment and a vote of confidence on the ethics, skills and competitive advantages of a manager. In addition, the hedge fund investor has to recognise that it is unrealistic to expect to control 100% of the hedge fund portfolio activity. The best transparency level usually available takes the form of monthly or quarterly portfolio snapshots. Accordingly, the element of ethics and "trust" vis-à-vis the hedge fund manager is paramount.

Some operational risks – service providers and structure

The offshore hedge fund investor should realise that there is no proper structure in place to ensure the protection of his or her interests. Detailed review of the prospectus, the articles of associations, the fund structure and the service providers must be conducted. However, while this review will reduce operational risks, it will not eliminate them.

Offshore hedge fund service providers

Hedge fund investors rely on the quality and responsibility of administrators and auditors to ensure control of assets, independent NAV calculation and accuracy of financial statements. Unfortunately, all too often the basic structure is not in place in the offshore industry. We believe that today, there is a significant market opportunity for serious service providers ready to implement and enforce adequate structure in terms of administration and audit.

Administrators, who should have the role of global custodian, do not always have control of assets. As directors of their funds, any hedge fund managers have the signatory power necessary to move assets and open accounts where and when they want. In addition, it is still common for administrators to leave mark-to-market responsibilities in the hands of hedge fund managers instead of using truly independent pricing sources.

Moreover, auditors currently seem to be willing to limit their responsibilities and liabilities to the point that audited financial statements are less and less a guarantee of financial fairness. The following are extracts from a letter of engagement from one of the top five worldwide audit firms.

"The Liability of [the audit firm name] to the company in connection with this engagement shall be limited in total to the fees paid to [the audit firm name]"

"As you are aware, there are inherent limitations in the audit process, including, for example, selective testing and the possibility that collusion or forgery may preclude the detection of material errors, fraud, and illegal acts. Accordingly, a material misstatement may remain undetected."

Hedge fund legal documents

The fund prospectus, articles of association and the subscription agreement are the fundamental documents that structure the rights and obligations of the investor and the fund. The additional contracts to be reviewed are the investment management and advisory contract and the administration and custody contracts. As illustrated by the following extracts that have been written by a prominent US legal firm, the content of these documents should be carefully reviewed to ensure that the balance of the parties' interests is present.

"The memorandum and Articles of Association of the Company may be amended either by a resolution of members or by a resolution of Directors".

"The Fund will indemnify the Investment Manager or Any of its Partners, officers and employees with respect to any cost or expense arising from [...] losses due [...] to the negligence, dishonesty or bad faith of any employee, broker or other agent of the Investment Manager."

"The organisational and initial offering costs of the Company are expected to amount to approximately US\$200,000. Such costs will be paid by the Company out of the proceeds of the initial offering of shares."

Track record quantitative analysis

The existence of a successful investment track record is often a prerequisite to any hedge fund investment. However, the predictive power of past performance and its quantitative analysis is usually extremely limited, mainly for the following reasons:

- ❑ the number of data point is often too small to be statistically significant;

- ☐ the investment strategy is usually adapted and changed over time;
- ☐ the financial market conditions change and are cyclical; and
- ☐ short volatility risks have a low probability of occurrence.

Accordingly, the use of track record quantitative analysis should be limited to an *ex post* exercise where the aim is not to predict the future but rather to question the past.

Moreover, the track record should be subject to a qualitative analysis in order to define who is ultimately responsible for it, and in what organisational context and market condition it has been produced.

PORTFOLIO LEVEL RISK MANAGEMENT

The selection of an independent collection of adequate hedge funds and hedge fund managers is a necessary but incomplete condition of success. The key is to extend the process into the set-up of a coherent and balanced portfolio. Our portfolio level risk management is based on the following guidelines: a balance between top-down and bottom-up approaches, seasoning process, sizing and diversification rules.

A balance between bottom-up and top-down approaches

Hedge fund investing boils down to selecting skill, competitive advantage and risk management. Accordingly, it is crucial to place the emphasis on the selection of good managers rather than adequate strategies. Of course, our experience has taught us to avoid or maintain reduced allocation to strategies which dangerously combine leverage and illiquidity, strategies that rely on misleading and inadequate accounting standards, and strategies that tend to be short-event risk and/or volatility. But once these strategies are put aside, a bottom-up approach is critical because it helps us to avoid the temptation of filling in the pre-defined strategy allocation box with an average or poor quality manager. The corollary to this bottom-up approach is the acceptance of a running portfolio heavily allocated to US markets and US managers, overweighted in equity vis-à-vis fixed-income instruments and underweighted emerging markets.

We believe however that this bottom-up approach should be balanced by at top-down one. Strategies' risk/reward and returns go

through cycles and some strategies get arbitrated away. Accordingly, the relative value or the appeals of strategies change over time and portfolio structures need to be adapted.

Seasoning process

To build adequate portfolios of hedge fund investments, hedge fund allocators have to recognise and take into account the following in their asset allocation behaviour:

- ☐ the hedge fund mortality rate is high, especially in the early years of a fund;
- ☐ hedge fund managers need to be given time to prove their competitive advantages;
- ☐ asset allocators' understanding of strategies and managers increases if these are followed across a full market cycle;
- ☐ early stage hedge fund investors run higher risks because of the additional business risks related to the start-up phase; and
- ☐ whatever the skill and experience of an asset allocator, he/she will continue to make mistakes.

We recognise these facts and have tried to take them into account in our portfolio construction guidelines. Accordingly, the portfolios we manage are structured into three different categories: farm team, intermediate and senior. The allocation will fall into one of these categories according to our subjective comfort level and knowledge of a manager and a strategy. At the farm team level, we find a large number of small allocations, while at the senior level, we have a limited number of large allocations. It will take, on average, at least four years for a manager to migrate from the farm team, through the intermediate category, to the senior level. The farm team should be limited to 15% of the portfolio, the intermediate section should be around 40% and the senior category should hold the remaining balance of the allocation.

Concentration and diversification rules

The maximum allocation to one single hedge fund should be defined according to the category the fund belongs to, the relative size of the hedge fund portfolio vis-à-vis the total wealth of the investor or family, and the risk tolerance/investment objective. The

MANAGING HEDGE FUND RISK

typical maximum allocation we use is 2%, 4% and 8% for the farm team, intermediate and senior category, respectively.

A more difficult question to answer as a hedge fund allocator is the adequate level of diversification (ie, how many hedge funds should be included in a portfolio?). The balance between diversification of risks and dilution of return is a subtle one. Typically, for a family who have a substantial allocation of hedge funds (above 40% of liquid assets), a total of 45 funds is often adequate. Of these, about 15 to 20 are usually in the farm team category.

The purpose is to go beyond the diversification of financial risks usually achieved with about 20 funds, and to take into account operational risks. These risks are extremely difficult to assess, and have disaster losses attached to them, although all have a low probability of occurrence. Accordingly, the maximum allocation to a single hedge fund is given by the answer to one simple question: "How much are we ready to lose should our maximum allocation suffer a 100% blow-up?"

REFERENCES

- Alexander, C.**, 1999, *Risk Management and Analysis: Measuring and Modelling Financial Risk*, Volume I (New York: John Wiley & Sons).
- Bekier, M.**, 1996, *Marketing of Hedge Funds: A Key Strategic variable in Defining Possible Roles of an Emerging Investment Force* (Berne: Peter Lang AG).
- Bookstaber, R., et al**, 1999, *Risk Management: Principles and Practices* (Association for Investment Management and Research Publications).
- Chen, D., et al**, 1999, *Frontiers in Credit Risk Analysis* (Association for Investment Management and Research Publications).
- Cottier, P.**, 1997, *Hedge Funds and Managed Futures* (Berne: Verlag Paul Haupt).
- Gastineau, G. L. and M. P. Kristzman**, 1996, *Dictionary of Financial Risk Management* (Frank J. Fabozzi Associates).
- Lake, R. A.**, (ed), 1996, *Evaluating and Implementing Hedge Fund Strategies*, Second Edition (Euromoney Publications Plc).
- Molak, V.**, 1996, *Fundamentals of Risk Analysis and Risk Management* (Lewis Publishers).
- Trone, D. B., W. R. Allbright, and P. R. Taylor**, 1996, *The Management of Investment Decisions* (Irwin Professional Publishing).
- Global Equity and Market Derivative Market Risk*, 1998, (Morgan Stanley Dean Witter).
- Operational Risk and Financial Institutions*, 1998, (London: Risk Books).