

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (212) 237-1000
Howard L. Simon
Alan Nisselson
Email: hsimon@windelsmarx.com
anisselson@windelsmarx.com

Hearing Date: August 29, 2018
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. EST
Objection Deadline: August 22, 2018
Time: 4:00 p.m. EDT

*Special Counsel to Irving H. Picard, Esq., Trustee for the
Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of
Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and
Alan Nisselson, the Chapter 7 Trustee of Bernard L. Madoff*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)
SIPA Liquidation
(Substantively Consolidated)

**TWENTY-SIXTH APPLICATION OF WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF,
LLP FOR ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES
RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF ACTUAL AND NECESSARY
EXPENSES INCURRED FROM DECEMBER 1, 2017 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2018
AND REQUEST FOR PARTIAL RELEASE OF HOLDBACK**

Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP (“Windels Marx” or the “Firm”) as special counsel to Irving H. Picard as trustee (the “Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation proceeding of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS” or “Debtor”), and to Alan Nisselson as trustee (the “Chapter 7 Trustee”) for the Chapter 7

estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”), pursuant to the Orders of the Court dated July 16, 2009 [Docket No. 327] and November 23, 2011 [Docket No. 4547], respectfully submits this application (the “Twenty-Sixth Application”) for an order pursuant to section 78eee(b)(5) of the Securities Investor Protection Act (“SIPA”), 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(5), sections 330 and 331 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et. seq.* (the “Bankruptcy Code”), and Rule 2016(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), allowing and awarding interim compensation for services performed by Windels Marx for the period commencing December 1, 2017 through and including March 31, 2018 (the “Compensation Period”) in the amount of \$1,590,899.58 of which 80%, or \$1,563,305.60 is to be paid currently and 20%, or \$390,826.40, is to be deferred through the conclusion of the liquidation proceeding or further order of the Court, and reimbursement of Windels Marx’s actual and necessary expenses incurred during the Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period in the amount of \$27,593.98, plus release of \$1,592,022.13 from the “holdback” accrued in connection with the Firm’s First through Twenty-Sixth Applications, and in support thereof, respectfully represents as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. During this Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period, Windels Marx continued to assist the Trustee and his counsel, Baker & Hostetler LLP (“Baker”), in their efforts to recover customer property for the victims of Madoff’s fraud.

2. Among other things, Windels Marx spent significant time during the Compensation Period working with Baker on matters related to this Court’s November 2016 Order regarding extraterritoriality and comity (the “ET Order”) and the Trustee’s appeal from same (the “ET Appeal”), including numerous legal research and drafting

projects and the analysis and review of matters and issues related to the ET Appeal, the Trustee's briefs, and related filings. In addition, the Firm performed work on the Trustee's active subsequent transfer cases, including reviewing and analyzing newly produced documents, conducting factual investigations and legal research, and updating and revising draft amended subsequent transfer complaints.

3. Windels Marx also spent time during the Compensation Period working on the Trustee's pre-answer discovery motion, including researching, investigating, and drafting memoranda on numerous legal and factual matters related to the motion and assisting Baker with drafting the Trustee's reply papers.

4. During the Compensation Period, we also provided significant support to, and worked in coordination with, Baker on the Trustee's good faith omnibus proof of Ponzi motion and various preparations related thereto.

5. Windels Marx also worked on its three remaining "Good Faith" adversary proceedings outstanding during the Compensation Period, including preparing for mediation in one case, documenting the dismissal of another case, which had previously settled, and finalizing and filing the settlement in and dismissal of another case. As a result of these efforts and as of the filing of this Twenty-Sixth Application, the Firm has settled and/or dismissed two of its three remaining Good Faith cases, and settled with and/or dismissed all but two of the defendants in the third and last case.

6. The following discussion and the materials attached to this Twenty-Sixth Application cover the major categories of services for which allowance of compensation is sought.

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

The SIPA Liquidation

7. On December 11, 2008, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the “District Court”) against defendants Madoff and BLMIS (Case No. 08 CV 10791) (the “SEC Action”). The SEC complaint alleged that Madoff and BLMIS engaged in fraud through the investment advisor activities of BLMIS.

8. In the SEC Action, the SEC consented to a combination of its own action with an application of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”). Thereafter, pursuant to section 78eee(a)(3) of the SIPA, SIPC filed an application in the District Court alleging, inter alia, that BLMIS was not able to meet its obligations to securities customers as they came due and, accordingly, its customers needed the protection afforded by SIPA.

9. On December 15, 2008, District Judge Stanton granted the SIPC application, which among other things:

- appointed Irving H. Picard as the SIPA Trustee for the liquidation of the business of BLMIS pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(3);
- appointed Baker as counsel to the SIPA Trustee pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(3); and
- removed the liquidation proceeding (the “BLMIS Liquidation”) to this Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(4).

The Bernard L. Madoff Chapter 7 Case

10. On April 13, 2009, Blumenthal & Associates Florida General Partnership, Martin Rappaport Charitable Remainder Unitrust, Martin Rappaport, Marc Chernoff and Steven Morganstern (collectively, the “Petitioning Creditors”), through their attorneys,

Milberg LLP, filed an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code against Madoff.

11. On April 16, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint a trustee in Madoff's case, and on that same date, the Court entered an Order to Show Cause Why the Court Should Not Enter an Order Directing the United States Trustee to Appoint an Interim Trustee.

12. On April 20, 2009, the Court entered an Order directing the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the "UST") to appoint an interim trustee to perform the duties of trustee as set forth in Bankruptcy Code §§ 701 and 704, pursuant to which the UST appointed Alan Nisselson as the Chapter 7 Trustee on April 21, 2009.

13. On April 24, 2009, the Court entered an Order authorizing and empowering the Chapter 7 Trustee to retain Windels Marx to represent him in the Madoff case, effective as of April 21, 2009.

14. On May 5, 2009, the SIPA Trustee and SIPC filed a joint motion for entry of an order pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code substantively consolidating the Madoff estate into the BLMIS Liquidation (the "Substantive Consolidation Motion").

15. On June 9, 2009, after the Chapter 7 Trustee filed a Response to the Substantive Consolidation Motion, the Court entered a Consent Order ("Consent Order") [Docket No. 252] which, among other things, approved the Substantive Consolidation Motion, *nunc pro tunc* to December 11, 2008.

16. On July 16, 2009, this Court entered an Order granting the Trustee's motion to retain Windels Marx as special counsel on behalf of the consolidated estate, *nunc pro*

tunc as of June 9, 2009 [Docket No. 327], finding that Windels Marx is disinterested pursuant to section 78eee(b)(6) of SIPA, section 327(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a) and is therefore in compliance with the disinterestedness requirement in section 78eee(b)(3) of SIPA, section 327(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a).

17. On November 23, 2011, this Court entered an Order [Docket No. 4547] making certain provisions of this Court's Order Establishing Procedures Governing Interim Monthly Compensation of the Trustee and Baker & Hostetler LLP, amended and superseded in its entirety on June 1, 2011, applicable to Windels Marx, *nunc pro tunc* as of June 1, 2011.

III. SPECIAL COUNSEL'S EXPERIENCE

18. Windels Marx engages in the general practice of law, and has substantial expertise in such areas as bankruptcy, commercial litigation, securities, tax and corporate law.

19. In particular, the senior Windels Marx attorneys in charge of this matter specialize in advising Chapter 7 and Chapter 11 bankruptcy trustees in connection with complex bankruptcy litigation related to, among other things, asset analysis and recovery.

IV. SUMMARY OF SERVICES

20. The services rendered by Windels Marx during the four-month Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period are described below. In rendering professional services to the Trustee and the Chapter 7 Trustee, Windels Marx's legal team has been composed of professionals with extensive experience in bankruptcy and complex commercial litigation, securities, tax, and corporate law.

21. Windels Marx professionals have worked closely with the Trustee, his counsel at Baker, and the Trustee's other retained professionals to coordinate their efforts in order to maximize efficiency and to avoid any duplication of effort.

22. This Twenty-Sixth Application is intended to serve as a summary description of the more significant services rendered by Windels Marx during the Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period. The following section provides an overview of those services, sorted by the matter and task codes used by Windels Marx for specific categories of work.

23. Matter Number 02 is the general matter number used for tasks that affect all proceedings commenced by Windels Marx on behalf of the Trustee, and task numbers have been assigned for specific categories of work to permit a more detailed analysis of the fees incurred.¹

24. Matter Numbers 03-23 relate to specific projects, adversary proceedings, or groups of adversary proceedings commenced, being handled, and/or being supervised by Windels Marx on behalf of the Trustee. Common tasks conducted by Windels Marx in these projects, adversary proceedings, or groups of adversary proceedings include the drafting of reports, analyses, and internal memoranda; the filing of papers and the scheduling of matters with the Bankruptcy and/or District Courts; on-going coordination with Baker regarding investigation and litigation strategy; and/or discussions with opposing counsel regarding representation, service, and appearance issues, extensions of time to respond, adjournments of pre-trial conferences, discovery, and settlement. For the sake of brevity, the descriptions of specific projects, adversary proceedings, or groups of

¹ Matter 01 is reserved for certain non-billable time entries.

adversary proceedings in paragraphs 25 through 53 below will not repeat these common tasks, but will be limited to matter-specific tasks and case activity that occurred during the Compensation Period.

A. MADOFF GENERAL (MATTER 02)

Task Code 004: Case Administration (23.00 hours)

25. This category relates to various tasks across cases, including (i) research projects and memoranda of general applicability for use by Windels Marx and/or Baker, (ii) the on-going review and reporting internally and to SIPC and Baker on the status of cases and case-wide issues, (iii) the on-going review, organizing, and docketing of case-related information into Baker's and Windels Marx's central databases for use across all Windels Marx and Baker teams, (iv) coordinated tasks affecting or related to one or more Windels Marx and/or Baker cases, and (v) general administrative tasks.

26. Starting in December 2016, time entries previously included in Case Administration that related to global and case-wide matters affecting our subsequent transfer cases (including matters related to the ET Order and the ET Appeal) have been moved to matter 23 below.

27. Time entries during this Compensation Period related to, among other things, (i) updating weekly case status reports, (ii) reviewing and analyzing certain court filings for applicability to issues relevant to Windels Marx and Baker cases, and (iii) participating in and working on global matters raised in team meetings led by Baker.

Task Code 007: Fee Application (77.60 hours)

28. This category relates to the Firm's preparation of Windels Marx's monthly billing statements to SIPC and the Trustee, as well as Windels Marx's Applications for

Interim Compensation, and the time spent by attorneys and paraprofessionals in reviewing the Windels Marx billing statements before they are submitted to SIPC and the Trustee, to (i) ensure that time was properly billed, (ii) correct any errors in time entries, (iii) write off certain time and expenses as agreed to by Windels Marx for the benefit of SIPC, and (iv) respond to certain adjustments requested or questions raised by SIPC and/or the Trustee after their review of the monthly billing statements and draft fee application.

Task Code 020: Internal Office Meetings (28.90 hours)

29. This category covers internal Windels Marx strategy and case management sessions related to the Firm's pending adversary proceedings. Through these internal meetings and discussions, Windels Marx seeks to ensure the effective use of the time of all timekeepers working on matters, to avoid duplicative efforts, and to provide a consistent approach to the 12 adversary proceedings outstanding during this period, the coordinated cases discussed in Matter 20 below, and other case-related legal matters being handled by the Firm.

Task Code 041: Discovery, Document Review, Document Production (143.90 hours)

30. This category relates to discovery and related issues not specific to a Windels Marx case, such as document productions in response to third party subpoenas, productions of documents produced by parties with whom Baker has a conflict, confidentiality issues concerning these document productions, and other discovery-related work that is responsive to requests from Baker or applicable generally to Windels Marx's cases.

31. Time entries during this Compensation Period related to, among other things, (i) working on matters related to the Trustee's pre-answer discovery motion,

including (a) conducting research and drafting memoranda on issues related to the motion and (b) developing and analyzing strategies and options for responding to defendants' opposing papers and assisting Baker in drafting the Trustee's reply papers and preparing for the related court hearing; (ii) preparing bank subpoenas for Baker in Good Faith cases and/or handling related service and document production issues; (iii) working on issues related to document reviews applicable to Windels Marx and Baker cases; and (iv) participating in and following up on issues raised in meetings of Baker's Discovery Management Team (and sub-groups thereof) on general discovery protocols, strategies, and issues.

B. GOOD FAITH PROCEEDINGS (MATTER 07) (1,236.30 hours)

32. This category relates to 3 "Good Faith" adversary proceedings against BLMIS Investment Advisor net winner customers that were outstanding during this Compensation Period, seeking to recover approximately \$12.03 million in two-year fictitious profits paid out by BLMIS in the aggregate.

33. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period included, among other things, (i) preparing for mediation of Windels Marx's one remaining active good faith case, including reviewing relevant mediation filings and researching and analyzing mediation-related and certain evidentiary issues and (ii) working on matters related to the settlement, closing, and/or dismissal of two good faith cases and with one defendant in the active good faith case.

34. Windels Marx also spent significant time working and assisting Baker on matters related to the Trustee's motion for an order establishing a good faith omnibus proceeding for the purpose of determining the existence, duration, and scope of the Ponzi

scheme at BLMIS, including (a) conducting research and analysis, and drafting related memoranda, on numerous legal and factual issues relating to the motion and omnibus proceeding, (b) drafting sections and language for the memorandum, proposed order, and other filings submitted with the motion, and (c) considering and analyzing options and next steps for the motion, hearing, and omnibus proceeding itself.

35. During this Compensation Period, Windels Marx closed and dismissed one previously settled case, settled and dismissed one additional case, and settled with one individual defendant. As of the close of the Compensation Period and the filing of this Twenty-Sixth Application, only one of the three good faith matters that were outstanding during this Compensation Period remains active. In that remaining action, Windels Marx has settled with and/or dismissed eight of the defendants, and the action continues as to two remaining defendants.

C. CREDIT SUISSE (MATTER 12) (2.50 hours)

36. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on December 12, 2011 to recover subsequent transfers of BLMIS Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendants totaling over \$375 million. *Picard v. Credit Suisse AG, et al.*, Adv. Pro. No. 11-02925 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB). This case was dismissed by virtue of the ET Order and is a part of the ET Appeal.

37. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, the de minimis time entries during this Compensation Period related to factual research and analysis relevant to all Credit Suisse-related defendant entities.

D. SOLON CAPITAL (MATTER 13) (5.20 hours)

38. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on January 17, 2012, amended July 30, 2012, to recover subsequent transfers of BLMIS Customer Property from a BLMIS feeder fund to Defendant totaling approximately \$2 million. *Picard v. Solon Capital, Ltd.*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01025 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB). This case was dismissed by virtue of the ET Order and is a part of the ET Appeal.

39. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, the de minimis time entries during this Compensation Period related to document review and analysis potentially relevant to other, still active cases.

E. ZEPHYROS (MATTER 14) (390.70 hours)

40. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on April 5, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendant totaling over \$114 million. *Picard v. Zephyros Limited*, Adv Pro. No. 12-01278 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB). This case was dismissed in part by virtue of the ET Order and is a part of the ET Appeal.

41. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) conducting targeted document searches and reviewing and analyzing newly produced third party documents to support the draft amended complaint and (ii) revising and updating certain sections of the draft amended complaint.

F. MISTRAL (MATTER 15) (63.00 hours)

42. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on April 5, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendant totaling approximately \$3.4 million. *Picard v. Mistral (SPC)*, Adv Pro. No.

12-01273 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB). This case was dismissed in part by virtue of the ET Order. It is not a part of the Trustee's ET Appeal.

43. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing newly produced third party and other documents to identify additional support for certain allegations in the draft amended complaint and (ii) reviewing and updating the draft amended complaint.

G. SOCIETE GENERALE (MATTER 16) (91.90 hours)

44. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on May 30, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendants totaling over \$162 million. *Picard v. Societe Generale Private Banking (Suisse) S.A. (f/k/a SG Private Banking Suisse S.A.)*, Adv Pro. No. 12-01677 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB). This case was dismissed by virtue of the ET Order and is a part of the ET Appeal.

45. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period primarily related to (i) reviewing documents, conducting factual research, and drafting summary memoranda to prepare and maintain the Societe Generale case files pending appeal and (ii) updating the Societe Generale draft amended complaint.

H. ROYAL BANK OF CANADA (MATTER 17) (837.00 hours)

46. This category contains entries related to two avoidance actions. The first action relates to an avoidance action filed on June 6, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendants totaling over \$100 million. *Picard v. Royal Bank of Canada*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01699 (SMB).

47. The second action under Matter 17 relates to an avoidance action filed on June 6, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendants totaling over \$80 million. *Picard v. Banque Internationale a Luxembourg S.A. (f/k/a Dexia Banque Internationale a Luxembourg S.A.)*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01698 (SMB).

48. These cases were dismissed in part by virtue of the ET Order and are a part of the ET Appeal.

49. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing documents from recent third party productions to support the draft amended complaints, (ii) conducting factual research and analysis into certain issues regarding the allegations in the draft amended complaint, and (iii) revising certain sections in and otherwise updating the draft amended complaints.

I. COORDINATED CASES (MATTER 20) (290.40 hours)

50. This category relates to a group of thirteen subsequent transferee cases, filed by Baker and staffed with Baker attorneys, which Howard Simon is supervising, with supporting work by other Windels attorneys, to facilitate the coordination of common information, issues, and documents between the firms and take advantage of staffing efficiencies. During the Twenty-Sixth Compensation period, this category also included work performed at Baker Hostetler's request in connection with an additional subsequent transferee case, staffed primarily with Baker attorneys, for which Windels is providing support. These fourteen "Coordinated Cases" were dismissed by virtue of the ET Order and are a part of the ET Appeal. During the Twenty-Sixth Compensation period, this

category also included work performed at Baker Hostetler's request in connection with the Tremont group of Madoff feeder funds, and application of same to all Windels Marx and Baker subsequent transferee cases.

51. Time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing documents from recent third party productions to support the Coordinated Cases' post-appeal draft amended complaints, (ii) updating language and certain sections in the Coordinated Cases draft amended complaints, (iii) researching and drafting language in connection with Tremont-related allegations in Windels Marx's and Baker's subsequent transfer draft amended complaints, and (iv) participating in and working with Baker attorneys on recent developments, next steps, and priorities raised in Tremont and other team meetings.

**J. CASE-WIDE SUBSEQUENT TRANSFER LITIGATION (MATTER 23)
(1,404.90 hours)**

52. This category relates to the ET Appeal and any other omnibus-style decisions, motion practice, research, or other tasks related to Windels Marx's subsequent transfer cases globally or case-wide tasks needed by the Trustee with respect to subsequent transfer cases.

53. Time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) researching, analyzing, and drafting memoranda on numerous legal and factual issues related to and for use in the ET Appeal and/or surviving subsequent transfer cases going forward, (ii) reviewing, commenting on, and drafting language and sections for the Trustee's ET Appeal opening and reply briefs, (iii) reviewing newly produced and key documents for additional support for the subsequent transfer draft amended complaints, and (iv)

preparing for, participating in, and working on issues in connection with the ET Appeal and subsequent transferee-related team meetings.

V. COMPENSATION REQUESTED

54. During the Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period, Windels Marx expended 4,599.30 hours in the rendition of professional and paraprofessional services on behalf of the Trustee and the Chapter 7 Trustee, resulting in a blended attorney hourly rate of \$427.88 for fees incurred.

55. Prior to filing this Twenty-Sixth Application, Windels Marx provided to SIPC and the Trustee (i) its December 1, 2017 through March 31, 2018 monthly statements setting forth the Firm's total fees for services rendered and expenses incurred on behalf of the consolidated estate for each month during the period December 1, 2017 through March 31, 2018 in the aggregate amount of \$2,343,565.53 and \$28,261.83, respectively, and (ii) a draft of this Twenty-Sixth Application. The Firm wrote off certain unbilled and billed time, and SIPC's staff made certain additional adjustments and suggestions, which were adopted by Windels Marx. After such write-offs and adjustments (and the 10% discount), Windels Marx's December 1, 2017 through March 31, 2018 monthly statements reflected total fees and expenses of \$1,954,132.00 and \$27,593.98, respectively.

56. Specifically, Windels Marx's total fees and expenses for this Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period were \$2,343,565.53 and \$28,261.83, respectively. In connection with preparing each of the four monthly statements and this Twenty-Sixth Application, Windels Marx voluntarily (i) wrote off unbilled time of \$113,317.20, (ii) wrote off billed time of \$58,990.56 and (iii) reduced its total remaining fees of \$2,171,257.77 to

\$1,954,132.00 by discounting the Firm's rates by 10% at SIPC's request (resulting in a voluntary reduction of \$389,433.53 or approximately 16.62% of Windels Marx's total fees). Windels Marx also agreed to write off expenses customarily charged to other clients in the amount of \$667.85, resulting in \$27,593.98 of remaining expenses. Such fees and expenses are reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in the Chapter 7 and 11 Cases and comparable bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy cases in a competitive national legal market. Total hours expended after all reductions equal 4,599.30. In addition to the fees sought in this application, with the approval and support of SIPC, Windels Marx requests a release of \$1,592,022.13 from the holdback, which equals approximately 50% of all amounts (i) previously held back and not released to the Firm and (ii) contemplated to be held back by this Twenty-Sixth Application.²

57. There is no agreement or understanding among the Trustee, Windels Marx or any other person, other than members of Windels Marx, for sharing of compensation to be received for services rendered in this case.

58. This Twenty-Sixth Application has been prepared in accordance with the Amended Guidelines for Fees and Disbursements of Professionals in Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Cases adopted by the Court on February 5, 2013 (the "Local Guidelines"). Pursuant to the Local Guidelines, the certification of Howard L. Simon, Esq. regarding compliance with the same is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

² In connection with Windels Marx's First through Twenty-Sixth fee applications, \$12,025,875.64 was, or is contemplated to be, held back. By Orders dated September 14, 2010, December 17, 2013, December 18, 2014, August 20, 2015, September 7, 2016, and August 23, 2017, the Court previously approved the release of an aggregate amount of \$8,841,831.39. Windels Marx now requests that \$1,592,022.13 be released, or approximately 50% of the holdback balance as of March 31, 2018 of \$3,184,044.25.

59. Exhibit B annexed hereto provides a schedule of Windels Marx professionals and paraprofessionals who have provided services during the Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period, the capacity in which each individual is employed by the Firm, the year in which each attorney was licensed to practice law in New York, the hourly billing rate charged by Windels Marx for services provided by each individual and the aggregate number of hours billed by each individual. The 10% discount (as described above) is already reflected in the total amount billed.

60. Exhibit C annexed hereto provides a schedule of the expenses for which reimbursement is requested. The requested expenses are customarily charged to and paid by Windels Marx's bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy clients. At SIPC's request, Windels Marx has not charged for local travel expenses and overtime meals, which categories of expenses are regularly charged to and paid by Windels Marx's clients.

61. Exhibit D annexed hereto is a summary by matter and task code of services performed by Windels Marx from December 1, 2017 through March 31, 2018.

62. To the extent that time or disbursement charges for services rendered or disbursements incurred relate to the Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period, but were not classified or processed prior to the preparation of this Twenty-Sixth Application, Windels Marx reserves the right to request additional compensation for such services and reimbursement of such expenses in a future application.

VI. WINDELS MARX'S REQUEST FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION SHOULD BE GRANTED

63. Section 78eee(b)(5)(A) of SIPA provides in pertinent part that, upon appropriate application and after a hearing, "[t]he court shall grant reasonable compensation for services rendered and reimbursement for proper costs and expenses

incurred ... by a trustee ...” Section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA specifically establishes SIPC’s role in connection with applications for compensation and the consideration the Court should give to SIPC’s recommendation concerning fees. That section provides as follows:

In any case in which such allowances are to be paid by SIPC without reasonable expectation of recoupment thereof as provided in this Chapter and there is no difference between the amounts requested and the amounts recommended by SIPC, the court shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC. In determining the amount of allowances in all other cases, the court shall give due consideration to the nature, extent, and value of the services rendered, and shall place considerable reliance on the recommendations of SIPC.

64. To the extent the general estate is insufficient to pay such allowances as an expense of administration, section 78eee(b)(5)(E) of SIPA requires SIPC to advance the funds necessary to pay the compensation of Windels Marx (*see* section 78fff-3(b)(2) of SIPA).

65. Based on the allocation process set forth in SIPA, the Trustee has determined at this time that he has no reasonable expectation that the general estate will be sufficient to make any distribution to general creditors or pay any administrative expenses. That is, the Trustee believes that any assets allocated to the BLMIS general estate will be exhausted prior to his being able to reimburse SIPC fully. The Trustee has been advised by SIPC that it concurs with this belief of the Trustee. Accordingly, any fees and expenses allowed by this Court will be paid from advances by SIPC without any reasonable expectation by SIPC of recoupment thereof.

66. Therefore, with respect to this Twenty-Sixth Application, Windels Marx requests that consistent with section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA, the Court “award the

amounts recommended by SIPC.” *See In re Bell & Beckwith*, 112 B.R. 876 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1990). Windels Marx expects that SIPC will file its recommendation to the Court with respect to this Twenty-Sixth Application prior to the hearing, currently scheduled for August 29, 2018.

67. Windels Marx submits that the request for interim allowance of compensation made through this Twenty-Sixth Application is reasonable and complies with the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code governing applications for compensation and reimbursement of expenses, pursuant to section 78eee(b)(5) of SIPA.

VII. CONCLUSION

68. Windels Marx respectfully submits that the services rendered during the Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period merit the approval of the fees and disbursements requested herein, and respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order: (i) allowing and awarding \$1,590,899.58 (of which 80%, or \$1,563,305.60, is to be paid currently, and 20%, or \$390,826.40 is to be deferred through the conclusion of the liquidation proceeding or further order of the Court) as an interim payment for professional services rendered by Windels Marx during the Twenty-Sixth Compensation Period, and \$27,593.98 as reimbursement of the actual and necessary costs and expenses incurred by the Firm in connection with the rendition of such services, plus a release of \$1,592,022.13 from the “holdback” accrued in connection with the Firm’s First through Twenty-Sixth fee applications and (ii) granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
July 13, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
*Special Counsel for Irving H. Picard, Trustee for
the SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff
Investment Securities LLC and Alan Nisselson, the
Chapter 7 Trustee of Bernard L. Madoff*

/s/ Howard S. Simon

Howard L. Simon (hsimon@windelsmarx.com)

156 West 56th Street

New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 237-1000

Facsimile: (212) 262-1215

Exhibit A

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

AFFIDAVIT OF HOWARD L. SIMON

STATE OF NEW YORK)

ss:

COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

HOWARD L. SIMON, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am an attorney admitted to the bar of this Court and a member of the firm of Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP (“Windels Marx”). I submit this affidavit in support of the Twenty-Sixth application (“Twenty-Sixth Application”) of Windels Marx, as special counsel to Irving H. Picard as trustee (the “Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation proceeding of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) and to Alan Nisselson (the “Chapter 7 Trustee”) as trustee for the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”), pursuant to the Orders of this Court dated July 16, 2009 [Docket No. 327] and November 23, 2011 [Docket No. 4547], for allowance of interim compensation for services performed and reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses incurred during the period commencing

December 1, 2017 through and including March 31, 2018 (the “Compensation Period”), plus a partial release of the “holdback” from the Firm’s prior fee applications, pursuant to section 78eee(b)(5) of the Securities Investor Protection Act (“SIPA”), 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(5), sections 330 and 331 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the “Bankruptcy Code”), and Rule 2016(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”).

2. I submit this affidavit pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2016(a) in support of Windels Marx’s Application for allowance of compensation in the amount of \$1,590,899.58 for professional services rendered during the Compensation Period (of which 20%, or \$390,826.40 is to be deferred through the conclusion of the liquidation proceeding or further order of the Court) and reimbursement in the amount of \$27,593.98 for necessary expenses incurred during the Compensation Period, and a release of \$1,592,022.13 from the “holdback” accrued in connection with the Firm’s First through Twenty-Sixth fee applications.

3. As the supervising attorney in charge of the attorneys and paraprofessionals staffed on this matter, I am familiar with such services and with these proceedings. These statements are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, based upon conversations I have conducted with attorneys at Windels Marx, the Trustee, and his counsel Baker & Hostetler, LLP, and upon records kept by Windels Marx in the normal course of business.

4. I hereby certify that (i) I have read the Twenty-Sixth Application and (ii) to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry, the Twenty-Sixth Application substantially complies with the guidelines for fee applications under Bankruptcy Rule 2016(a).

5. Windels Marx’s fees in this case reflect a 10% public interest discount from Windels Marx’s standard rates. Windels Marx has also voluntarily written-off an additional \$58,990.56 in fees and \$113,317.20 in unbilled time. These discounts and write-offs have

resulted in a voluntary reduction of \$389,433.53. Windels Marx's fees are reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in comparable bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy cases in a competitive national legal market.

6. I hereby certify that members of SIPC have been provided with a copy of this Twenty-Sixth Application.

7. I hereby certify that members of SIPC have been provided with monthly statements of fees and disbursements accrued during the Compensation Period.

8. I hereby certify that (i) in providing reimbursable nonlegal services to the estate, Windels Marx does not make a profit on such services; and (ii) in seeking reimbursement for a service which Windels Marx justifiably purchased or contracted from a third party, Windels Marx requests reimbursement only for the amount billed to Windels Marx by the third-party vendors and paid by Windels Marx to such vendors.

9. Windels Marx has not made any previous application for allowance of fees for professional services rendered during the Compensation Period.

10. There is no agreement or understanding between the Trustee, Windels Marx and any other person, other than members of Windels Marx, for sharing of compensation to be perceived for services rendered in this case.

11. No agreement or understanding prohibited by 18 U.S.C. §155 has been made or shall be made by Windels Marx.

/s/ Howard L. Simon
Howard L. Simon

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 13th day of July 2018.

/s/ Maritza Segarra

Maritza Segarra

Notary Public, State of New York

No. 01SE4652865

Qualified in Westchester County

Commission Expires December 31, 2021

Exhibit B

SUMMARY OF TWENTY-SIXTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF WINDELS
MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP FOR SERVICES RENDERED FROM
DECEMBER 1, 2017 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2018

Name	Year Admitted	Dec 2017- Mar 2018 Standard Hourly Rate	Dec 2017- Mar 2018 Total Hours Billed	Aug-Nov 2017 Total Compensation
Partners				
Howard L. Simon	1977	610.00	409.50	\$ 249,795.00
Kim M. Longo	2002	480.00	669.10	\$ 321,168.00
Antonio J. Casas	1992	480.00	252.70	\$ 121,296.00
Total Partners			1,331.30	\$692,259.00
Special Counsel				
Brian W. Kreutter	2003	390.00	2.90	\$ 1,131.00
John J. Tepedino	2005	390.00	458.00	\$ 178,620.00
Total Special Counsel			460.90	\$179,751.00
Associates				
Karen M. Cullen	1981	440.00	646.00	\$ 284,240.00
Carol LaFond	2000	410.00	503.30	\$ 206,353.00
Lindsay H. Sklar	2014	370.00	430.20	\$ 159,174.00
Alan D. Lawn	2009	365.00	517.30	\$ 188,814.50
Alex Jonatowski	2007	355.00	639.00	\$ 226,845.00
Total Associates			2,735.80	\$1,065,426.50
Paraprofessionals				
Matthew Corwin		235.00	69.80	\$ 16,403.00
Julius D. Crockwell		195.00	1.50	\$ 292.50
Total Paraprofessionals			71.30	\$ 16,695.50

	Hours	Total Fees
Partners	1,331.30	\$692,259.00
Special Counsel	460.90	\$179,751.00
Associates	2,735.80	\$1,065,426.50
Paraprofessionals	71.30	\$16,695.50
Blended Attorney Rate	427.88	
GRAND TOTAL	4,599.30	\$ 1,954,132.00

Exhibit C

SUMMARY OF TWENTY-SIXTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF
WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP FOR EXPENSES
INCURRED FROM
DECEMBER 1, 2017 THROUGH AND INCLUDING MARCH 31, 2018

Code Description	Amount
Reproduction	\$ 169.10
Telephone - Reimbursements	\$ 12.90
Postage	\$ 4.72
Air Courier/Messenger	\$ 509.12
Online Research	\$ 26,003.84
Professional Services	\$ 130.00
Search Fees	\$ 764.30
TOTAL	\$ 27,593.98

Exhibit D

COMPENSATION BY MATTER AND TASK CODE FOR SERVICES RENDERED BY WINDELS MARX
LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP FOR THE TWENTY-SIXTH INTERIM PERIOD OF
DECEMBER 1, 2017 THROUGH AND INCLUDING MARCH 31, 2018

Matter Number	Matter Name	Task Code	Task Code Description	Hours	Amount
2	Attorneys for Trustee	004	Case Administration	23.00	\$ 8,554.50
		007	Fee Application	77.60	32,487.00
		020	Internal Office Meetings	28.90	11,906.50
		041	Discovery, Document Review, Document Production	143.90	73,823.00
7	Good Faith Avoidance Actions	010	Litigation	1,236.30	540,522.50
12	Credit Suisse	010	Litigation	2.50	647.50
13	Solon Capital	010	Litigation	5.20	2,132.00
14	Zephyros	010	Litigation	390.70	156,241.50
15	Mistral	010	Litigation	63.00	22,994.00
16	Societe Generale	010	Litigation	91.90	35,809.00
17	Royal Bank of Canada	010	Litigation	837.00	334,727.00
20	Coordinated Cases	010	Litigation	294.40	117,497.50
23	Case-Wide Subsequent Transfer Litigation	010	Litigation	1,404.90	616,790.00
			TOTALS	4,599.30	\$ 1,954,132.00