

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (SMB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

**APPLICATION OF SCA CREQUE AS SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THE TRUSTEE FOR
RELEASE OF A PORTION OF FEES HELD BACK**

SCA Creque (“SCAC”), special counsel for Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa *et seq.* (“SIPA”), and Bernard L. Madoff, and Bernard L. Madoff, submits this Application for release of a portion of the previously held back legal fees (“Holdback”) in the amount of \$15,732.76 for prior compensation periods.

I. BACKGROUND

1. On December 15, 2008, Judge Stanton of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, upon application filed on the same date by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”), entered an order calling for the liquidation of BLMIS in accordance with SIPA and appointing the Trustee as BLMIS’s trustee.

2. BLMIS's liquidation proceeding was removed to this Court pursuant to SIPA § 78eee(b)(4), and, pursuant to SIPA § 78fff(b), is being conducted as though it were a case under chapters 1, 3, and 5 and subchapters I and II of chapter 7 of title 11 of the United States Code.

3. On July 14, 2009, this Court entered an order approving the Trustee's motion to retain SCAC *nunc pro tunc* as of June 5, 2009 as special counsel to the Trustee in matters pertaining to British Virgin Islands ("BVI") law.

4. The Trustee's motion to retain SCAC established a fee arrangement pursuant to which SCAC agreed to a fee reduction in the amount of 10% as well as an additional holdback of fees in the amount of 20%.

II. RELEASE OF THE HOLDBACK

12. The Trustee's motion to retain SCAC established a Holdback fee arrangement in which 20% of the approved compensation is deferred until further order of the Court.

13. For the prior and current compensation periods, the amount of the Holdback for SCAC's fees is \$31,465.51. SCAC seeks a release of the Holdback in the amount of \$15,732.76 upon the support of SIPC.

14. SIPC has advised SCAC that it will file a recommendation in support of the fees and expenses in this Application and the release of the Holdback in the amount of \$15,732.76 for SCAC.

15. Hence, SCAC respectfully requests that this Court authorize the release of the Holdback payment to SCAC in the amount of \$15,732.76, as supported by SIPC.

III. GENERAL MATTERS

16. All of the professional services for which compensation is requested herein were performed by SCAC for and on behalf of the Trustee, and not on behalf of any other person or entity.

17. No agreement or understanding exists between SCAC and any other person for sharing compensation received in connection with this case, nor has any other person or entity agreed to provide SCAC with compensation for the legal services described herein.

18. SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(A) provides in pertinent part that, upon appropriate application and after a hearing, “[t]he court shall grant reasonable compensation for services rendered and reimbursement for proper costs and expenses incurred . . . by a trustee, and by the attorney for such a trustee.” SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C) specifically establishes SIPC’s role in connection with applications for compensation and the consideration the Court should give to SIPC’s recommendation concerning fees, providing as follows:

In any case in which such allowances are to be paid by SIPC without reasonable expectation of recoupment thereof as provided in this chapter and there is no difference between the amounts requested and the amounts recommended by SIPC, the court shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC. In determining the amount of allowances in all other cases, the court shall give due consideration to the nature, extent, and value of the services rendered, and shall place considerable reliance on the recommendation of SIPC.

SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C).

19. To the extent the general estate is insufficient to pay such allowances as an expense of administration, SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(E) requires SIPC to advance the funds necessary to pay the compensation of SCAC. *See* also SIPA § 78fff-3(b)(2).

20. At this time, the Trustee has determined that he has no reasonable expectation that the general estate will be sufficient to make a distribution to general creditors or pay administrative expenses. SIPC has advised the Trustee that it concurs with this determination. Accordingly, any fees and expenses allowed by this Court will be paid from advances by SIPC without any reasonable expectation by SIPC of recoupment thereof.

21. Therefore, with respect to this Application, SCAC requests that, consistent with SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C), the Court “shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC.” *See*

McGraw v. Betz (In re Bell & Beckwith), 112 B.R. 876 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1990). SCAC expects that SIPC's recommendation in support of the relief sought by this Application will be filed with this Court separately.

WHEREFORE, SCAC respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order:

- a. Granting this Application; and
- b. Releasing \$15,732.76 to SCAC from the Holdback for prior and current compensation periods; and
- c. Granting SCAC such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 9, 2020

SCA Creque

By: /s/ Seamus Andrew

Seamus Andrew

P.O. Box 2344

Mandar House, Johnson's Ghut

Road Town

British Virgin Islands

EXHIBIT A

SUMMARY OF INTERIM COMPENSATION OF SCA CREQUE

	TOTAL COMPENSATION
Holdback for Prior Compensation Periods:	\$31,465.51
Release of the Holdback:	\$15,732.76
Total to be Paid:	\$15,732.76