

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (LGB)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the
Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of
Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and
the Chapter 7 Estate of Bernard L. Madoff,

Plaintiff,

v.

SIX SIS AG,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 12-01195 (LGB)

FOURTH AMENDED CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Plaintiff Irving H. Picard, as trustee (the “Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aaa–lll, and the Chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff individually, and defendant SIX SIS AG (“Defendant” and, together with the Trustee, the “Parties”, each individually, a “Party”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel,

hereby submit the following Fourth Amended Case Management Plan pursuant to Rules 16 and 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (the “Federal Rules”), made applicable under Rules 7016 and 7026 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

1. Relevant Procedural History.

a. On March 22, 2012, the Trustee commenced the above-captioned adversary proceeding in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Bankruptcy Court”) by filing a complaint against Defendant. *Picard v. SIX SIS AG*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01195, ECF No. 1.

b. On May 6, 2022, the Trustee filed an Amended Complaint against Defendant. *Id.* at ECF No. 115.

c. On October 17, 2022, the Trustee filed a Second Amended Complaint against Defendant. *Id.* at ECF No. 126.

d. On June 2, 2023, Defendants filed an answer and affirmative defenses. *Id.* at ECF No. 154.

e. On July 13, 2023, the Bankruptcy Court entered a Case Management Plan to which the Parties had stipulated. *Id.* at ECF No. 155.

f. On October 11, 2023, the Parties exchanged initial disclosures as required by Federal Rule 26(a)(1)(A).

g. On May 2, 2025, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Amended Case Management Plan to which the Parties had stipulated. *Id.* at ECF No. 163.

h. On February 24, 2026, the Bankruptcy Court entered a Second Amended Case Management Plan to which the Parties had stipulated. *Id.* at ECF No. 165.

i. On June 1, 2026, the Bankruptcy Court entered a Third Amended Case Management Plan to which the Parties had stipulated. *Id.* at ECF No. 166.

2. Fact Discovery Plan.

a. Fact Discovery Cut-Off. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties or ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, all fact discovery is to be completed by October 5, 2026 (the “Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date”).

b. Subjects On Which Discovery May Be Needed. The Parties contemplate that fact and expert discovery will be needed on asserted claims and defenses.

c. Foreign Discovery. The Trustee anticipates the need for discovery located outside of the United States. To obtain such discovery, the Trustee will comply with the relevant discovery rules of the applicable jurisdiction, the Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters, and/or any other internationally recognized means of obtaining cross-border discovery, including but not limited to, letters rogatory (collectively, “International Discovery”). To the extent the Parties avail themselves of International Discovery procedures, they will not be deemed to have waived, prejudiced, or otherwise altered their rights to conduct discovery under the Federal Rules, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York (the “Local Bankruptcy Rules”), or other applicable domestic rules. To the extent that responses to timely requested International Discovery are not received or depositions/examinations have not occurred by the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date, the parties agree to seek reasonable extensions of the deadlines set forth herein. SIX SIS reserves all rights and does not waive any arguments as to how foreign discovery should be conducted.

d. Form Of Certain Discovery. The Trustee intends to utilize one or more electronic data rooms to affirmatively make available millions of documents related to the

Trustee's claims. The Trustee's use of such data rooms will be governed by all applicable orders and rules.

e. Document Requests. The Parties may serve requests for documents on or before 30 days before the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date.

f. Interrogatories. The Parties may serve Interrogatories in accordance with the rules and limitations articulated in Local Bankruptcy Rule 7033-1.

g. Requests For Admission. The Parties may serve Requests for Admission on or before 30 days before the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date.

h. Limitations On Discovery. Limitations on written discovery will be governed by the Federal Rules made applicable to this adversary proceeding by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Bankruptcy Rules. The Parties may agree on certain limitations on discovery or may file an application with the Court for good cause.

i. Redaction Protocol. SIX SIS, as a Swiss financial market infrastructure, is subject to Swiss law including (inter alia) the Swiss Financial Market Infrastructure Act and the Federal Data Protection Act, various provisions of which may limit or prohibit unilateral disclosure of certain information in discovery that otherwise would be discoverable under U.S. law. In addition, SIX SIS asserts that its participants are themselves financial institutions, which might also be impacted by foreign bank secrecy and/or data protection laws. As such, SIX SIS maintains that, to avoid potentially resolvable clashes of Swiss and U.S. law, the Parties should agree to a redaction protocol. The Trustee maintains that the Federal Rules provide a sufficient mechanism for addressing discovery disputes in this proceeding and that entry into a redaction protocol is premature in that it assumes such redactions are appropriate without any context under which to address such questions.

j. Inadvertent Production Of Privileged Materials. The Parties acknowledge that the inadvertent production of privileged or work product protected documents is not a waiver of the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d). Nothing contained in this paragraph is intended to or shall serve to limit a Party's right to conduct a review of documents, including electronically stored information, for relevance, responsiveness, and/or privileged and/or protected status.

k. Depositions. All depositions of fact witnesses must be completed by the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date. Depositions shall proceed concurrently, with no Party having priority. The Parties will endeavor to conclude the majority of depositions within the seven (7) hours provided by Federal Rule 30(d)(1), although the Parties acknowledge that there may be certain depositions of fact witnesses with knowledge of issues common to multiple avoidance actions related to Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (LGB) (the "Avoidance Actions") that cannot be concluded within this time limit. For any such witness, the Parties will attempt in good faith to agree to reasonable expansions of the seven (7) hour time limit as appropriate and, failing agreement, either Party may apply to the Court for an expansion of this time limit.

To the extent the deposition of any fact witness raises common issues relevant to another Avoidance Action, in which fact discovery has not closed, the Parties shall coordinate such depositions with the witness and defendants in such Avoidance Actions to maximize efficiency and use of resources to the extent reasonably practicable. The Parties shall confer in good faith and provide notice of such depositions under the Federal Rules, including the date, time, and location of any such depositions, to all relevant parties. In a coordinated deposition, the limitations set forth in Federal Rule 30(d)(1) shall not necessarily apply, and the parties shall agree on an

appropriate duration for the deposition. Such depositions shall not prejudice or preclude the Trustee from additional depositions of such witness in Avoidance Actions in which initial disclosures have not been made and discovery is not open. The Parties agree that they will work in good faith to identify the requisite number of each Party's deponents and depositions. Depositions of Irving H. Picard himself are prohibited absent an order issued by this Court upon a showing of good cause.

Nothing contained in this Case Management Plan shall be deemed or construed to be a waiver of any Party's right to object to the taking of the deposition of a particular witness.

3. Expert Discovery Plan.

a. Expert Discovery. Within 30 days before the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date, the parties agree to meet and confer in good faith on the need for and timing of expert discovery. The Parties further agree to submit a proposed Amended Case Management Plan that addresses expert discovery in this action prior to the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date.

4. Manner Of Production Of Discovery Materials. The Parties may produce discovery on a CD-ROM, flash drive, portable hard drive, in an electronic data room, or other similar electronic format. Given the volume of documentation that may be subject to disclosure in this matter, the Parties may produce a summary report, such as an expert report, and provide access to the underlying documentation on which the summary report relies in an electronic data room or other medium for review by the Parties. Information and documents produced or made available electronically shall, to the extent reasonably feasible:

- (i) be text searchable;
- (ii) provide data and image load files necessary to review the documents on search platforms (*e.g.*, Summation, Concordance, Relativity) upon request of either Party;

- (iii) provide any system-created or non-privileged captured objective metadata, such as date fields, author fields, custodian fields, path to native file, etc.;
- (iv) be organized, such as by date, custodian, or subject matter, as maintained in the ordinary course of business or (in the case of information obtained by the Trustee from third parties) as organized by the Trustee; and
- (v) provide additional formats of production, metadata, or native documents if requested by either Party.

The Parties shall meet and confer first in a good faith attempt to resolve any dispute, or thereafter comply with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7007-1 to resolve the dispute.

5. Confidentiality. The Litigation Protective Order (ECF No. 4137) entered in *Sec. Inv. Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC (In re Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec., LLC)*, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789, on June 6, 2011, as modified by the Order Modifying the June 6, 2011 Litigation Protective Order (ECF No. 5474) and as may be further amended or modified (the “LPO”), shall govern the disclosure of confidential information in this proceeding.

6. Proposed Modifications Of Standard Pretrial Proceedings Due To The Special Nature Of The Action. The Parties do not presently propose any modifications.

7. Prospects For Settlement, Including Whether A Settlement Conference Should Be Scheduled. The Parties believe that it would be premature to schedule a settlement conference at this time.

8. Discovery Arbitrator. The Parties understand that Frank Maas, Esq., who was appointed Discovery Arbitrator under the Order Appointing A Discovery Arbitrator Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(c) and General Order M-390 (ECF No. 14227) entered in *Sec. Inv. Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec., LLC (In re Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec., LLC)*, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789, on October 4, 2016, is no longer available to the Parties. The Parties may agree to

the use of a different discovery arbitrator to resolve discovery disputes that they are unable to resolve by meeting and conferring.

9. Trial. The Parties propose that they will confer with each other and the Court at the conclusion of all discovery to schedule a final pretrial conference and trial date.¹

[Signatures appear on the following page.]

¹ SIX SIS demands a trial by jury on all issues that may be tried by a jury and does not consent to entry of final orders or judgment by the Bankruptcy Court.

Date: July 29, 2026
New York, New York

By: /s/ David Sheehan
Baker & Hostetler LLP
45 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10111
Telephone: (212) 589-4200
Facsimile: (212) 589-4201
David J. Sheehan
Email: dsheehan@bakerlaw.com
Ferve Khan
Email: fkhan@bakerlaw.com

By: /s/ Allison Scott
Chaffetz Lindsey LLP
1700 Broadway, 33rd Floor
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 257-6960
Facsimile: (212) 257-6950
Erin Valentine
Email: Erin.Valentine@chaffetzlindsey.com
Allison Scott
Email: A.Scott@chaffetzlindsey.com

*Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Trustee for the
Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of
Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and
the Chapter 7 Estate of Bernard L. Madoff*

Attorneys for SIX SIS AG

Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP
156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 237-1000
Facsimile: (212) 262-1215
Howard Simon
Email: hsimon@windelsmarx.com

*Special Counsel for Irving H. Picard, Trustee for
the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation
of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC
and the Chapter 7 Estate of Bernard L. Madoff*

SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 30, 2026
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

/s/ Lisa G. Beckerman
HONORABLE LISA G. BECKERMAN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE