

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (LGB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the
Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment
Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

NAIDOT & CO. and BESSEMER TRUST
COMPANY,

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 11-02733 (LGB)

SECOND AMENDED CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Plaintiff Irving H. Picard, as trustee (the “Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa–iii, and the Chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff

individually, and defendants Naidot & Co. and Bessemer Trust Company (“Defendants” and, together with the Trustee, the “Parties,” and each individually, a “Party”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby submit the following Second Amended Case Management Plan pursuant to Rules 16 and 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (the “Federal Rules”), applicable under Rules 7016 and 7026 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

1. Relevant Procedural History.

a. On September 22, 2011, the Trustee commenced the above-captioned adversary proceeding in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Bankruptcy Court”) by filing a complaint against Naidot & Co. *Picard v. Naidot & Co., et al.*, Adv. Pro. No. 11-02733, ECF No. 1.

b. On August 5, 2022, the Trustee filed an amended complaint adding Bessemer Trust Company as a defendant and dismissing an alleged subsequent transfer dated February 14, 2003. *Id.*, ECF No. 100.

c. On June 12, 2023, Defendants filed an answer and affirmative defenses. *Id.*, ECF No. 126.

d. On August 1, 2023, the Bankruptcy Court entered a Case Management Plan to which the Parties had stipulated. *Id.*, ECF No. 129.

e. On October 2, 2023, the Parties exchanged initial disclosures as required by Federal Rule 26(a)(1)(A).

f. On April 14, 2025, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Amended Case Management Plan to which the Parties had stipulated. *Id.*, ECF No. 136.

2. Fact Discovery Plan.

a. Fact Discovery Cut-Off. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties or ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, all fact discovery is to be completed by June 1, 2026 (the “Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date”).

b. Subjects on Which Discovery May Be Needed. The Parties contemplate that fact and expert discovery will be needed on asserted claims and defenses.

c. Foreign Discovery. In the Federal Rule 26(f) conference, Defendants indicated that the Parties would likely need to obtain discovery from outside of the United States, including from individuals and entities that were affiliated with Defendants. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, to obtain discovery from these individuals and entities, the Parties will comply with the relevant rules of the applicable jurisdiction, the Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters, and/or any other internationally recognized means of obtaining cross-border discovery, including but not limited to, letters rogatory (collectively, “International Discovery”). To the extent the Parties avail themselves of International Discovery procedures, they will not be deemed to have waived, prejudiced, or otherwise altered their rights to conduct discovery under the Federal Rules, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York (the “Local Bankruptcy Rules”), or other applicable domestic rules. To the extent that responses to timely requested International Discovery are not received or deposition/examination has not occurred by the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date, one or more Parties may individually or jointly agree to seek reasonable extensions of the deadlines set forth herein, without prejudice to the rights of any Party to oppose such a request made by an adverse Party.

d. Form of Certain Discovery. The Trustee intends to utilize one or more electronic data rooms to affirmatively make available millions of documents related to the Trustee's claims. Defendants reserve all rights relating to the Trustee's reliance on the availability of documents in electronic data rooms to comply with the Trustee's discovery obligations in this proceeding, including to challenge the Trustee's reliance on electronic data rooms. The Trustee's use of such data rooms, to the extent permitted, will be governed by all applicable orders and rules.

e. Document Requests. The Parties may serve requests for documents on or before 30 days before the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date.

f. Interrogatories. The Parties may serve Interrogatories in accordance with the rules and limitations articulated in Local Bankruptcy Rule 7033-1.

g. Requests for Admission. The Parties may serve Requests for Admission on or before 30 days before the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date.

h. Limitations on Discovery. Limitations on written discovery will be governed by the Federal Rules made applicable to this adversary proceeding by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and the Local Bankruptcy Rules. The Parties may agree on certain limitations on discovery or may file an application with the Court for good cause.

i. Inadvertent Production of Privileged Materials. The Parties acknowledge that the inadvertent production of privileged or work product protected documents is not a waiver of the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d). Nothing contained in this paragraph is intended to or shall serve to limit a Party's right to conduct a review of documents, including electronically stored information, for relevance, responsiveness, and/or privileged and/or protected status.

j. Depositions. All depositions of fact witnesses must be completed by the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date. Depositions shall proceed concurrently, with no Party having priority. The Parties will endeavor to conclude the majority of depositions within the seven (7) hours provided by Federal Rule 30(d)(1), although the Parties acknowledge that there may be certain depositions of fact witnesses with knowledge of issues common to multiple avoidance actions related to Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (LGB) (the “Avoidance Action(s)”) that cannot be concluded within this time limit. For any such witness, the Parties will attempt in good faith to agree to reasonable extensions of the seven (7) hour time limit as appropriate, and failing agreement either Party may apply to the Court for an extension of this time limit.

To the extent the deposition of any fact witness raises common issues relevant to another Avoidance Action in which fact discovery has not closed, the Parties shall coordinate such depositions with the witness and defendants in such Avoidance Actions to maximize efficiency and use of resources to the extent reasonably practicable. The Parties shall confer in good faith and provide notice of such depositions under the Federal Rules, including the date, time, and location of any such depositions, to all relevant parties. In a coordinated deposition, the limitations set forth in Federal Rule 30(d)(1) shall not necessarily apply, and the parties shall agree on an appropriate duration for the deposition. Such depositions shall not prejudice or preclude the Trustee from additional depositions of such witness in Avoidance Actions in which initial disclosures have not been made and discovery is not open. The Parties agree that they will work in good faith to identify the requisite number of each Party’s deponents and depositions. Depositions of Irving H. Picard himself are prohibited absent an order issued by this Court upon a showing of good cause.

To the extent there are depositions noticed in this adversary proceeding (Adv. Pro No. 11-02733), as to which the witnesses are cross-noticed for deposition by the opposing Party, and no Party has objected to the cross-noticed deposition (or any objection has been resolved in favor of the cross-noticing Party), and the depositions do not require coordination with other Avoidance Actions per the above paragraphs, the Parties will attempt in good faith to agree to a reasonable expansion of the seven (7) hour time limit, as appropriate, and failing agreement any of the Parties may apply to the Court for an expansion of this time limit, provided that the original noticing Party will not object to an additional four (4) hours for the cross-noticed deposition. For the avoidance of doubt: (i) the cross-noticed deposition may not exceed seven (7) hours in length; and (ii) the seven (7) hour limit applicable to the originally notice deposition under Federal Rule 30(d)(1) shall not be affected by the time for the cross-noticed deposition. As to any such deposition, the Parties shall have all rights provided to them under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including Rule 30.

Nothing contained in this Case Management Plan shall be deemed or construed to be a waiver of any Party's right to object to the taking of the deposition of a particular witness, or abridge, limit, or modify any rights that a foreign witness has under the applicable law of the relevant foreign jurisdiction.

All depositions of the Trustee's own party and non-party witnesses shall be conducted at the offices of Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP, 156 West 56th Street, New York, New York 10019, or such other location as the Parties may agree.

3. Expert Discovery. Within 30 days before the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date, the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith on the need for and timing of expert discovery. The

Parties further agree to submit a proposed Amended Case Management Plan that addresses expert discovery in this action prior to the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date.

4. Manner of Production of Discovery Materials. The Parties may produce discovery on a CD-ROM, flash drive, portable hard drive, in an electronic data room, or other similar electronic format. Given the volume of documentation that may be subject to disclosure in this matter, the Parties may produce a summary report, such as an expert report, and provide access to the underlying documentation on which the summary report relies in an electronic data room or other medium for review by the Parties. Defendants reserve the right to challenge the Trustee's utilization of such electronic data room or other medium for review by the Parties, and the Trustee reserves the right to object to any such challenge.

Information and documents produced or made available electronically shall, to the extent reasonably feasible:

- (i) be text searchable;
- (ii) provide data and image load files necessary to review the documents on search platforms (*e.g.*, Summation, Concordance, Relativity) upon request of any Party;
- (iii) provide any system-created or non-privileged captured objective metadata, such as date fields, author fields, custodian fields, path to native file, etc.;
- (iv) be organized, such as by date, custodian, or subject matter, as maintained in the ordinary course of business or (in the case of information obtained by the Trustee from third parties) as organized by the Trustee; and
- (v) provide additional formats of production, metadata, or native documents if requested by any Party.

The Parties shall meet and confer first in a good faith attempt to resolve any dispute, or thereafter comply with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7007-1 to resolve the dispute.

5. Confidentiality. The Litigation Protective Order (ECF No. 4137) entered in *Sec. Inv. Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC (In re Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec., LLC)*, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789, on June 6, 2011, as modified via Order dated September 17, 2013 (ECF No. 5474), and as may be further amended or modified, shall govern the disclosure of confidential information in this proceeding.

6. Proposed Modifications of Standard Pretrial Proceedings Due to the Special Nature of the Action. The Parties do not presently believe that any such modifications are yet appropriate or necessary, and will contact the Court if their belief in this regard changes.

7. Prospects for Settlement, Including Whether a Settlement Conference Should Be Scheduled. The Parties believe that it would be premature to schedule a settlement conference at this time.

8. Discovery Arbitrator. The Parties understand that Frank Maas, Esq., who was appointed Discovery Arbitrator under the Order Appointing A Discovery Arbitrator Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(c) and General Order M-390 (ECF No. 14227) entered in *Sec. Inv. Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec., LLC (In re Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec., LLC)*, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789, on October 4, 2016, is no longer available to the Parties. The Parties may agree to the use of a different discovery arbitrator to resolve discovery disputes that they are unable to resolve by meeting and conferring, and upon such terms as the Parties may agree.

9. Trial. The Parties propose that they will confer with each other and the Court at the conclusion of all discovery to schedule a final pretrial conference and trial date. Defendants demand a trial by jury on all issues that may be tried by a jury and do not consent to entry of final orders or judgment by the Bankruptcy Court.

Dated: February 9, 2026
New York, New York

**WINDELS MARX LANE &
MITTENDORF, LLP**

By: /s/ Howard L. Simon
156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 237-1000
Facsimile: (212) 262-1215
Howard L. Simon
Email: hsimon@windelsmarx.com
Kim M. Longo
Email: klongo@windelsmarx.com
John J. Tepedino
Email: jtapedino@windelsmarx.com

*Special Counsel for Irving H. Picard, Trustee
for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA
Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment
Securities LLC and for the Chapter 7 Estate of
Bernard L. Madoff*

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

By: /s/ James V. Masella, III
1675 Broadway, Floor 19
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 223-0200
Facsimile: (212) 223-1942
James V. Masella, III
Email: masellaj@ballardspahr.com
Bradley Gershel
Email: gershelb@ballardspahr.com
Kelly Lin
Email: link@ballardspahr.com

1735 Market Street, 51st Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Brittany M. Wilson (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Email: wilsonbm@ballardspahr.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Naidot & Co. and
Bessemer Trust Company*

SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 10, 2026
New York, New York

/s/ Lisa G. Beckerman
Honorable Lisa G. Beckerman
United States Bankruptcy Judge