

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (212) 237-1000
Howard L. Simon
Alan Nisselson
Email: hsimon@windelsmarx.com
anisselson@windelsmarx.com

Hearing Date: August 20, 2015
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. EST
Objection Deadline: August 13, 2015
Time: 4:00 p.m. EST

*Special Counsel to Irving H. Picard, Esq., Trustee for the
Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of
Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and
Alan Nisselson, the Chapter 7 Trustee of Bernard L. Madoff*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)
SIPA Liquidation
(Substantively Consolidated)

**SEVENTEENTH APPLICATION OF WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF,
LLP FOR ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES
RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF ACTUAL AND NECESSARY
EXPENSES INCURRED FROM DECEMBER 1, 2014 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2015
AND REQUEST FOR PARTIAL RELEASE OF HOLDBACK**

Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP (“Windels Marx” or the “Firm”) as special counsel to Irving H. Picard as trustee (the “Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation proceeding of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS” or “Debtor”), and to Alan Nisselson as trustee (the “Chapter 7 Trustee”) for the Chapter 7

estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”), pursuant to the Orders of this Court dated July 16, 2009 [Docket No. 327] and November 23, 2011 [Docket No. 4547], respectfully submits this application (the “Seventeenth Application”) for an order pursuant to section 78eee(b)(5) of the Securities Investor Protection Act (“SIPA”), 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(5), sections 330 and 331 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et. seq. (the “Bankruptcy Code”), and Rule 2016(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), allowing and awarding interim compensation for services performed by Windels Marx for the period commencing December 1, 2014 through and including March 31, 2015 (the “Compensation Period”) in the amount of \$2,768,206.00 of which 80%, or \$2,214,564.80 is to be paid currently and 20%, or \$553,641.20, is to be deferred through the conclusion of the liquidation proceeding or further order of the Court, and reimbursement of Windels Marx’s actual and necessary expenses incurred during the Seventeenth Compensation Period in the amount of \$24,720.85, plus release of \$783,754.22 from the “holdback” accrued in connection with the Firm’s First through Seventeenth applications, and in support thereof, respectfully represents as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. During this Seventeenth Compensation Period, Windels Marx continued to assist the Trustee and his counsel, Baker & Hostetler LLP (“Baker”), in their efforts to recover customer property for the victims of Madoff’s fraud.

2. Among other things, Windels Marx continued its investigations into certain subsequent transferees of BLMIS Customer Property for which complaints were previously filed, including related work (i) addressing the confidentiality status of documents for use in such cases, (ii) analyzing the facts and documents of each case in

anticipation of amending the complaints to address the “good faith” standard and other revisions, and (iii) analyzing the facts and documents of each case in light of Judge Rakoff’s July 3, 2014 opinion regarding extraterritoriality.

3. We also continued to work on the three actions against entities owned by members of the Madoff family, on the 27 “Good Faith” adversary proceedings outstanding during the Compensation Period, and on three additional good faith cases transferred over to Windels Marx from Baker in December 2014.

4. Windels Marx also spent time on matters for which we provide assistance to, and work in coordination with, Baker, including (i) investigations of certain of Baker’s feeder fund and subsequent transferee defendants, (ii) legal research and drafting of memoranda on common issues relating to some or all of Baker’s and Windels Marx’s cases, and (iii) significant discovery support for certain of Baker’s avoidance actions to the extent Baker has a legal conflict.

5. The following discussion and the materials attached to this Seventeenth Application cover the major categories of services for which allowance of compensation is sought.

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

The SIPA Liquidation

6. On December 11, 2008, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the “District Court”) against defendants Madoff and BLMIS (Case No. 08 CV 10791) (the “SEC Action”). The SEC complaint alleged that Madoff and BLMIS engaged in fraud through the investment advisor activities of BLMIS.

7. In the SEC Action, the SEC consented to a combination of its own action with an application of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”). Thereafter, pursuant to section 78eee(a)(3) of the SIPA, SIPC filed an application in the District Court alleging, inter alia, that BLMIS was not able to meet its obligations to securities customers as they came due and, accordingly, its customers needed the protection afforded by SIPA.

8. On December 15, 2008, District Judge Stanton granted the SIPC application, which among other things:

- appointed Irving H. Picard as the SIPA Trustee for the liquidation of the business of BLMIS pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(3);
- appointed Baker as counsel to the SIPA Trustee pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(3); and
- removed the liquidation proceeding (the “BLMIS Liquidation”) to this Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(4).

The Bernard L. Madoff Chapter 7 Case

9. On April 13, 2009, Blumenthal & Associates Florida General Partnership, Martin Rappaport Charitable Remainder Unitrust, Martin Rappaport, Marc Cherno and Steven Morganstern (collectively, the “Petitioning Creditors”), through their attorneys, Milberg LLP, filed an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code against Madoff.

10. On April 16, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint a trustee in Madoff’s case, and on that same date, the Court entered an Order to Show Cause Why the Court Should Not Enter an Order Directing the United States Trustee to Appoint an Interim Trustee.

11. On April 20, 2009, the Court entered an Order directing the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the “UST”) to appoint an

interim trustee to perform the duties of trustee as set forth in Bankruptcy Code §§ 701 and 704, pursuant to which the UST appointed Alan Nisselson as the Chapter 7 Trustee on April 21, 2009.

12. On April 24, 2009, the Court entered an Order authorizing and empowering the Chapter 7 Trustee to retain Windels Marx to represent him in the Madoff case, effective as of April 21, 2009.

13. On May 5, 2009, the SIPA Trustee and SIPC filed a joint motion for entry of an order pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code substantively consolidating the Madoff estate into the BLMIS Liquidation (the “Substantive Consolidation Motion”).

14. On June 9, 2009, after the Chapter 7 Trustee filed a Response to the Substantive Consolidation Motion, the Court entered a Consent Order (“Consent Order”) [Docket No. 252] which, among other things:

- approved the Substantive Consolidation Motion, *nunc pro tunc* to December 11, 2008;
- ordered that the Chapter 7 Trustee would remain trustee to the Madoff estate and would continue to have all powers, rights, claims, and interests of a Chapter 7 trustee pursuant to, among others, Chapters 5 and 7 of the Bankruptcy Code;
- directed that the Trustee would have all the duties and powers of a SIPA trustee and of a Chapter 7 trustee, other than those specifically granted to the Chapter 7 Trustee as indicated above; and
- ordered that the Chapter 7 Trustee would be authorized to use as his counsel any counsel or special counsel retained by the Trustee, after consultation with, and the approval of, the Trustee and SIPC.

15. On July 16, 2009, this Court entered an Order granting the Trustee’s motion to retain Windels Marx as special counsel on behalf of the consolidated estate, *nunc pro tunc* as of June 9, 2009 [Docket No. 327], finding that Windels Marx is disinterested pursuant to provisions section 78eee(b)(6) of SIPA, section 327(a) of the Bankruptcy

Code, and Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a) and is therefore in compliance with the disinterestedness requirement in section 78eee(b)(3) of SIPA, section 327(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a).

16. On November 23, 2011, this Court entered an Order [Docket No. 4547] making certain provisions of this Court's Order Establishing Procedures Governing Interim Monthly Compensation of the Trustee and Baker & Hostetler LLP, amended and superseded in its entirety on June 1, 2011, applicable to Windels Marx, *nunc pro tunc* as of June 1, 2011.

III. SPECIAL COUNSEL'S EXPERIENCE

17. Windels Marx engages in the general practice of law, and has substantial expertise in such areas as bankruptcy, commercial litigation, securities, tax and corporate law.

18. In particular, the senior Windels Marx attorneys in charge of this matter specialize in advising Chapter 7 and Chapter 11 bankruptcy trustees in connection with complex bankruptcy litigation related to, among other things, asset analysis and recovery.

IV. SUMMARY OF SERVICES

19. The services rendered by Windels Marx during the four-month Seventeenth Compensation Period are described below. In rendering professional services to the Trustee and the Chapter 7 Trustee, Windels Marx's legal team has been composed of professionals with extensive experience in bankruptcy and complex commercial litigation, securities, tax, and corporate law.

20. Windels Marx professionals have worked closely with the Trustee, his counsel Baker, and the Trustee's other retained professionals to coordinate their efforts in

order to maximize efficiency and to avoid any duplication of effort.

21. This Seventeenth Application is intended to serve as a summary description of the more significant services rendered by Windels Marx during the Seventeenth Compensation Period. The following section provides an overview of those services, sorted by the matter and task codes used by Windels Marx for specific categories of work.

22. Matter Number 02 is the general matter number used for tasks that affect all proceedings commenced by Windels Marx on behalf of the Trustee, and task numbers have been assigned for specific categories of work to permit a more detailed analysis of the fees incurred.¹

23. Matter Numbers 03-20 relate to specific projects, adversary proceedings, or groups of adversary proceedings commenced, being handled, and/or being supervised by Windels Marx on behalf of the Trustee. Common tasks conducted by Windels Marx in these projects, adversary proceedings, or groups of adversary proceedings include the drafting of reports, analyses, and internal memoranda; the filing of papers and the scheduling of matters with the Bankruptcy and/or District Courts; on-going coordination with Baker regarding investigation and litigation strategy; and/or discussions with opposing counsel regarding representation, service, and appearance issues, extensions of time to respond, adjournments of pre-trial conferences, discovery, and settlement. For the sake of brevity, the descriptions of specific projects, adversary proceedings, or groups of adversary proceedings in paragraphs 24 through 57 below will not repeat these common tasks, but will be limited to matter-specific tasks and case activity that occurred during the Compensation Period.

¹ Matter 01 is reserved for certain non-billable time entries.

A. MADOFF GENERAL (MATTER 02)

Task Code 004: Case Administration (944.8 hours)

24. This category relates to various tasks across cases, including (i) research projects and memoranda of general applicability for use by Windels Marx and/or Baker, (ii) the on-going review and reporting internally and to SIPC and Baker on the status of cases and case-wide issues, (iii) the on-going review, organizing, and docketing of case-related information into Baker's and Windels Marx's central databases for use across all Windels Marx and Baker teams, and (iv) coordinated tasks affecting or related to one or more Windels Marx and/or Baker cases, and (v) general administrative tasks.

25. Time entries during this Compensation Period related to, among other things, work common to the Firm's subsequent transferee cases and subsequent transferee-related projects performed at Baker's request, including (i) analysis, legal research, and drafting outlines and memoranda on numerous arguments and issues affecting Windels Marx and Baker subsequent transferee cases, (ii) reviewing and analyzing documents for further evidence of redemptions, and other factual research and analyses, common to the Firm's and Baker's subsequent transferee cases and for use in the Firm's and Baker's anticipated briefing as to the extraterritoriality issue, (iii) assisting with drafting and research related to specific aspects of the anticipated extraterritoriality briefing, and (iv) attending, and addressing common issues raised in, team meetings led by Baker.

Task Code 007: Fee Application (79.1 hours)

26. This category relates to the Firm's preparation of Windels Marx's monthly billing statements to SIPC, as well as Windels Marx's Applications for Interim Compensation, and the time spent by attorneys and paraprofessionals in reviewing the

Windels Marx billing statements before they are submitted to SIPC and the Trustee, to ensure that time was properly billed, to correct any errors in time entries, to write off certain time and expenses as agreed to by Windels Marx for the benefit of SIPC, and to respond to certain adjustments requested by SIPC and/or the Trustee after their review of the monthly billing statements and draft fee application.

Task Code 020: Internal Office Meetings (10.3 hours)

27. This category covers internal Windels Marx strategy and case management sessions related to the Firm's pending adversary proceedings. Through these internal meetings and discussions, Windels Marx seeks to ensure the effective use of the time of all timekeepers working on matters, to avoid duplicative efforts, and to provide a consistent approach to the approximately 45 adversary proceedings outstanding during this period, Windels Marx's "coordinated cases" as discussed in Matter 20 below, and other legal matters being handled by the Firm.

Task Code 041: Discovery, Document Review, Document Production (1,465.3 hours)

28. This category relates to discovery and related issues not specific to a Windels Marx case, such as document productions in response to third party subpoenas, productions of documents produced by parties with whom Baker has a conflict, confidentiality issues concerning these document productions, and other discovery-related work that is responsive to requests from Baker or applicable generally to Windels Marx's cases.

29. Time entries during this Compensation Period related to, among other things, (i) working with Baker on issues related to obtaining discovery from foreign third-

parties, (ii) preparing numerous bank subpoenas for Baker in Good Faith cases and working on related responses, document productions, custodian certifications, and a motion to compel production, (iii) working on de-designation issues concerning the confidentiality of certain documents used or to be used in subsequent transfer amended complaints and the anticipated extraterritoriality briefing, including identifying, reviewing, and creating spreadsheets of all confidential documents and drafting and negotiating de-designation agreements with numerous producing parties, and (iv) working with the Baker Discovery Management Team (and sub-groups thereof) on general discovery protocols, strategies, and issues impacting the Firm's Madoff cases.

Task Code 042: Bankruptcy Court Litigation (11.0 hours)

30. This category covers litigation brought by Windels Marx on behalf of the Trustee other than adversary proceedings against BLMIS Investment Advisor customers, which during the Compensation Period included the fraudulent conveyance cases against Hoboken Radiology LLC, *Picard v Hoboken Radiology LLC*, Adv. Pro. No. 10-05386 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB), and PetcareRX, *Picard v. PetCareRX*, Adv. Pro. No. 10-05392 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB).

31. The minimal time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) addressing post-settlement and closing issues in the Hoboken Radiology case and (ii) adjourning the pretrial conference in and assessing the status of and open issues in the PetCareRX case.

Task Code L140: Document/File Management (8.4 hours)

32. This category covers time spent managing on-site and off-site preservation and organization activities with respect to the Firm's Madoff-related physical case files and

inventories.

B. GOOD FAITH PROCEEDINGS (MATTER 07) (238.5 hours)

33. This category relates to the 30 “Good Faith” adversary proceedings against BLMIS Investment Advisor net winner customers that were outstanding during this Compensation Period. These cases seek to recover approximately \$109.4 million in fictitious profits paid out by BLMIS in two year transfers, including 27 cases which Windels Marx previously commenced on behalf of the Trustee and three pending cases, totaling \$22.2 million in two year transfers that Baker transferred to Windels Marx in December 2014.

34. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period included (i) working on transition and expert issues, discovery requests, and undisputed transfer stipulations in the three new good faith cases, (ii) assessing settlement potential, participating in settlement conferences, drafting settlement-related memoranda, and working on possible settlement and/or dismissal in numerous good faith cases, (iii) working on case status, litigation strategy, mediation, and discovery issues in several of the good faith cases, and (iv) researching, analyzing, and working on legal and factual issues applicable to some or all of the good faith cases.

C. MADOFF FAMILY ENTITIES (MATTER 08) (95.2 hours)

35. This category relates to three cases against certain Madoff family-owned entities and Madoff family members seeking in the aggregate over \$30 million in transfers from BLMIS that were used to capitalize and fund certain Madoff family personal business ventures. *Picard v. Madoff Technologies LLC, et al.*, Adv. Pro. No. 10-0483 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB); *Picard v. Madoff Family LLC, et al.*, Adv. Pro. No. 10-03485 (Bankr.

S.D.N.Y.) (SMB); *Picard v. Madoff Energy Holdings*, Adv. Pro. No. 10-034184 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB).

36. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to working on (i) open items related to the motion to substitute in a new executor for the Andrew Madoff and Mark Madoff estates and (ii) issues related to the Trustee's claims in the Andrew Madoff and Mark Madoff estates, a possible sale of assets held by one of the entities, and possible settlements with one or more defendants.

D. BLUMENFELD (MATTER 10) (9.7 hours)

37. This category relates to a fraudulent conveyance action to avoid and recover approximately \$100 million in avoidable transfers from Edward Blumenfeld, a close friend and business partner of Madoff, and 58 other defendants, and to subordinate claims. *Picard v. Edward Blumenfeld, et al.*, Adv. Pro. No. 10-04474 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB). As noted earlier, a settlement agreement was executed in this action on October 16, 2014, and the settlement has since been approved by the Court and closed.

38. The minimal time entries in this matter during this Compensation Period related primarily to post-settlement closing tasks and dismissal of the adversary proceeding.

E. CREDIT SUISSE (MATTER 12) (730.1 hours)

39. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on December 12, 2011 to recover subsequent transfers of BLMIS Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendants totaling over \$375 million. *Picard v. Credit Suisse AG, et al.*, Adv. Pro. No. 11-02925 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB).

40. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing various Credit Suisse-related documents produced to the Trustee, court filings, and other documents for potential use in Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses and/or a Credit Suisse amended complaint, (ii) researching and analyzing legal and factual issues and drafting analyses, charts, and memoranda relating to, among other things, Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses, (iii) updating and drafting new language and sections for a Credit Suisse draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief, and (iv) working on de-designation and confidentiality issues related to documents to potentially be used in a Credit Suisse draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief.

F. SOLON CAPITAL (MATTER 13) (170.6 hours)

41. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on January 17, 2012, amended July 30, 2012, to recover subsequent transfers of BLMIS Customer Property from a BLMIS feeder fund to Defendant totaling approximately \$2 million. *Picard v. Solon Capital, Ltd.*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01025 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB).

42. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing various Solon Capital-related documents produced to the Trustee, court filings, and other documents, and drafting related analyses, charts, and memoranda, for potential use in Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses and/or a second amended complaint, (ii) updating and drafting new language and sections for a Salon Capital draft second amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief, and (iii) working on de-designation and

confidentiality issues related to documents to potentially be used in a Salon Capital draft second amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief.

G. ZEPHYROS (MATTER 14) (234.1 hours)

43. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on April 5, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendant totaling over \$114 million. *Picard v. Zephyros Limited*, Adv Pro. No. 12-01278 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB).

44. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to i) reviewing and analyzing various Zephyros-related documents produced to the Trustee, court filings, and other documents, and drafting related analyses, charts, and memoranda, for potential use in Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses and/or a Zephyros amended complaint, (ii) updating and drafting new language and sections for a Zephyros draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief, and (iii) working on de-designation and confidentiality issues related to documents to potentially be used in a Zephyros draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief.

H. MISTRAL (MATTER 15) (253.6 hours)

45. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on April 5, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendant totaling approximately \$3.4 million. *Picard v. Mistral (SPC)*, Adv Pro. No. 12-01273 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB).

46. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing various Mistral-related

documents produced to the Trustee, court filings, and other documents, and drafting related analyses, charts, and memoranda, for potential use in Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses and/or a Mistral amended complaint, (ii) updating and drafting new language and sections for a Mistral draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief, and (iii) working on de-designation and confidentiality issues related to documents to potentially be used in a Mistral draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief.

I. SOCIETE GENERALE (MATTER 16) (407.2 hours)

47. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on May 30, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendants totaling over \$162 million. *Picard v. Societe Generale Private Banking (Suisse) S.A. (f/k/a SG Private Banking Suisse S.A.)*, Adv Pro. No. 12-01677 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) (SMB).

48. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing various Societe Generale-related documents produced to the Trustee, court filings, and other documents for potential use in Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses and/or a Societe Generale amended complaint, (ii) researching and analyzing legal and factual issues and drafting analyses, charts, and memoranda relating to, among other things, Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses, (iii) updating and drafting new language and sections for a Societe Generale draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief, and (iv) working on de-designation and confidentiality issues related to documents to potentially be used in a Societe Generale draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality

proffered allegations/supplemental brief.

J. ROYAL BANK OF CANADA (MATTER 17) (819.6 hours)

49. This category contains entries related to two avoidance actions. The first action relates to an avoidance action filed on June 6, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendants totaling over \$100 million. *Picard v. Royal Bank of Canada*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01699 (SMB).

50. The second action under Matter 17 relates to an avoidance action filed on June 6, 2012 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendants totaling over \$80 million. *Picard v. Banque Internationale a Luxembourg S.A. (f/k/a Dexia Banque Internationale a Luxembourg S.A.)*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01698 (SMB).

51. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to i) reviewing and analyzing various Royal Bank of Canada and Banque Internationale a Luxembourg-related documents produced to the Trustee, court filings, and other documents for potential use in Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses and/or draft amended complaints, (ii) researching and analyzing legal and factual issues and drafting analyses, charts, and memoranda relating to, among other things, Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses, (iii) updating and drafting new language and sections for Royal Bank of Canada and Banque Internationale a Luxembourg draft amended complaints and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental briefs, and (iv) working on de-designation and confidentiality issues—including negotiations with defense counsel on defendants' objections to de-designation requests—for documents to potentially be used in amended complaints and/or extraterritoriality proffered

allegations/supplemental briefs.

**K. CREDIT SUISSE (AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO CLARIDEN LEU)
(MATTER 18) (346.1 hours)**

52. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on May 30, 2012, amended July 30, 2012, to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from certain BLMIS feeder funds to Defendant totaling over \$49 million. *Picard v. Credit Suisse AG, as successor-in-interest to Clariden Leu AG and Bank Leu AG*, Adv. Pro. No. 12-01676 (SMB).

53. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this Compensation Period related to i) reviewing and analyzing various Clariden Leu-related documents produced to the Trustee, court filings, and other documents, and drafting related analyses, charts, and memoranda, for potential use in Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses and/or a Clariden Leu second amended complaint, (ii) updating and drafting new language and sections for a Clariden Leu draft second amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief, and (iii) working on de-designation and confidentiality issues related to documents to potentially be used in a Clariden Leu draft second amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief.

L. TRINCASTAR (MATTER 19) (152.0 hours)

54. This category relates to an avoidance action filed on September 22, 2011 to recover subsequent transfers of Customer Property from a BLMIS feeder fund to Defendant totaling approximately \$13.3 million. *Picard v. Trincastar Corp.*, 11-02731 (SMB).

55. In addition to the tasks outlined in ¶ 24, time entries during this

Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing various Trincastar-related documents produced to the Trustee, court filings, and other documents, and drafting related analyses, charts, and memoranda, for potential use in Windels Marx's extraterritoriality analyses and/or a Trincastar amended complaint, (ii) updating and drafting new language and sections for a Trincastar draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief, and (iii) working on de-designation and confidentiality issues related to documents to potentially be used in a Trincastar draft amended complaint and extraterritoriality proffered allegations/supplemental brief.

M. COORDINATED CASES (MATTER 20) (1,004.0 hours)

56. This category relates to a group of thirteen international subsequent transferee cases, filed by Baker and staffed with Baker attorneys, which Howard Simon is supervising, with limited work by other Windels attorneys, to facilitate the coordination of common information, issues, and documents between the firms and take advantage of staffing efficiencies. During the Seventeenth Compensation period, this category also included work performed at Baker Hostetler's request in connection with the Tremont group of Madoff feeder funds, and application of same to all Windels Marx and Baker subsequent transferee cases.

57. Time entries during this Compensation Period related to (i) reviewing and analyzing expert analyses and transfer, bank account, fee, and other documents for potential use in draft amended complaints and/or and the extraterritoriality proffered allegations and supplemental briefs for the coordinated cases, and (ii) working on common legal and factual issues, including the extraterritoriality issue, related to the coordinated cases, (iii) drafting, editing, and adding additional allegations to draft amended complaints

and the extraterritoriality proffered allegations and supplemental briefs in the coordinated cases, (iv) working on confidentiality and de-designation issues for documents to potentially be used in amended complaints and/or the extraterritoriality proffered allegations and supplemental briefs in the coordinated cases, (v) researching and analyzing documents, transfer information, and legal and factual issues, including the extraterritoriality issue, related to the Tremont funds, and (vi) drafting updated and new Tremont feeder fund-related language and sections for Windels Marx and Baker amended subsequent transferee complaints and extraterritoriality proffered allegations and supplemental briefs.

V. COMPENSATION REQUESTED

58. During the Seventeenth Compensation Period, Windels Marx expended 6,979.60 hours in the rendition of professional and paraprofessional services on behalf of the Trustee and the Chapter 7 Trustee, resulting in a blended attorney hourly rate of \$413.99 for fees incurred.

59. Prior to filing this Seventeenth Application, Windels Marx provided to SIPC and the Trustee (i) its December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015 monthly statements setting forth the Firm's total fees for services rendered and expenses incurred on behalf of the consolidated estate for each month during the period December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015 in the aggregate amount of \$3,236,946.78 and \$30,607.74, respectively, and (ii) a draft of this Seventeenth Application. The Firm wrote off certain unbilled and billed time, and SIPC's staff made certain additional adjustments and suggestions, which were adopted by Windels Marx. After such write-offs and adjustments (and the 10% discount), Windels Marx's December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015 monthly statements reflected total fees

and expenses of \$2,768,206.00 and \$24,720.85, respectively.

60. Specifically, Windels Marx's total fees and expenses for this Seventeenth Compensation Period were \$3,236,946.78 and \$30,607.74, respectively. In connection with preparing each of the four monthly statements and this Seventeenth Application, Windels Marx voluntarily (i) wrote off unbilled time of \$105,879.00, (ii) wrote off billed time of \$55,283.34, and (iii) reduced its total remaining fees of \$3,075,784.44 to \$2,768,206.00 by discounting the Firm's rates by 10% at SIPC's request (resulting in a voluntary reduction of \$468,740.78, or approximately 14.48% of Windels Marx's total fees). Windels Marx also agreed to write off expenses customarily charged to other clients in the amount of \$5,886.89, resulting in \$24,720.85 of remaining expenses. Such fees and expenses are reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in the Chapter 7 and 11 Cases and comparable bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy cases in a competitive national legal market. Total hours expended after all reductions equal 6,979.60. In addition to the fees sought in this application, with the approval and support of SIPC, Windels Marx requests a release of \$783,754.22 from the holdback, which equals approximately 24.6% of all amounts (i) previously held back and not released to the Firm and (ii) contemplated to be held back by this Seventeenth Application.²

61. There is no agreement or understanding among the Trustee, Windels Marx or any other person, other than members of Windels Marx, for sharing of compensation to

² In connection with Windels Marx's First through Seventeenth Fee applications, \$7,978,478.44 was, or is contemplated to be, held back. By Orders dated September 14, 2010, December 17, 2013, and December 18, 2014, the Court previously approved the release of an aggregate amount of \$4,794,724.22. Windels Marx now requests that \$783,754.22 be released, or approximately 24.6% of the current holdback balance of \$3,183,754.22.

be received for services rendered in this case.

62. This Seventeenth Application has been prepared in accordance with the Amended Guidelines for Fees and Disbursements of Professionals in Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Cases adopted by the Court on April 19, 1995 (the “Local Guidelines”). Pursuant to the Local Guidelines, the certification of Howard L. Simon, Esq. regarding compliance with the same is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

63. Exhibit B annexed hereto provides a schedule of Windels Marx professionals and paraprofessionals who have provided services for the Debtors during the Seventeenth Compensation Period, the capacity in which each individual is employed by the Firm, the year in which each attorney was licensed to practice law in New York, the hourly billing rate charged by Windels Marx for services provided by each individual and the aggregate number of hours billed by each individual. The 10% discount (as described above) is already reflected in the total amount billed.

64. Exhibit C annexed hereto provides a schedule of the expenses for which reimbursement is requested. The requested expenses are customarily charged to and paid by Windels Marx’s bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy clients. At SIPC’s request, Windels Marx has not charged for local travel expenses and overtime meals, which categories of expenses are regularly charged to and paid by Windels Marx’s clients.

65. Exhibit D annexed hereto is a summary by matter and task code of services performed by Windels Marx from December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015.

66. To the extent that time or disbursement charges for services rendered or disbursements incurred relate to the Seventeenth Compensation Period, but were not classified or processed prior to the preparation of this Seventeenth Application, Windels

Marx reserves the right to request additional compensation for such services and reimbursement of such expenses in a future application.

VI. WINDELS MARX'S REQUEST FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION SHOULD BE GRANTED

67. Section 78eee(b)(5)(A) of SIPA provides in pertinent part that, upon appropriate application and after a hearing, “[t]he court shall grant reasonable compensation for services rendered and reimbursement for proper costs and expenses incurred ... by a trustee ...” Section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA specifically establishes SIPC’s role in connection with applications for compensation and the consideration the Court should give to SIPC’s recommendation concerning fees. That section provides as follows:

In any case in which such allowances are to be paid by SIPC without reasonable expectation of recoupment thereof as provided in this Chapter and there is no difference between the amounts requested and the amounts recommended by SIPC, the court shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC. In determining the amount of allowances in all other cases, the court shall give due consideration to the nature, extent, and value of the services rendered, and shall place considerable reliance on the recommendations of SIPC.

68. To the extent the general estate is insufficient to pay such allowances as an expense of administration, section 78eee(b)(5)(E) of SIPA requires SIPC to advance the funds necessary to pay the compensation of Windels Marx (*see* section 78fff-3(b)(2) of SIPA).

69. Based on the allocation process set forth in SIPA, the Trustee has determined at this time that he has no reasonable expectation that the general estate will be sufficient to make any distribution to general creditors or pay any administrative expenses. That is, the Trustee believes that any assets allocated to the BLMIS general estate will be exhausted prior to his being able to reimburse SIPC fully. The Trustee has been advised

by SIPC that it concurs with this belief of the Trustee. Accordingly, any fees and expenses allowed by this Court will be paid from advances by SIPC without any reasonable expectation by SIPC of recoupment thereof.

70. Therefore, with respect to this Seventeenth Application, Windels Marx requests that consistent with section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA, the Court “award the amounts recommended by SIPC.” *See In re Bell & Beckwith*, 112 B.R. 876 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1990). Windels Marx expects that SIPC will file its recommendation to the Court with respect to this Seventeenth Application prior to the hearing, currently scheduled for August 20, 2015.

71. Windels Marx submits that the request for interim allowance of compensation made through this Seventeenth Application is reasonable and complies with the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code governing applications for compensation and reimbursement of expenses, pursuant to section 78eee(b)(5) of SIPA.

VII. CONCLUSION

72. Windels Marx respectfully submits that the services rendered during the Seventeenth Compensation Period merit the approval of the fees and disbursements requested herein, and respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order: (i) allowing and awarding \$2,768,206.00 (of which 80%, or \$2,214,564.80, is to be paid currently, and 20%, or \$553,641.20 is to be deferred through the conclusion of the liquidation proceeding or further order of the Court) as an interim payment for professional services rendered by Windels Marx during the Seventeenth Compensation Period, and \$24,720.85 as reimbursement of the actual and necessary costs and expenses incurred by the Firm in connection with the rendition of such services, plus a release of \$783,754.22 from the

“holdback” accrued in connection with the Firm’s First through Seventeenth fee applications and (ii) granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
July 22, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
*Special Counsel for Irving H. Picard, Trustee for
the SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff
Investment Securities LLC and Alan Nisselson, the
Chapter 7 Trustee of Bernard L. Madoff*

/s/ Howard L. Simon

Howard L. Simon (hsimon@windelsmarx.com)
156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 237-1000
Facsimile: (212) 262-1215

Exhibit A

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (BRL)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

AFFIDAVIT OF HOWARD L. SIMON

STATE OF NEW YORK)

ss:

COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

HOWARD L. SIMON, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am an attorney admitted to the bar of this Court and a member of the firm of Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP (“Windels Marx”). I submit this affidavit in support of the seventeenth application (“Seventeenth Application”) of Windels Marx, as special counsel to Irving H. Picard as trustee (the “Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation proceeding of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) and to Alan Nisselson (the “Chapter 7 Trustee” as trustee for the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”), pursuant to the Orders of this Court dated July 16, 2009 [Docket No. 327] and November 23, 2011 [Docket No. 4547], for allowance of interim compensation for services performed and reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses incurred during the period commencing

December 1, 2014 through and including March 31, 2015 (the “Compensation Period”), plus a partial release of the “holdback” from the Firm’s prior fee applications, pursuant to section 78eee(b)(5) of the Securities Investor Protection Act (“SIPA”), 15 U.S.C. § 78eee(b)(5), sections 330 and 331 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the “Bankruptcy Code”), and Rule 2016(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”).

2. I submit this affidavit pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2016(a) in support of Windels Marx’s Application for allowance of compensation in the amount of \$2,768,206.00 for professional services rendered during the Compensation Period (of which 20%, or \$553,641.20 is to be deferred through the conclusion of the liquidation proceeding or further order of the Court), reimbursement in the amount of \$24,720.85 for necessary expenses incurred during the Compensation Period, and a release of \$783,754.22 from the “holdback” accrued in connection with the Firm’s First through Seventeenth fee applications.

3. As the supervising attorney in charge of the attorneys and paraprofessionals staffed on this matter, I am familiar with such services and with these proceedings. These statements are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, based upon conversations I have conducted with attorneys at Windels Marx, the Trustee, and his counsel Baker & Hostetler, LLP, and upon records kept by Windels Marx in the normal course of business.

4. I hereby certify that (i) I have read the Seventeenth Application and (ii) to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry, the Seventeenth Application substantially complies with the guidelines for fee applications under Bankruptcy Rule 2016(a).

5. Windels Marx’s fees in this case reflect a 10% public interest discount from Windels Marx’s standard rates. Windels Marx has also voluntarily written-off an additional \$55,283.34 in fees and \$105,879.00 in unbilled time. These discounts and write-offs have

resulted in a voluntary reduction of \$468,740.78. Windels Marx's fees are reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in comparable bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy cases in a competitive national legal market.

6. I hereby certify that members of SIPC have been provided with a copy of this Seventeenth Application.

7. I hereby certify that members of SIPC have been provided with monthly statements of fees and disbursements accrued during the Compensation Period.

8. I hereby certify that (i) in providing reimbursable nonlegal services to the estate, Windels Marx does not make a profit on such services; and (ii) in seeking reimbursement for a service which Windels Marx justifiably purchased or contracted from a third party, Windels Marx requests reimbursement only for the amount billed to Windels Marx by the third-party vendors and paid by Windels Marx to such vendors.

9. Windels Marx has not made any previous application for allowance of fees for professional services rendered during the Compensation Period.

10. There is no agreement or understanding between the Trustee, Windels Marx and any other person, other than members of Windels Marx, for sharing of compensation to be perceived for services rendered in this case.

11. No agreement or understanding prohibited by 18 U.S.C. §155 has been made or shall be made by Windels Marx.

/s/ Howard L. Simon
Howard L. Simon

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 22nd day of July 2015.

/s/ Maritza Segarra
Maritza Segarra
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 03-4652865

Qualified in Westchester County
Commission Expires December 31, 2017

SUMMARY OF SEVENTEENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
FOR SERVICES RENDERED FROM DECEMBER 1, 2014 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2015

Name	Year Admitted	December 2014 Standard Hourly Rate	December 2014 Total Hours Billed	December Total Compensation	January-March 2015 Standard Hourly Rate	January-March 2015 Total Hours Billed	January-March Total Compensation	Total Hours Billed Dec-March	Total Compensation Dec-March
Partners and Special Counsel									
Howard L. Simon	1977	575.00	169.30	\$ 97,347.50	590.00	479.60	\$282,964.00	648.90	\$ 380,311.50
Kim M. Longo	2002	455.00	164.20	\$ 74,711.00	465.00	626.00	\$291,090.00	790.20	\$ 365,801.00
Antonio J. Casas	1992	455.00	131.10	\$ 59,650.50	465.00	534.60	\$248,589.00	665.70	\$ 308,239.50
Total Partners and Special Counsel			464.60	\$ 231,709.00		1,640.20	\$822,643.00	\$2,104.80	\$ 1,054,352.00
Associates									
Karen M. Cullen	1981	415.00	191.90	\$ 79,638.50	430.00	547.00	\$235,210.00	738.90	\$ 314,848.50
Carol LaFond	2000	410.00	161.90	\$ 66,379.00	410.00	399.70	\$163,877.00	561.60	\$ 230,256.00
Greg Kerr	1996	360.00	22.00	\$ 7,920.00	360.00	32.00	\$11,520.00	54.00	\$ 19,440.00
Brian W. Kreutter	2003	365.00	162.80	\$ 59,422.00	375.00	534.00	\$200,250.00	696.80	\$ 259,672.00
John J. Tepedino	2005	365.00	137.70	\$ 50,260.50	375.00	293.40	\$110,025.00	431.10	\$ 160,285.50
Alan D. Lawn	2009	340.00	170.90	\$ 58,106.00	350.00	496.00	\$173,600.00	666.90	\$ 231,706.00
Alex Jonatowski	2007	335.00	185.70	\$ 62,209.50	345.00	508.60	\$175,467.00	694.30	\$ 237,676.50
Rebekah J. Mott	2012	300.00	155.90	\$ 46,770.00	310.00	268.40	\$83,204.00	424.30	\$ 129,974.00
Total Associates			1,188.80	\$ 430,705.50		3,079.10	\$1,153,153.00	4,267.90	\$ 1,583,858.50
Paraprofessionals									
Matthew Corwin		220.00	106.50	\$ 23,430.00	230.00	268.10	\$61,663.00	374.60	\$ 85,093.00
Jennifer Ryan		190.00	79.20	\$ 15,048.00	195.00	153.10	\$29,854.50	232.30	\$ 44,902.50
Total Paraprofessionals			185.70	\$ 38,478.00		421.20	\$91,517.50	606.90	\$ 129,995.50

	Hours	Total Fees
Partners and Special Counsel	2,104.80	\$ 1,054,352.00
Associates	4,267.90	\$ 1,583,858.50
Paraprofessionals	606.90	\$ 129,995.50
Blended Attorney Rate	413.99	
GRAND TOTAL	6,979.60	\$ 2,768,206.00

Exhibit C

SUMMARY OF SEVENTEENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF
WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
FOR EXPENSES INCURRED FROM
DECEMBER 1, 2014 THROUGH AND INCLUDING MARCH 31, 2015

Code Description	Amount
Copying	\$ 691.31
Telephone	101.45
Postage	36.08
Delivery Services/Messenger	2,349.02
Online Research	12,666.41
Staff Overtime	137.50
Professional Services	2,604.03
Process Serving Fees	1,397.65
PACER Search Fees	4,737.40
TOTAL	\$ 24,720.85

Exhibit D

COMPENSATION BY MATTER AND TASK CODE FOR SERVICES RENDERED BY WINDELS
MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP FOR THE SEVENTEENTH INTERIM PERIOD OF
DECEMBER 1, 2014 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2015

Matter Number	Matter Name	Task Code	Task Code Description	Hours	Amount
2	Attorneys for Trustee	004	Case Administration	944.80	\$ 429,851.00
		007	Fee Application	79.10	32,425.50
		020	Internal Office Meeting	10.30	3,607.00
		041	Discovery, Document Review, Document Production	1,465.30	593,702.50
		042	Bankruptcy Court Litigation	11.00	3,862.00
		L140	Document/File Management	8.40	2,192.00
7	Good Faith Avoidance Actions	010	Litigation	238.50	86,189.50
8	Madoff Family Entities	010	Litigation	95.20	36,283.00
10	Blumenfeld	010	Litigation	9.70	4,555.50
12	Credit Suisse	010	Litigation	730.10	273,426.00
13	Solon Capital	010	Litigation	170.60	59,617.50
14	Zephyros	010	Litigation	234.10	81,261.50
15	Mistral	010	Litigation	253.60	95,327.50
16	Societe Generale	010	Litigation	407.20	156,089.00
17	Royal Bank of Canada	010	Litigation	819.60	317,809.00
18	Clariden Leu	010	Litigation	346.10	125,927.00
19	Trincastar Corp.	010	Litigation	152.00	55,015.00
20	Coordinated Cases	010	Litigation	1,004.00	411,065.50
			TOTALS	6,979.60	\$ 2,768,206.00