## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Debtor,

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

V.

SAUL B. KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (BRL)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

Adv. Pro. No. 10-05287 (BRL)

11 Civ. 03605 (JSR) (HBP)

## DECLARATION OF DAVID J. SHEEHAN IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

### **BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP**

45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10111 Telephone: (212) 589-4200 Facsimile: (212) 589-4201

Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and Bernard L. Madoff

- I, David J. Sheehan, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the following is true:
- 1. I am an attorney at the firm of Baker & Hostetler LLP and counsel to Irving H. Picard, Trustee for the substantively consolidated liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS") under the Securities Investor Protection Act ("SIPA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa *et seq.*, and the estate of Bernard L. Madoff ("Madoff").
- 2. I submit this Declaration in support of the Trustee's motion for partial summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 3. Attached hereto as Ex. 1 is a true and correct copy of the defendants' Answer, dated October 11, 2011. Answer, *Picard v. Katz, et al.*, No. 11 Civ. 3605 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 11, 2011) (JSR), ECF No. 48.
- 4. Attached hereto as Ex. 2 is a true and correct copy of the Plea Hearing Transcript of Bernard L. Madoff, dated March 12, 2009. Plea Hr'g Tr. ("Madoff Plea"), *United States v. Madoff*, No. 09 Cr. 213 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 12, 2009) (DC), ECF No. 57.
- 5. Attached hereto as Ex. 3 is a true and correct copy of the Sentencing Transcript of Bernard L. Madoff, dated June 29, 2009. Sentencing Tr., *United States v. Madoff,* No. 09 Cr. 213 (S.D.N.Y. June 29, 2009) (DC), ECF No. 103.
- 6. Attached hereto as Ex. 4 is a true and correct copy of the Plea Hearing Transcript of Frank DiPascali, Jr., dated August 11, 2009. Plea Hr'g Tr. ("DiPascali Plea"), *United States v. DiPascali*, No. 09 Cr. 764 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 11, 2009) (RJS), ECF No. 11.
- 7. Attached hereto as Ex. 5 is a true and correct copy of the Plea Hearing Transcript of David G. Friehling, dated November 3, 2009. Plea Hr'g Tr. ("Friehling Plea"), *United States* v. *Friehling*, No. 09 Cr. 700 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 3, 2009) (AKH).

Case 1:11-cv-03605-JSR Document 89 Filed 01/26/12 Page 3 of 3

8. Attached hereto as Ex. 6 is a true and correct copy of the Plea Hearing Transcript

of Eric S. Lipkin, dated June 6, 2011. Plea Hr'g Tr. ("Lipkin Plea"), United States v. Lipkin, No.

10 Cr. 228 (S.D.N.Y. June 6, 2011) (LTS), ECF No. 148.

9. Attached hereto as Ex. 7 is a true and correct copy of the Plea Hearing Transcript

of David Kugel, dated November 21, 2011. Plea Hr'g Tr. ("Kugel Plea"), United States v.

Kugel, No. 10 Cr. 228 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 21, 2011) (LTS), ECF No. 188.

10. Attached hereto as Ex. 8 is a true and correct copy of the Plea Hearing Transcript

of Enrica Cotellessa-Pitz, dated December 19, 2011. Plea Hr'g Tr. ("Cotellessa-Pitz Plea"),

United States v. Cotellessa-Pitz, No. 10 Cr. 228 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 19, 2011) (LTS).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: New York, New York January 26, 2012

/s/ David J. Sheehan
David. J. Sheehan

# **Exhibit 1**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
	x :		
IRVING H. PICARD,	:		
Plaintiff,	:		
- against -	:	11-CV-03605 (JSR)	
SAUL B. KATZ, et al.,	:		
Defendants.	:		
	: x		

### **ANSWER**

Each of the Defendants in the above-captioned matter ("Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby states his, her, or its Answer and Defenses to the amended complaint ("Complaint"), dated March 18, 2011, as follows:

### GENERAL RESPONSE

Each Defendant hereby answers the Complaint in its entirety, notwithstanding that the Court's September 27, 2011 opinion and order dismissed nine of the Complaint's eleven counts and rendered many of the Complaint's allegations irrelevant. With respect to the table of contents, headings, subheadings, unnumbered paragraphs, appendices, exhibits, and requests for relief following paragraph 1402 of the Complaint, no response to such material is required. To the extent any response is required, any such averments are denied. Any allegation in the Complaint not specifically admitted is denied. Unless otherwise defined, all capitalized terms have the same meaning as in the Complaint.

### SPECIFIC RESPONSES

- 1. Deny, except aver that each of the Defendants is one of the thousands of victims of Madoff's massive Ponzi scheme, and also deny footnote 1.
  - 2. Deny.
- 3. Deny, except admit that Sterling is a closely-held family business and that various Sterling Partners and related entities are involved in real estate, professional baseball, and private equity businesses, including ownership of the New York Mets baseball franchise.

4.	Deny,	except admit that approximately 483 1KW BLMIS accounts were
opened by v	arious in	dividuals and entities, some of which are Sterling Partners and/or
Sterling-rela	ated entiti	ies, over the course of twenty-five years, and that Sterling Partner
Arthur Fried	dman pro	vided administrative assistance with respect to the majority of the
1KW BLM	IS accoun	its.
5.	Deny.	
6.	Deny.	
	,	
7.	Deny.	
8.	Deny,	except admit that debt of various Sterling-related entities was
restructured	followin	g revelation of Madoff's fraud.
9.	Deny.	
10.	Deny.	
	·	
	(a)	Deny.
	(b)	Deny.
	(c)	Deny.
	(d)	Deny.
	(e)	Deny.
	(0)	Dony.

	(f)	Deny.
	(g)	Deny.
	(h)	Deny.
11.	Deny.	
12.	Deny.	
13.	Lack k	nowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegations	s, excep	t refer to the Complaint for the proceeding's purported purpose.
14.	Admit	except deny that this adversary proceeding is now proceeding
before the Bankruptcy Court because the reference has been withdrawn.		
15.	Admit	
16.	Admit	
17.	Admit	
18.	Lack k	nowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegations	s, excep	t refer to the SEC complaint against BLMIS and Madoff for its
content, and f	ootnote	2 alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required.
19.	Lack k	nowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegation	s, excep	t refer to the December 12, 2008 order for its content.

- 20. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except refer to the referenced SIPC application for its content.
- 21. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except refer to the December 15, 2008 Protective Decree for its content.
- (a) Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except refer to the December 15, 2008 Protective Decree for its content.
- (b) Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except refer to the December 15, 2008 Protective Decree for its content.
- (c) Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except refer to the December 15, 2008 Protective Decree for its content.
- 22. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except refer to the referenced orders for their content.
- 23. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit, upon information and belief, that Madoff entered a guilty plea and refer to the transcript of his allocution for its content.

- 24. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit, upon information and belief, that DiPascali entered a guilty plea and refer to the transcript of his allocution for its content.
- 25. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 26. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 27. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 28. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny these allegations.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny these allegations.
  - (b) Deny.
- (c) Deny that any BLMIS customer was injured as a result of any Defendant's alleged conduct.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required.

- (e) Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- (f) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- (g) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- (h) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- (i) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 29. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that BLMIS was founded by Bernard L. Madoff, was a SEC-registered broker dealer and member of SIPC, and was comprised of at least the three business units alleged.
- 30. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Madoff ascribed the success of his investment advisory business to his use of his split-strike conversion strategy.

- 31. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Defendants received monthly statements from BLMIS, in addition to trade confirmations and quarterly reports.
- 32. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 33. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 34. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 35. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except aver that payments to investors were legally required.
- 36. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 37. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 38. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 39. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

- 40. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 41. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and refer to this and other complaints for their purported purposes.
- 42. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 43. Deny, except admit that Sterling Equities Associates is a general partnership, the partners of which own various entities that own and operate different businesses and invest in varied asset classes that include real estate, professional baseball, sports media, and private equity.
  - 44. Admit, except deny that Leonard Schreier is a general partner.
  - 45. Deny.
- 46. Deny, except admit that the Sterling Partners and their family members each held interests in different BLMIS accounts and at times in different capacities.
- 47. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
  - 48. Deny and refer to Appendix I, Exhibit A for its content.
- 49. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are

Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix I, Exhibit B for its content. Defendants further deny footnote 3 and refer to Appendix I, Exhibit B for its content.

- 50. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
  - 51. Deny and refer to Appendix II, Exhibit A for its content.
- 52. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 53. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibit B for its content.
- 54. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, deny that the transfers are

Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise, and refer to Appendix II, Exhibit B, Column 4 for its content.

- 55. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibit C for its content.
- 56. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 57. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 58. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
  - 59. Admit.
  - 60. Admit.

- 61. Deny, except admit that Saul Katz co-founded Sterling Equities in or around 1972 with his brother-in-law, Fred Wilpon, currently serves as Sterling's President and Chief Operating Officer and as President of the New York Mets and the Brooklyn Cyclones, is a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA"), has responsibility for Sterling's asset-based investments, is involved in strategic planning, and sits on the Board of Directors of Sterling Stamos.
- 62. Deny, except admit that Saul Katz was a customer of the IA business and opened his first account with BLMIS in or around October 1985.
- 63. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Saul Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 64. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 65. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 66. Admit.
  - 67. Admit.
- 68. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon co-founded Sterling Equities in or around 1972 with his brother- in-law, Saul Katz, currently serves as Sterling's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Office of the New York Mets and Chairman of the Brooklyn Cyclones.

- 69. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and opened his first account with BLMIS in or around October 1985.
- 70. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Fred Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 71. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 72. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 73. Admit, except deny that Richard Wilpon maintains his residence in Port Washington, New York.
  - 74. Admit.
- 75. Deny, except admit that Richard Wilpon joined Sterling in or around 1972, became a partner shortly thereafter, currently serves as Sterling's Senior Executive Vice President, is primarily involved in the real estate side of Sterling's business, is currently Co-Chief Executive Officer of SAP, where he manages its investments and oversees its real estate acquisitions and dispositions and is a Board member of the New York Mets.
- 76. Deny, except admit that Richard Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and opened his first account with BLMIS in or around December 1986.

- 77. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Richard Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 78. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 79. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 80. Admit.
  - 81. Admit.
- 82. Deny, except admit that Michael Katz joined Sterling in or around 1973, became a partner shortly thereafter, is a CPA, currently serves as Sterling's Senior Executive Vice President and, up until 2001, was Sterling's Chief Financial Officer, is primarily involved in the real estate side of Sterling's business, is currently Co-Chief Executive Officer of SAP where he is responsible for the day-to-day management of its investments, and is also a Board member of the New York Mets.
- 83. Deny, except admit that Michael Katz was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Michael Katz opened his first BLMIS account.
- 84. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Michael Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts.

- 85. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 86. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 87. Admit.
  - 88. Admit.
- 89. Deny, except admit that Jeffrey Wilpon joined Sterling in or around 1986 and became a partner thereafter, currently serves as Sterling's Senior Executive Vice President, and as Chief Operating Officer, Senior Executive Vice President, and Board member of the New York Mets, is the Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Brooklyn Cyclones, and is primarily responsible for overseeing the day-to-day baseball and business operations of the New York Mets.
- 90. Deny, except admit that Jeffrey Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and opened his first account with BLMIS in or around October 1987.
- 91. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Jeffrey Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 92. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 93. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 94. Admit.
- 95. Admit.
- 96. Deny, except admit that David Katz joined Sterling in 1987 and became a partner thereafter, currently serves as Sterling's Executive Vice President and is a Board member of the New York Mets, holds responsibilities within Sterling's real estate business and private equity investments, and previously served as a board member of Sterling Stamos.
- 97. Deny, except admit that David Katz was a customer of the IA business and opened his first BLMIS account in or around December 1989.
- 98. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that David Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 99. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 100. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 101. Admit.
  - 102. Admit.
- 103. Deny, except admit that Gregory Katz joined Sterling in 2001 and became a partner thereafter, currently serves as Sterling's Vice President and focuses on the real

estate aspect of Sterling's business where he acquires multi-family, commercial and retail real estate properties and arranges financing for SAP.

- 104. Deny, except admit that Gregory Katz was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Gregory Katz opened his first BLMIS account.
- 105. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Gregory Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 106. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 107. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 108. Admit.
  - 109. Admit.
- 110. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman is a CPA and holds a law degree, joined Sterling in or around 1986 and became a partner shortly thereafter, currently serves as Sterling's Senior Vice President and Board member of the Mets and, from the time he joined Sterling through December 11, 2008, provided administrative assistance with respect to the majority of the 1KW BLMIS accounts.

- 111. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman was a customer of the IA business and opened his first account with BLMIS in or around December 1986.
- 112. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Arthur Friedman held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 113. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 114. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 115. Admit.
  - 116. Admit.
- 117. Deny, except admit that Thomas Osterman joined Sterling in or around 1975 and became a partner thereafter, currently serves as Sterling's Executive Vice President, is responsible for overseeing the development of Sterling's commercial and residential properties in Manhattan, as well as for the strategic management of SAP's real estate assets, and is also a Board member of the New York Mets.
- 118. Deny, except admit that Thomas Osterman was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Thomas Osterman opened his first BLMIS account.

- 119. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Thomas Osterman held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 120. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 121. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 122. Admit.
  - 123. Admit.
- 124. Deny, except admit that Marvin Tepper joined Sterling in or around 1990 as general counsel and partner after serving as Sterling's outside counsel, retired from Sterling in or around 2005, retained (and currently retains) his partnership interests in Sterling and related entities, and remains listed as a partner on Sterling's website.
- 125. Deny, except admit that Marvin Tepper was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Marvin Tepper opened his first BLMIS account.
- 126. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Marvin Tepper held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 127. Refer to the Complaint for its content.

- 128. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 129. Admit.
- 130. Deny, except admit that Leonard Schreier served as a Sterling Partner until his death in 2001 and after his death, his partnership interests in Sterling and related entities were held and maintained by the Estate of Leonard Schreier by co-executors Fred Wilpon and Jason Bacher and that Leonard Schreier remains listed as a partner on Sterling's website.
  - 131. Admit.
- 132. Deny, except admit that Leonard Schreier was a customer of the IA business and opened his first account with BLMIS in or around June 1987.
- 133. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Leonard Schreier held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 134. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 135. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 136. Admit.

- 137. Deny, except admit that Sterling Mets LP and Mets Limited Partnership are held by intermediate LLCs and partnerships that are ultimately owned by the Sterling Partners.
- 138. Admit, except deny that Marvin Tepper is a member of the Board of Directors of the Mets.
- 139. Deny, except admit that Mets Limited Partnership is a limited partnership formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located courtesy of the general partner, 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 140. Admit.
  - 141. Admit.
  - 142. Admit, except deny that Fred Wilpon is the managing partner.
- 143. Admit, except deny that Fred Wilpon and Arthur Friedman are the managing partners.
  - 144. Deny.
- 145. Deny, except admit that Mets Limited Partnership was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in or around December of 1990.
- 146. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

	147.	Deny, except admit that Mets Limited Partnership is a limited partnership
formed	l under	the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is
located	l at 111	Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.

- 148. Admit.
- 149. Admit.
- 150. Admit.
- 151. Admit.
- 152. Admit, except deny that Fred Wilpon is the managing partner.
- 153. Admit, except deny that Fred Wilpon and Arthur Friedman are the managing partners.
  - 154. Deny.
- 155. Deny, except admit that Sterling Mets LP was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Sterling Mets LP opened its first BLMIS account.
- 156. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 157. Deny, except admit that Sterling Mets Associates is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 158. Admit.
  - 159. Deny.
- 160. Deny, except admit that Sterling Mets Associates was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Sterling Mets Associates opened its first BLMIS account.
- 161. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 162. Deny, except admit that Sterling Mets Associates II is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 575 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10017.
- 163. Admit, except deny that the "Thomas Osterman Family Trust" is a general partner and that Fred Wilpon and Arthur Friedman are the managing partners.
  - 164. Deny.
- 165. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

- 166. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 167. Deny, except admit that Mets One LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 168. Admit.
  - 169. Admit, except deny that Fred Wilpon is the managing partner.
  - 170. Deny.
- 171. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 172. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 173. Deny, except admit that Mets II LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 174. Admit.
  - 175. Admit.
  - 176. Deny.

- 177. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 178. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 179. Deny, except admit that Mets Partners, Inc. is a corporation formed under the laws of the state of New York and that its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 180. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon is the sole shareholder of Mets Partners, Inc.
  - 181. Deny.
- 182. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 183. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 184. Deny, except admit that C.D.S. Corp. is a corporation formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 185. Admit.
  - 186. Deny.

- 187. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 188. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 189. Deny, except admit that Coney Island Baseball Holding Company L.L.C. is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 190. Admit.
  - 191. Admit.
  - 192. Admit.
  - 193. Deny.
  - 194. Deny.
- 195. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 196. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 197. Deny, except admit that Brooklyn Baseball Company L.L.C. is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 198. Admit.
- 199. Deny, except admit that FS Company LLC is a member of Coney Island Baseball LLC.
  - 200. Admit.
  - 201. Admit.
  - 202. Deny.
- 203. Deny, except admit that Brooklyn Baseball Company L.L.C. was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in February of 2001.
- 204. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 205. Deny, except admit that FS Company L.L.C. is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 206. Admit.

207.	Admit.	
ZU / .	Adillit.	

- 208. Deny.
- 209. Deny.
- 210. Deny, except admit that FS Company L.L.C. was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in October of 2001.
- 211. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 212. Deny, except admit that 157 J.E.S. LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 213. Deny, except admit that the members of 157 J.E.S. LLC are Fred Wilpon, Saul Katz, Richard Wilpon, Michael Katz, Thomas Osterman, Arthur Friedman, Jeffrey Wilpon and David Katz.
  - 214. Deny.
- 215. Deny, except admit that 157 J.E.S. LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in October of 2001.
- 216. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

	217.	Deny, except admit that Air Sterling LLC is a limited liability company
formed	d under	the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is
located	d at 111	Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.

- 218. Admit.
- 219. Admit.
- 220. Deny.
- 221. Deny, except admit that Air Sterling LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in March of 2001.
- 222. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 223. Deny, except admit that BAS Aircraft LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 224. Admit.
  - 225. Deny.
- 226. Deny, except admit that BAS Aircraft LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in March of 2001.

- 227. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 228. Deny, except admit that Bon-Mick Family Partners LP is a limited partnership formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 575 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10017.
- 229. Admit, except deny that Arthur Friedman is the sole shareholder of Bon Mick, Inc.
  - 230. Admit.
  - 231. Deny.
- 232. Deny, except admit that Bon-Mick Family Partners LP was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Bon-Mick Family Partners LP opened its first BLMIS account.
- 233. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 234. Deny, except admit that Bon Mick, Inc. is a corporation formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 235. Admit, except deny that Arthur Friedman is the sole shareholder.
  - 236. Deny.

237.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegations	

- 238. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 239. Deny, except admit that Charles 15 Associates is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 240. Admit.
  - 241. Admit.
  - 242. Admit.
  - 243. Admit, except deny that the Estate of Leonard Schreier is a shareholder.
  - 244. Admit.
  - 245. Admit.
  - 246. Admit.
  - 247. Admit.
  - 248. Admit.
  - 249. Deny.

- 250. Deny, except admit that Charles 15 Associates was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in January of 1995.
- 251. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 252. Deny, except admit that Charles 15 LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 253. Admit.
  - 254. Admit.
  - 255. Admit.
  - 256. Admit.
  - 257. Admit.
  - 258. Deny.
- 259. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 260. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

	261.	Deny, except admit that Charles Sterling LLC is a limited liability			
company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of					
business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.					
	262.	Admit.			
	263.	Admit.			
	264.	Admit.			
	265.	Deny.			
	266.	Deny, except admit that Charles Sterling LLC was a customer of the IA			
busine	ess and	opened its first account with BLMIS in August of 2001.			
	267.	This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is			
required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.					
	268.	Deny, except admit that Charles Sterling Sub LLC is a limited liability			
company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of					
business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.					
	269.	Admit.			
	270.	Admit.			
	271.	Admit.			
	272.	Admit.			

- 273. Deny.
- 274. Deny, except admit that Charles Sterling Sub LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in October of 2004.
- 275. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 276. Deny, except admit that College Place Enterprises LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 277. Admit.
  - 278. Deny.
- 279. Deny, except admit that College Place Enterprises LLC was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when College Place Enterprises LLC opened its first BLMIS account.
- 280. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 281. Deny, except admit that FFB Aviation LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 282. Admit.

283.	Deny.

- 284. Deny, except admit that FFB Aviation LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in May of 2006.
- 285. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 286. Admit.
  - 287. Deny.
- 288. Deny, except admit that Iris J. and Saul B. Katz Family Foundation, Inc. was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in July of 1990.
- 289. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 290. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 291. Admit.
  - 292. Deny.

- 293. Deny, except admit that Judy and Fred Wilpon Family Foundation, Inc. was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in February of 1989
- 294. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 295. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 296. Deny, except admit that Red Valley Partners is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 297. Admit.
  - 298. Deny.
- 299. Deny, except admit that Red Valley Partners was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in August of 1997.
- 300. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 301. Admit.
  - 302. Admit.

- 303. Admit.
- 304. Deny.
- 305. Deny, except admit that Saul Katz, Fred Wilpon, Richard Wilpon, Michael Katz, Arthur Friedman, Jeffrey Wilpon, David Katz, and Thomas Osterman are the shareholders of Sterling Argent, Inc.
  - 306. Deny.
- 307. Deny, except admit that Robbinsville Park LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in October of 2001.
- 308. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 309. Deny, except admit that Ruskin Gardens Apts. L.L.C. is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 310. Admit.
  - 311. Deny.
- 312. Deny, except admit that Ruskin Garden Apartments LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in June of 1997.

- 313. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 314. Deny, except admit that SEE HoldCo LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 315. Admit.
- 316. Deny, except admit that SEE Management LLC is a Delaware limited liability company whose members and managers are Fred Wilpon and Saul Katz.
- 317. Deny, except admit that SEE Holdings I is a New York general partnership whose partners are Fred Wilpon, Jeffrey Wilpon, Saul Katz, Scott Wilpon, Richard Wilpon, Michael Katz, Marvin Tepper, Thomas Osterman, Arthur Friedman, Gregory Katz, the Estate of Leonard Schreier, the Fred Wilpon Family Trust, and the Saul B. Katz Family Trust.
- 318. Deny, except admit that the partners of SEE Holding II are Fred Wilpon, Jeffrey Wilpon, Saul Katz, David Katz, Marvin Tepper, Thomas Osterman, Arthur Friedman, the Thomas Osterman 2002 Grantor Trust, the Fred Wilpon Family Trust, the Saul B. Katz Family Trust, the Wilpon 2002 Descendants' Trust, and the Katz 2002 Descendants' Trust.
  - 319. Deny.

- 320. Deny, except admit that SEE HoldCo LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in January of 2007.
- 321. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 322. Deny, except admit that SEE Holdings I is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 323. Deny, except admit that the partners of SEE Holdings I are Fred Wilpon, Jeffrey Wilpon, Saul Katz, Scott Wilpon, Richard Wilpon, Michael Katz, Marvin Tepper, Thomas Osterman, Arthur Friedman, Gregory Katz, the Estate of Leonard Schreier, the Fred Wilpon Family Trust, and the Saul B. Katz Family Trust.
  - 324. Deny.
- 325. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 326. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 327. Deny, except admit that SEE Holdings II is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.

- 328. Deny, except admit that the partners of SEE Holding II are Fred Wilpon, Jeffrey Wilpon, Saul Katz, David Katz, Marvin Tepper, Thomas Osterman, Arthur Friedman, the Thomas Osterman 2002 Grantor Trust, the Fred Wilpon Family Trust, the Saul B. Katz Family Trust, the Wilpon 2002 Descendants' Trust, and the Katz 2002 Descendants' Trust.
  - 329. Deny.
- 330. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 331. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 332. Deny, except admit that Sterling 10 LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 333. Admit.
  - 334. Admit.
  - 335. Deny.
- 336. Deny, except admit that Sterling 10 LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in September of 2003.

- 337. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 338. Deny, except admit that Sterling 15C L.L.C. is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 339. Admit.
  - 340. Deny.
  - 341. Deny.
- 342. Deny, except admit that Sterling 15C L.L.C. was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in March of 1996.
- 343. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 344. Deny, except admit that Sterling 20 LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 345. Deny, except admit that the members of Sterling 20 LLC include Fred Wilpon, Saul Katz, Richard Wilpon, Michael Katz, Thomas Osterman, Arthur Friedman, Jeffrey Wilpon, Marvin Tepper, Elise C. Tepper, David Katz, and the Fred Wilpon Family Trust.

- 346. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon, Saul Katz, Richard Wilpon, and Michael Katz are among the managing members of Sterling 20 LLC.
  - 347. Deny.
- 348. Deny, except admit that Sterling 20 LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in February of 2002.
- 349. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 350. Deny, except admit that Sterling American Advisors II LP is a limited partnership formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 351. Deny, except admit that the partners of Sterling American Advisors II LP include Sterling R. I. II LLC and Sterling Internal II LLC, among others not listed as defendants in this action.
  - 352. Deny.
  - 353. Deny.
  - 354. Admit.
  - 355. Admit, except deny that Leonard Schreier is a member.
  - 356. Deny.

- 357. Deny, except admit that Sterling American Advisors II LP was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in September of 2006.
- 358. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 359. Deny, except admit that Sterling Brunswick Corporation is a corporation formed under the laws of the state of New Jersey and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 360. Admit.
- 361. Deny, except admit that Michael Katz is an officer of Sterling Brunswick Corporation.
  - 362. Deny.
- 363. Deny, except admit that Sterling Brunswick Corporation was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in March of 2000.
- 364. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 365. Deny, except admit that Sterling Brunswick Seven LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 366. Admit.

367. Admit.

377.

	368.	Admit.			
	369.	Deny.			
	370.	Deny, except admit that Sterling Brunswick Seven LLC was a customer of			
the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in March of 2005.					
	371.	This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is			
required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.					
	372.	Deny, except admit that Sterling DIST Properties LLC is a limited liability			
company formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of					
business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.					
	373.	Admit.			
	374.	Admit.			
	375.	Deny.			
	376.	Deny, except admit that Sterling DIST Properties LLC was a customer of			
the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in June of 2008.					

required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is

- 378. Deny, except admit that Sterling Equities is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 379. Admit, except deny that Leonard Schreier's partnership interests are held by his estate.
  - 380. Deny.
- 381. Deny, except admit that the Sterling Partners are general partners of Sterling Equities Associates.
- 382. Deny, except admit that Sterling Equities was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Sterling Equities opened its first BLMIS account.
- 383. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 384. Deny, except admit that Sterling Equities Associates is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 385. Admit.
  - 386. Deny.

- 387. Deny, except admit that Sterling Equities Associates was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in July of 2000.
- 388. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 389. Deny, except admit that Sterling Equities Investors is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 390. Admit.
  - 391. Deny.
- 392. Deny, except admit that Sterling Equities Investors was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in February of 1997.
- 393. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 394. Deny, except admit that Sterling Heritage L.L.C. is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 395. Admit.
  - 396. Admit.

- 397. Deny.
- 398. Deny, except admit that Sterling Heritage L.L.C. was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in May of 2000.
- 399. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 400. Deny, except admit that Sterling Internal V LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 401. Admit.
  - 402. Deny.
- 403. Deny, except admit that Sterling Internal V LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in July of 2006.
- 404. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 405. Admit
  - 406. Admit.
  - 407. Deny.

- 408. Deny, except admit that Sterling Jet Ltd. was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in May of 1999.
- 409. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 410. Admit.
  - 411. Admit.
  - 412. Deny.
- 413. Deny, except admit that Sterling Jet II Ltd. was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in May of 1999.
- 414. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 415. Admit.
  - 416. Admit.
  - 417. Deny.
- 418. Deny, except admit that Sterling PathoGenesis Company was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in November of 1996.
- 419. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

420.	Deny, except admit that Sterling Third Associates was a general				
partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of					
business was 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.					
421.	Deny.				
422.	Deny.				
423.	Deny.				
424.	Deny, except admit that Sterling Third Associates was a customer of the				
IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in May of 1986.					
425.	This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is				
required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.					
426.	Deny, except admit that Sterling Thirty Venture LLC is a limited liability				
company form	ned under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of				
business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.					
427.	Admit.				
428.	Admit.				
429.	Admit.				
430.	Deny.				

- 431. Deny, except admit that Sterling Thirty Venture LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in November of 2000.
- 432. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 433. Deny, except admit that Sterling Tracing LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 434. Deny, except admit that the members of Sterling Tracing LLC are Michael Katz, Richard Wilpon, Gregory Katz, Scott Wilpon, Jeffrey Wilpon, Thomas Osterman and Arthur and Ruth Friedman as joint tenants
  - 435. Deny.
- 436. Deny, except admit that Sterling Tracing LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in April of 2007.
- 437. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 438. Deny, except admit that Sterling Twenty Five LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.

- 439. Admit, except deny that Arthur and Ruth Friedman are members as joint tenants.
  - 440. Admit.
  - 441. Deny.
- 442. Deny, except admit that Sterling Twenty Five LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in January of 2007.
- 443. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 444. Deny, except admit that Sterling VC IV LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 445. Admit, except deny that Natalie Katz O'Brien and Heather Katz Knopf are members.
  - 446. Deny.
- 447. Deny, except admit that Sterling VC IV LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in June of 2008.
- 448. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 449. Deny, except admit that Sterling VC V LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021 and it accepts service of process courtesy of Sterling Equities at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 450. Admit.
  - 451. Admit.
  - 452. Deny.
- 453. Deny, except admit that Sterling VC V LLC was a customer of the IA business and opened its first account with BLMIS in June of 2008.
- 454. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 455. Deny.
  - 456. Deny.
  - 457. Deny.
- 458. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

- 459. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 460. Admit, except note that David Katz, Natalie Katz and Heather Katz Knopf are among the beneficiaries of the Saul B. Katz Family Trust.
- 461. Deny, except admit that the Saul B. Katz Family Trust was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when the Saul B. Katz Family Trust opened its first BLMIS account.
- 462. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that the Saul B. Katz Family Trust held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
- 463. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 464. Admit, except note that Jeffrey Wilpon, Bruce N. Wilpon, MINOR 1, MINOR 2, Robin Wilpon Wachtler, and Kimberly Wilpon Wachtler are among the beneficiaries of the Fred Wilpon Family Trust.
- 465. Deny, except admit that the Fred Wilpon Family Trust was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when the Fred Wilpon Family Trust opened its first BLMIS account.

- 466. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that the Fred Wilpon Family Trust held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
- 467. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 468. Admit, except note that Dayle Katz, Gregory Katz, Howard Katz and Todd Katz are among the beneficiaries of the Katz 2002 Descendants Trust.
- 469. Deny, except admit that the Katz 2002 Descendants' Trust was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when the Katz 2002 Descendants' Trust opened its first BLMIS account.
- 470. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that the Katz 2002 Descendants Trust. held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
- 471. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 472. Admit, except note that Debra Wilpon is also a beneficiary.
  - 473. Admit.

- 474. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that the Wilpon 2002 Descendants Trust. held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
- 475. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 476. Admit.
  - 477. Admit.
- 478. Deny, except admit that Iris Katz was a customer of the IA business, and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the when Iris Katz opened her first BLMIS account.
- 479. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Iris Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts
  - 480. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 481. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 482. Admit.
  - 483. Admit.

- 484. Deny, except admit that Judith Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Judith Wilpon opened her first BLMIS account.
- 485. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Judith Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 486. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 487. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 488. Admit.
  - 489. Admit.
- 490. Deny, except admit that Dayle Katz was a customer of the IA business and opened her first account with BLMIS in or around December of 1986.
- 491. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Dayle Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 492. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 493. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 494. Admit, except deny that Debra Wilpon maintains her residence in Port Washington, New York.
  - 495. Admit.
- 496. Deny, except admit that Debra Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and opened her first account with BLMIS in or around December 1986.
- 497. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Debra Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts
  - 498. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 499. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 500. Admit.
  - 501. Admit.
- 502. Deny, except admit that Valerie Wilpon was a customer of the IA business.
- 503. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Valerie Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.

- 504. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 505. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 506. Admit.
  - 507. Admit.
- 508. Deny, except admit that Amy Beth Katz was a customer of the IA business and opened her first account with BLMIS in October 2001.
- 509. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Amy Beth Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 510. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 511. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 512. Admit.
  - 513. Admit.
- 514. Deny, except admit that Heather Katz Knopf was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Heather Katz Knopf opened her first BLMIS account.

- 515. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Heather Katz Knopf held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 516. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 517. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 518. Admit.
  - 519. Admit.
- 520. Deny, except admit that Howard Katz was a customer of the IA business, and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Howard Katz opened his first BLMIS account.
- 521. Deny, except admit that Howard Katz was a customer of the IA business, and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Howard Katz opened his first BLMIS account.
- 522. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Howard Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 523. Refer to the Complaint for its content.

- 524. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 525. Admit.
  - 526. Admit.
- 527. Deny, except admit that Natalie Katz O'Brien was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Natalie Katz O'Brien opened her first BLMIS account.
- 528. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Natalie Katz O'Brien held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 529. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 530. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 531. Admit.
  - 532. Admit.
- 533. Deny, except admit that Todd Katz was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Todd Katz opened his first BLMIS account.

- 534. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Todd Katz held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 535. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 536. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 537. Admit.
  - 538. Admit.
- 539. Deny, except admit that Bruce N. Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and opened his first BLMIS account or such account was opened on his behalf in or around February 1994.
- 540. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Bruce N. Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 541. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 542. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 543. Admit.
  - 544. Admit.

- 545. Deny, except admit that Daniel Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when he opened his first BLMIS account.
- 546. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Daniel Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 547. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 548. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 549. Admit.
  - 550. Admit.
- 551. Deny, except admit that Jessica Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Jessica Wilpon opened her first BLMIS account.
- 552. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Jessica Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 553. Refer to the Complaint for its content.

- 554. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 555. Admit.
  - 556. Admit.
- 557. Deny, except admit that Robin Wilpon Wachtler was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Robin Wilpon Wachtler opened her first BLMIS account.
- 558. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Robin Wilpon Wachtler held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 559. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 560. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 561. Admit.
  - 562. Admit.
- 563. Deny, except admit that Philip Wachtler was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Philip Wachtler opened his first BLMIS account.

- 564. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Philip Wachtler held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 565. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 566. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 567. Admit.
  - 568. Admit.
- 569. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Kimberly Wachtler held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 570. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 571. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 572. Admit.
  - 573. Admit.

- 574. Deny, except admit that Scott Wilpon was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Scott Wilpon opened his first BLMIS account.
- 575. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Scott Wilpon held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 576. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 577. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 578. Admit.
  - 579. Admit.
- 580. Deny, except admit that MINOR 1 was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when a BLMIS account was first opened on MINOR 1's behalf.
- 581. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that MINOR 1 held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 582. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 583. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 584. Admit.
- 585. Admit.
- 586. Deny, except admit that MINOR 2 was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when a BLMIS account was first opened on MINOR 2's behalf.
- 587. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that MINOR 2 held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 588. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 589. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 590. Admit.
  - 591. Admit.
- 592. Deny, except admit that Ruth Friedman was a customer of the IA business and opened her first account with BLMIS in or around May 1991.
- 593. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Ruth Friedman held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 594. Refer to the Complaint for its content.

- 595. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 596. Admit.
  - 597. Admit.
- 598. Deny, except admit that Phyllis Rebell Osterman was a customer of the IA business and opened her first account in or around October 1999.
  - 599. Admit.
  - 600. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 601. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 602. Admit.
  - 603. Admit.
- 604. Deny, except admit that Elise C. Tepper was a customer of the IA business and opened her first account with BLMIS in December 1990.
- 605. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Elise C. Tepper held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 606. Refer to the Complaint for its content.

- 607. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 608. Admit.
  - 609. Admit.
- 610. Deny, except admit that Jacqueline G. Tepper was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Jacqueline G. Tepper opened her first BLMIS account.
- 611. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Jacqueline G. Tepper held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 612. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 613. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 614. Admit, except deny that Edward M. Tepper maintains his residence in Madison, New Jersey.
  - 615. Admit.
- 616. Deny, except admit that Edward M. Tepper was a customer of the IA business and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Edward M. Tepper opened his first BLMIS account.

- 617. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Edward M. Tepper held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 618. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 619. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 620. Admit.
  - 621. Admit.
- 622. Deny, except admit that Deyva Schreier Arthur was a customer of the IA business and opened her first account with BLMIS, or such account was opened on her behalf in December 1991.
- 623. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Deyva Schreier Arthur held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 624. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 625. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 626. Admit.

- 627. Admit.
- 628. Deny, except admit that Michael Schreier was a customer of the IA business and opened his first account with BLMIS, or such account was opened on his behalf in or around December 1991.
- 629. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Michael Schreier held interests in different BLMIS accounts.
  - 630. Refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 631. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 632. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
  - 633. Admit.
  - 634. Admit.
  - 635. Deny.
- 636. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

- 637. Deny, except admit that Sterling Acquisitions LLC is a general partnership formed under the laws of the state of New York and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
- 638. Admit, except note that Heather Katz Knopf and Dan Knopf are members as tenants-in-common, and further note that Thomas Osterman, Scott Wilpon, Jessica Wilpon, Daniel Wilpon and the Iris J. and Saul B. Katz Family Foundation are also members.
  - 639. Deny.
  - 640. Deny.
- 641. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 642. Deny, except admit that Sterling American Property III LP is a limited partnership formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 643. Admit.
  - 644. Deny.

- 645. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 646. Deny, except admit that Sterling American Property IV LP is a limited partnership formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 647. Admit.
  - 648. Deny.
- 649. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 650. Deny, except admit that Sterling American Property V LP is a limited partnership formed under the laws of the state of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at 111 Great Neck Road, Suite 408, Great Neck, New York 11021.
  - 651. Admit.
  - 652. Deny.
- 653. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 654. Deny, except admit that Sterling Equities is a general partnership that was founded in or around 1972 as a partnership by brothers-in-law Saul Katz, a certified public accountant ("CPA"), and Fred Wilpon to manage and acquire real estate, that Richard Wilpon and Michael Katz, a CPA who also holds a master's degree in business administration, joined the partnership a year later, and that these four partners have been with Sterling since its inception and have extensive business experience.
- 655. Admit, except deny that all of the "next generation of Katz-Wilpon family members" joined the partnership in or around 1986.
- entities own and operate a number of businesses and invest in asset classes that include real estate, professional baseball, sports media, and private equity. Defendants further admit that these businesses involve, among other things, the purchase, development, and management of commercial and residential real estate, both directly and through the Sterling American Property ("SAP") funds, ownership of the New York Mets baseball franchise, a majority ownership interest in SportsNet New York ("SNY"), private equity and venture capital investments, and a passive ownership interest in Sterling Stamos Partners. Defendants admit the first sentence of footnote 4 and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in footnote 4.
  - 657. Deny.
  - 658. Deny.

659.	Deny.
660.	Deny.
661.	Deny.
662.	Deny.

664. Deny.

Deny.

663.

- 665. Deny, except admit that Sterling's principal business has been, and continues to be, real estate, including the purchase, development, and management of commercial and residential real estate, both directly and through the SAP funds.
- 666. Deny, except admit that Richard Wilpon, Michael Katz, and Thomas Osterman are the Sterling Partners most closely involved in Sterling's real estate business.
- 667. Deny, except admit that the relationship between the Sterling Partners and American Securities started in or around 1990 and that Sterling-related entities partnered with American Securities over time to establish five SAP real estate funds.
- 668. Deny, except admit that Richard Wilpon, Michael Katz, and Thomas Osterman are the Sterling Partners most closely involved in Sterling's real estate business, including with respect to the SAP funds.

- 669. Deny, except admit that each of the Sterling Partners has held an interest in the Mets since 1980 when they initially shared ownership with Nelson Doubleday, that in 2002 the Partners and other related entities acquired full ownership of the Mets, and that a Sterling-related entity owns the Mets' Class A affiliate, the Brooklyn Cyclones.
- 670. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon, Jeffrey Wilpon, and Saul Katz are the Sterling Partners most closely involved in the business operations of the New York Mets.
  - 671. Admit.
- 672. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon, Jeffrey Wilpon, and Saul Katz are the Sterling Partners most closely involved with the business operations of SNY.
- 673. Deny, except admit that each of the Sterling Partners and/or Sterling-related entities held or hold interests in private equity ventures, including Changing World Technologies, PathoGenesis, and Twistage.
- 674. Deny, except admit that Saul Katz and David Katz are the Sterling Partners most closely involved with private equity ventures.
  - 675. Deny.
  - 676. Deny.

- 677. Deny, except admit that a few Sterling Partners began investing with Madoff in 1985 and that many accounts of the Sterling Partners or related persons or entities were identified by a "KW" prefix followed by three distinct digits.
  - 678. Deny and refer to Appendix I, Exhibit A for its content.
  - 679. Deny.
  - 680. Deny.
- 681. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman provided administrative assistance with respect to the majority of 1KW BLMIS accounts, which included communicating transaction and other requests of 1KW BLMIS customers to BLMIS, maintaining BLMIS paperwork, including regularly issued monthly account statements, and monitoring BLMIS account balances.
- 682. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as the truth of the allegations, except admit that some accounts held by some Sterling Partners and/or their family members were held individually or structured as joint tenancies or tenancies-in-common.
- 683. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
  - 684. Deny.

	685.	Deny.
	686.	Deny.
	687.	Deny.
	688.	Deny.
	689.	Deny, except admit that certain accounts were opened by limited liability
corpo	rations i	n which one or more of the Sterling Partners held an interest.
	690.	Deny.
	691.	Deny.
	692.	Deny.
	693.	Deny.
	694.	Deny, except admit that Sterling Internal V LLC opened a BLMIS
accou	nt.	
	695.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the all	legation	s, except admit that Ruth Madoff and Peter Madoff were limited partner
invest	ors in th	ne SAP funds.
	696.	Deny, except admit that Mets-related entities and the Brooklyn Cyclones

held different BLMIS accounts over time.

697. Deny.
------------

698. Deny.

699. Deny.

700. Deny, except admit that Sterling Pathogenesis held a BLMIS account and that Sterling PathoGenesis used the securities in its BLMIS account as collateral to borrow funds to invest with BLMIS.

701. Deny.

702. Deny, except admit that Sterling Stamos was created as a partnership between Peter Stamos and the Sterling Partners, in which a Sterling-related entity holds a passive ownership interest.

703. Deny, except admit that a reason Sterling Stamos was formed was to provide the Sterling Partners with an alternative to investing with Madoff for diversification purposes.

704. Deny, except admit that the Sterling Partners, family members, and related entities had in the aggregate hundreds of millions of dollars of investments with BLMIS and with Sterling Stamos in 2008.

705. Deny.

- 706. Deny, except admit that Sterling Partner David Katz expressed concerns to the other Sterling Partners about the concentration of their respective securities investments with a single investment manager.
  - 707. Deny.
- 708. Deny, except admit that Sterling Stamos was created as a hedge fund of funds operated and headed by Peter Stamos and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee with respect to footnote 5.
  - 709. Admit.
  - 710. Deny.
- 711. Deny, except admit that Sterling Stamos was formed as a partnership between Peter Stamos and the Sterling Partners and that the Sterling Partners had a 50% passive ownership interest in Sterling Stamos at its inception.
- 712. Deny, except admit that each of the Sterling Partners provided start-up capital for the venture.
- 713. Deny, except admit that each of the Sterling Partners individually was a limited partner in different Sterling Stamos funds and that some of each Partner's BLMIS holdings could have been a source of funding for these investments.
- 714. Deny, except admit that Sterling Stamos' offices were located at 575 Fifth Avenue in its early stages.

- 715. Deny, except admit that Chuck Klein worked for American Securities and admit, upon information and belief, that Ezra Merkin managed his own investment funds.
- 716. Deny, except admit that some Sterling Stamos investors were also customers of BLMIS, including the Sterling Partners.
- 717. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 718. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that Merrill Lynch ultimately acquired an interest in Sterling Stamos, including 25% of the passive ownership interest held collectively by the Sterling Partners.
  - 719. Deny.
  - 720. Deny.
  - 721. Deny.
  - 722. Deny.
  - 723. Deny and refer to the document for its content.
  - 724. Deny and refer to the document for its content.
  - 725. Deny.
  - 726. Deny.

<ul> <li>728. Deny.</li> <li>729. Deny.</li> <li>730. Deny.</li> <li>731. Deny.</li> <li>732. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon met Madoff through their children</li> </ul>	727.	Deny.
730. Deny. 731. Deny.	728.	Deny.
731. Deny.	729.	Deny.
	730.	Deny.
732. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon met Madoff through their children	731.	Deny.
	732.	Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon met Madoff through their children

733. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon was a BLMIS customer and that Ruth Madoff was a limited partner, either directly or indirectly, in Sterling American Property funds.

and that Fred and Judith Wilpon became friendly with Madoff and his wife.

- 734. Deny, except admit that, on occasion, Madoff was invited to and attended family events of the Katz and Wilpon families and that Madoff, on occasion, invited the Katz and Wilpon families to family celebrations.
- 735. Deny, except admit that Madoff and his wife accompanied Saul Katz and Fred Wilpon to Japan when the Mets played an exhibition game there.
- 736. Deny, except admit that Sterling was involved in development of the "Lipstick" building.

- 737. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon was a board member of "Gift of Life" and that the charity held a board meeting at BLMIS' offices on December 8, 2008.
  - 738. Deny.
- 739. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
  - 740. Deny.
- 741. Deny, except admit that Fred Wilpon and Saul Katz met with Madoff in his office approximately once a year.
  - 742. Deny.
- 743. Deny, except admit that each of Fred Wilpon, Saul Katz, and SEF opened a BLMIS account in or around 1985.
- 744. Deny, except admit that additional 1KW accounts were opened by different customers following the accounts that were opened in or around 1985.
- 745. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 746. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 747. Deny.

- 748. Deny.
- 749. Deny, except refer to the testimony of Arthur Friedman and Fred Wilpon regarding outside investor accounts for its content.
  - 750. Deny.
  - 751. Deny.
- 752. Deny, except admit that Sterling sponsored a self-directed 401(k) retirement plan and that BLMIS was one of the investment options offered to plan participants.
  - 753. Deny.
- 754. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman provided administrative assistance with respect to the majority of the 1KW BLMIS accounts.
- 755. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman provided administrative assistance with respect to the majority of 1KW BLMIS accounts, which included communicating transaction and other requests of 1KW BLMIS customers to BLMIS, often by letter, maintaining BLMIS paperwork, including regularly issued monthly account statements, and monitoring BLMIS account balances.
- 756. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman provided administrative assistance with respect to the majority of 1KW BLMIS accounts, which included communicating transaction and other requests of 1KW BLMIS customers to BLMIS,

often by letter, maintaining BLMIS paperwork, including regularly issued monthly account statements, and monitoring BLMIS account balances.

- 757. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman provided administrative assistance with respect to the majority of 1KW BLMIS accounts, which included communicating transaction and other requests of 1KW BLMIS customers to BLMIS, often by letter, maintaining BLMIS paperwork, including regularly issued monthly account statements, and monitoring BLMIS account balances.
- 758. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman provided administrative assistance with respect to the majority of 1KW BLMIS accounts, which included communicating transaction and other requests of 1KW BLMIS customers to BLMIS, often by letter, maintaining BLMIS paperwork, including regularly issued monthly account statements, and monitoring BLMIS account balances.
- 759. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman generally was responsible for reporting on BLMIS' performance at Sterling Partner meetings.
- 760. Admit, except deny that Arthur Friedman calculated "purported equity in BLMIS."
- 761. Deny, except admit that the Partnership Accounting Department created a "Hell" sheet that reflected BLMIS account balances for certain 1KW BLMIS accounts and allocation of those balances among account interest holders where appropriate.

- 762. Deny, except admit that BLMIS provided tax-related information for the 1KW BLMIS accounts.
- 763. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman, from time to time, analyzed an "efficiency" factor for some 1KW accounts.
- 764. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman tried to replicate Madoff's strategy on paper and viewed the exercise a success.
  - 765. Deny.
- 766. Deny, except admit that the Sterling Partners and their family members created tenancies-in-common ("TICs"), which invested with BLMIS.
  - 767. Deny.
- 768. Deny, except admit that Arthur Friedman verified that BLMIS was a member of SIPC and that SIPC protected joint and TIC accounts and refer to the document for its content.
  - 769. Deny and refer to the documents for their content.
- 770. Deny, except admit that the allegations purport to describe a memorandum from Arthur Friedman and refer to that document for its content.
  - 771. Deny.

- 772. Deny, except admit that Madoff was offered an opportunity to invest in certain Sterling real estate deals and business ventures.
- 773. Deny, except admit that investments in certain Sterling real estate deals and business ventures were made in the name of Ruth Madoff.
- 774. Deny, except admit that Madoff declined an opportunity to invest in the Mets in or around 2002.
- 775. Deny, except admit that an investment in SAP I in the name of Ruth Madoff was made through an entity called Madoff Realty Associates.
- 776. Deny, except admit that an investment in SAP II in the name of Ruth Madoff was made through an entity called Realty Assoc Madoff II" and that investments in SAP III, IV, and V were made in the name of Ruth Madoff.
  - 777. Admit.
- 778. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 779. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that investments were made in the name of Ruth Madoff in Sterling Acquisitions, Sterling Carl Marks Capital, and Sterling Vessels.
- 780. Deny, except admit that the described investments were held in Ruth Madoff's name and that any dealings concerning those investments were with Madoff.

781.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of			
the allegations.				
782.	Deny.			
783.	Deny.			
784.	Deny.			
785.	Deny.			
786.	Deny.			
787.	Deny, except admit that some Sterling-related entities that held BLMIS			
accounts used	funds from those accounts for business purposes and that some Sterling-			
related entities	s were created for the purpose of investing in BLMIS.			
788.	This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is			
required. To t	he extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.			
789.	Deny.			
790.	Deny, except admit that the losses caused by Madoff's fraud required the			
restructuring o	of certain Sterling-related borrowers' debt.			
791.	Deny.			
792.	This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is			
required. To t	he extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.			

- 793. Deny, except admit that funds from BLMIS accounts opened by Metsrelated entities at times were used to fund Mets operations.
- 794. Deny, except admit that deposits and withdrawals by the Mets from BLMIS accounts opened by Mets-related entities were consistent with the baseball season.
  - 795. Deny.
  - 796. Deny.
  - 797. Deny.
  - 798. Deny.
- 799. Deny, except admit that a Sterling-related entity made a capital commitment to SAP V of \$150 million.
  - 800. Deny.
  - 801. Deny.
- 802. Deny, except admit that Sterling Internal V LLC borrowed \$75 million from Bank of America. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to what, if anything, influenced Bank of America.

- 803. Deny, except admit that that, in or around June 2006, Sterling Internal V opened a 1KW BLMIS account and that it withdrew funds that it legally was owed to service its debt and meet certain SAP V capital calls.
  - 804. Deny.
  - 805. Deny.
- 806. Deny, except admit that Sterling collected approximately \$9 million in SAP V management fees in 2009.
- 807. Deny, except admit that SEF functions as an internal bank at Sterling for cash management purposes.
- 808. Deny, except admit that SEF funds were used for different business purposes.
- 809. Deny, except admit that SEF's credit lines were generally available to the Sterling Partners and certain Sterling-related entities so that they could conveniently borrow funds when necessary.
- 810. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
  - 811. Deny.
- 812. Deny, except admit that SEF at times endorsed BLMIS checks made payable to tenancies-in-common when those TICs did not have a bank account.

- 813. Deny.
- 814. Deny, except admit that SEF did loan money to individual Sterling

  Partners or Sterling-related entities and that each such Partner or entity would repay SEF

  from sources that could include a Partner's or entity's BLMIS account.
- 815. Deny, except admit that certain Sterling-related individuals and entities borrowed funds to invest with BLMIS.
  - 816. Admit.
- 817. Deny, except admit that certain Sterling-related individuals or entities borrowed funds pursuant to credit agreements with Fleet National Bank or Bank of America.
- 818. Deny, except admit that Madoff preferred that Fleet National Bank serve as the lender for funds to be invested in accounts at BLMIS.
- 819. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 820. Deny, except admit that certain Sterling-related individuals or entities borrowed funds to deposit into their BLMIS accounts for purposes of investment and that at least some Sterling-related individuals referred to such accounts as "double up" accounts.

- 821. Deny, except admit that some Sterling Partners and related entities were members of Sterling 20 LLC, an entity created for the purposes of investing with BLMIS.
- 822. Deny, except admit that this paragraph purports to describe pledged collateral account control agreements and refer to those documents for their content.
  - 823. Deny.
- 824. Deny, except admit that Judith Wilpon and Iris Katz entered into loan agreements with Fleet National Bank, which were secured by certain of their BLMIS accounts, and deposited funds in certain of their accounts at BLMIS.
  - 825. Deny, except admit that the listed entities held BLMIS accounts.
- 826. Deny, except admit that certain Sterling-related individuals and entities borrowed funds from Fleet National Bank and later Bank of America, which were secured by certain BLMIS accounts, and deposited borrowed funds in their accounts at BLMIS.
  - 827. Deny.
- 828. Deny, except admit that Sterling Partners generally served as officers or directors or were members of these entities.
  - 829. Deny.
  - 830. Admit.

831.	Deny, except admit that those Sterling-related individuals and entities that			
borrowed funds to deposit into BLMIS accounts entered into credit agreements with				
lenders and	refer to these agreements for their terms.			
832.	Deny.			
833.	Deny.			
834.	Deny.			
835.	Deny.			
836.	Deny.			
837.	Deny.			
838.	Deny.			
839.	Deny.			
840.	Deny, except admit that certain Sterling-related entities pledged their			
BLMIS acco	ounts as collateral for loans, the proceeds of which were used for purposes			
other than in	vestment with BLMIS.			
841.	Deny.			
842.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of			
the allegatio	ns.			

- 843. Deny, except admit that the alleged entities were borrowers on loans collateralized by their respective parent entity's BLMIS account.
- 844. Deny, except admit that the referenced entities entered into loan agreements that provided for investment with BLMIS as an approved use of proceeds and refer to those agreements for their terms.
  - 845. Deny.
  - 846. Deny.
  - 847. Deny.
  - 848. Deny.
- 849. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
  - 850. Deny.
  - 851. Deny and refer to quoted document for its content.
- 852. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 853. Deny.
- 854. Deny, except admit that the Sterling Partners and related individuals and entities were harmed by BLMIS' fraud.

- 855. Deny.
- 856. Deny.
- 857. Deny, except admit that new credit facilities were put into place after disclosure of BLMIS' fraud and refer to the documentation of those facilities for its content.
- 858. Deny, except admit that new credit facilities were put into place after disclosure of BLMIS' fraud and refer to the documentation of those facilities for its content.
- 859. Deny, except admit that new credit facilities were put into place after disclosure of BLMIS' fraud and refer to the documentation of those facilities for its content.
- 860. Deny, except admit that new credit facilities were put into place after disclosure of BLMIS' fraud and refer to the documentation of those facilities for its content.
- 861. Deny, except admit that new credit facilities were put into place after disclosure of BLMIS' fraud and refer to the documentation of those facilities for its content.
- 862. Deny, except admit that new credit facilities were put into place after disclosure of BLMIS' fraud and refer to the documentation of those facilities for its content.

	863.	Deny.
	864.	Deny.
	865.	Deny.
	866.	Deny.
	867.	Deny.
	868.	Deny, except admit that Sterling Stamos was formed as a partnership
betwe	en Stan	nos and the Sterling Partners.
	869.	Deny.
	870.	Deny.
	871.	Deny.
	872.	Deny, except refer to the quoted email dated December 12, 2008 for its
conter	ıt.	
	873.	Deny, except refer to the quoted email dated December 13, 2008 for its
conter	ıt.	
	874.	Deny, except refer to the quoted email dated December 15, 2008 for its
conter	ıt.	
	875.	Deny.

- 876. Deny.
- 877. Deny, except admit that at least some of the Sterling Partners at times compared the performance of BLMIS to that of Sterling Stamos.
- 878. Deny, except admit that at least some of the Sterling Partners at times discussed the comparison.
- 879. Deny, except admit that Sterling Stamos's rates of return generally differed from BLMIS's rate of return.
  - 880. Deny.
- 881. Deny and refer to the deposition of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.
- 882. Deny and refer to the deposition of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.
- 883. Deny and refer to the deposition of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.
- 884. Deny and refer to the deposition of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.
  - 885. Deny.

88	6. T	This para	graph	alleges	conclus	ions c	of law	to w	hich	no 1	respor	ise is
required.	To the	e extent a	a respo	onse is	required.	Defe	endant	s den	v the	e all	legatio	ons.

887. Deny and refer to the deposition of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.

888. Deny.

889. Deny.

890. Deny.

891. Deny and refer to the deposition of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content. Defendants also lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in footnote 6.

892. Deny.

893. Deny, except admit that certain Sterling personnel communicated with BLMIS' auditor in 2008 concerning the Sterling-related investments held in Ruth Madoff's name.

894. Deny and refer to the deposition of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.

895. Deny.

896. Deny.

8	397.	Deny.
8	398.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the alleg	ations	s.
8	899.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the alleg	ations	5.
9	000.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the alleg	ations	s, except admit that Merrill Lynch acquired a 50% interest in Sterling
Stamos i	n or a	round July 2007.
9	01.	Deny.
9	02.	Deny.
9	03.	Deny.
9	004.	Deny.
9	05.	Deny.
9	06.	Deny, except lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as
to the tru	ith of	the allegations concerning Merrill Lynch.
9	07.	Deny.
9	08.	Deny.

	909.	Deny.			
	910.	Deny and refer to the deposition of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the			
Truste	e for its	content.			
	911.	Deny.			
	912.	Deny.			
	913.	Deny.			
	914.	Deny, except admit that at least one bank declined to act as custodian for			
Sterlin	g's 401	(k) plan.			
	915.	Deny.			
	916.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth			
of the	allegati	ons.			
	917.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth			
of the allegations.					
	918.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth			
of the	allegati	ons.			
	919.	Deny, except admit that David Katz, Saul Katz and Ivy representatives			
met in	or arou	nd 2002 in connection with the creation of Sterling Stamos.			

920. Deny.

- 921. Deny, except refer to the quoted email dated December 13, 2008 for its content.
  - 922. Deny.
  - 923. Admit.
- 924. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and in footnote 7, except admit that the Saul Katz Family Foundation made a donation to Brooklyn College Foundation.
- 925. Deny and refer to the May 2001 articles from MAR/Hedge and Barron's for their content.
- 926. Deny and refer to the May 2001 articles from MAR/Hedge and Barron's for their content and admit that the articles were circulated to the Sterling Partners.
- 927. Deny, except admit that the articles could have been discussed by or among the Sterling Partners.
- 928. Deny and refer to the May 2001 articles from MAR/Hedge and Barron's for their content.
- 929. Deny and refer to the May 2001 articles from MAR/Hedge and Barron's for their content and admit that at least one of the Sterling Partners believed that Madoff charged something similar to a commission on trades.
  - 930. Deny.

- 931. Deny.
- 932. Deny.
- 933. Deny, except lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation concerning "financial professionals."
  - 934. Deny, except refer to the quoted October 30, 2000 fax for its content.
- 935. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 936. Deny, except admit that at least one Sterling Partner maintained copies of select articles discussing Madoff and BLMIS and refer to those documents for their content.
  - 937. Deny.
- 938. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning American Securities' founding, except admit that Sterling and American Securities have a business relationship dating back to the early 1990s.
- 939. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning American Securities' growth, except admit that Sterling Partners and related entities have invested in American Securities' private equity funds.

- 940. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning Chuck Klein's role with American Securities affiliates, except admit that Chuck Klein is a managing director at American Securities.
- 941. Deny, except admit that Chuck Klein was a trusted advisor to the Sterling Partners and that they informally consulted him in connection with the creation of Sterling Stamos.
  - 942. Deny.
  - 943. Deny.
- 944. Deny, except admit that Chuck Klein suggested that Mr. Katz look into certain insurance.
- 945. Deny, except refer to the quoted February 26, 2001 memorandum for its content.
- 946. Deny, except refer to the quoted June 13, 2001 memorandum for its content.
- 947. Deny, except refer to the quoted Arthur Friedman notes and Arthur Friedman's testimony for their content.
- 948. Deny, except admit that the Sterling Partners did not purchase fraud insurance.

- 949. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 950. Deny and refer to the March 19, 2011 declaration of Saul B. Katz ("Katz Declaration") filed in support of Defendants' motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment dismissing the Complaint.
- 951. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 952. Deny and refer to the Katz Declaration filed in support of Defendants' motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment dismissing the Complaint.
- 953. Deny and refer to the Katz Declaration filed in support of Defendants' motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment dismissing the Complaint.
- 954. Deny and refer to the Katz Declaration filed in support of Defendants' motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment dismissing the Complaint.
  - 955. Deny.
- 956. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 957. Deny and refer to the depositions of Saul Katz, David Katz, and Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for their content.
  - 958. Deny.

- 959. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 960. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 961. Deny, except admit that Sterling Stamos moved its offices to 450 Park Avenue.
- 962. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.
- 963. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.
- 964. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for the Trustee for its content.
- 965. Deny and refer to the Katz Declaration filed in support of Defendants' motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment dismissing the Complaint.
  - 966. Deny.
  - 967. Deny.

9	68.	Deny.		
9	69.	Deny.		
9	70.	Deny and refer to the Sterling Partner meeting agendas and minutes for		
their content.				
9	71.	Deny.		
9	72.	Deny.		
9	73.	Deny.		
9	74.	Deny.		
9	75.	This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is		
required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.				
9	76.	Deny.		
9	77.	Deny and refer to the quoted Arthur Friedman notes and Arthur		
Friedman's deposition testimony for their content.				
9	78.	Deny, except admit that Mr. Madoff was consulted with regard to the		
description of the BLMIS investment option offered to 401(k) plan participants and refer				
to the documents reflecting that description for their content.				

979. Deny, except admit that Sterling maintained a description of the BLMIS investment option for the 401(k) plan between 1997 and 2008 and refer to that document for its content.

980. Deny.

981. Deny and refer to the quoted email dated April 28, 2005 for its content.

982. Deny, except admit that the description of the BLMIS investment option for Sterling's 401(k) plan was modified on at least one occasion after 2005.

983. Deny, except refer to the testimony of Arthur Friedman and Fred Wilpon regarding outside investor accounts.

984. Deny.

985. Deny, except admit that it was Saul Katz's understanding that Madoff did not accept investments from registered investment advisors.

986. Deny.

987. Deny, except admit that Madoff preferred using Fleet National Bank and later Bank of America as lenders for loans secured by BLMIS accounts because of his existing relationship with the institution.

988. Deny.

989. Deny.

- 990. Deny.
- 991. Deny and refer to the deposition testimony of Fred Wilpon, Saul Katz, and Arthur Friedman for their content.
- 992. Deny and refer to the deposition testimony of Fred Wilpon, Saul Katz, and Arthur Friedman for their content.
- 993. Deny, except admit that on or about May 26, 2004, Madoff wired \$54 million to a Sterling-related bank account.
  - 994. Admit.
- 995. Deny, except admit that on or about May 27, 2004, \$54 million was returned to Madoff.
  - 996. Deny and refer to the May 25, 2004 letter for its content.
  - 997. Admit.
  - 998. Deny and refer to the May 25, 2004 letter for its content.
  - 999. Deny and refer to the May 25, 2004 letter for its content.
  - 1000. Deny and refer to the May 25, 2004 letter for its content.
- 1001. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning Ruth Madoff's signature, except admit that the May 25, 2004 letter was signed by Fred Wilpon and Saul Katz.

1002.	Deny.
1002.	Delly.

1003. Deny, except admit that neither Fred Wilpon nor Saul Katz had discussions with Ruth Madoff concerning a potential investment related to SNY and refer to the May 25, 2004 letter for its content.

```
1004. Deny.
```

1005. Deny.

1006. Deny.

1007. Deny.

1008. Deny and refer to the July 6, 2000 letters for their content.

1009. Deny and refer to the July 6, 2000 letters for their content.

1010. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

- 1011. Deny and refer to the August 21, 2000 letter for its content.
- 1012. Deny and refer to the August 21, 2000 letter for its content.
- 1013. Deny and refer to the August 21, 2000 letter for its content.
- 1014. Deny and refer to the July 20, 2000 letter for its content.

1015.	Deny and refer to the July 20 and 2000 August 21, 2000 letters for their		
content.			
1016.	Deny.		
1017.	Deny and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos taken by		
counsel for the Trustee for its content.			
1018.	Deny.		
1019.	Deny.		
1020.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of		
the allegations	s and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos taken by counsel for		
the Trustee for its content.			
1021.	Deny and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos taken by		
counsel for the Trustee for its content.			
1022.	Deny.		
1023.	Deny.		
1024.	Deny.		
1025.	Deny.		
1026.	Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of		
the allegations.			

1027. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

1028. Deny.

1029. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos and Ashok Chachra taken by counsel for the Trustee for their content.

1030. Deny.

- 1031. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos and Ashok Chachra taken by counsel for the Trustee for their content.
- 1032. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos and Ashok Chachra taken by counsel for the Trustee for their content.
- 1033. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos and Ashok Chachra taken by counsel for the Trustee for their content.
- 1034. Deny, except admit that certain of the Sterling Partners believed that "style drfit" was the reason for Sterling Stamos' Bayou redemption.

- 1035. Deny, except admit that certain of the Sterling Partners believed that "style drfit" was the reason for Sterling Stamos' Bayou redemption and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos and Ashok Chachra taken by counsel for the Trustee for their content.
- 1036. Deny, except admit that certain of the Sterling Partners believed that "style drfit" was the reason for Sterling Stamos' Bayou redemption and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos and Ashok Chachra taken by counsel for the Trustee for their content.
  - 1037. Deny.
- 1038. Deny and refer to the Sterling Partner meeting minutes and agendas for their content.
  - 1039. Deny.
- 1040. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
  - 1041. Deny.
- 1042. Deny, except admit that \$22 million was invested in 1KW427 on or about November 30, 2005.
- 1043. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

104	14.	Deny and refer to the deposition testimony of Arthur Friedman for its		
content.				
104	15.	Deny.		
104	16.	Deny.		
104	<del>1</del> 7.	Deny and refer to the quoted December 12, 2008 email for its content.		
104	18.	Deny.		
104	19.	Deny and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to		
the truth of the allegations in the second sentence.				
105	50.	Deny, except refer to the January 6, 2004 memorandum for its content.		
105	51.	Deny.		
105	52.	Deny.		
105	53.	Deny, except admit that the Sterling Partners monitored the performance		
of their BLMIS investments and that BLMIS' performance was discussed at Sterling				
Partner meetings.				
105	54.	Deny and refer to the November 2005 documents for their content.		
105	55.	Deny.		
105	56.	Deny.		

1057.	Deny.			
1058.	Deny.			
1059.	Deny.			
1060.	Deny.			
1061.	Deny.			
1062.	Deny.			
1063.	Deny and refer to the referenced documents for their content.			
1064.	Deny.			
1065.	Deny.			
1066.	Deny and refer to the entirety of the presentation for its content.			
1067.	Deny.			
1068.	Deny.			
1069.	Deny, except admit that Sterling Stamos generally provided performance			
results to Saul Katz or David Katz.				

1070. Deny, except admit that Saul Katz and David Katz at times compared

Sterling Stamos' performance to Madoff's performance and discussed those comparisons

with Sterling Stamos personnel.

1071.	Deny and refer to the deposition testimony of Peter Stamos taken by			
counsel for the Trustee for its content.				
1072.	Deny.			
1073.	Deny.			
1074.	Deny.			
1075.	Deny, except admit that the Sterling Partners have active business interests			
in real estate,	professional baseball and sports media, and private equity.			
1076.	Deny.			
1077.	Deny.			
1078.	Deny.			
1079.	Deny.			
1080.	This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is			
required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.				
1081.	Deny.			
1082.	Deny.			
1083.	Deny.			
1084.	Deny.			

1085. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

1086. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

1087. Deny.

1088. Deny.

1089. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

1090. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

1091. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

1092. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

1093. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

1094. Deny.

1095. Deny.

1096. Deny.

- 1097. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1098. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1099. Deny and refer to the deposition testimony of Arthur Friedman for its content.
- 1100. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1101. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1102. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, except admit that some Defendants maintained different BLMIS accounts and refer to Appendix I, Exhibit A for its content.
  - 1103. Admit.
- 1104. Deny, except admit that many of the Defendants each deposited money with BLMIS and withdrew funds from his, her, or its respective BLMIS account(s) and refer to the BLMIS Account Agreements for their content.

- 1105. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1106. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1107. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1108. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1109. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1110. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1111. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1112. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix I, Exhibit A for its content.
- 1113. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix I, Exhibit B for its content.

- 1114. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibit A for its content.
- 1115. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibits B and C for their content.
- 1116. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibits B for its content.
- 1117. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibit B, column 4 for its content.
- 1118. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibit C for its content.

- 1119. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibits B and C for their content.
- 1120. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to Appendix II, Exhibits B and C for their content.
- 1121. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 1122. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint for its content.
- 1123. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint and Appendix II, Exhibit C for their content.

- 1124. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1125. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1126. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1127. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1128. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1129. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1130. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1131. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1132. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1133. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1134. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1135. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1136. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1137. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1138. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1139. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1140. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1141. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1142. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1143. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1144. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1145. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1146. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1147. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1148. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1149. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1150. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1151. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1152. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1153. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1154. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1155. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- 1156. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1157. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1158. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1159. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1160. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1161. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1162. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- 1163. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1164. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1165. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1166. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1167. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1168. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1169. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- 1170. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1171. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- 1172. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1173. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1174. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- 1175. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1176. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- 1177. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1178. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1179. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1180. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1181. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1182. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1183. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1184. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1185. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1186. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1187. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1188. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1189. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

- 1190. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1191. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1192. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1193. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1194. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1195. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1196. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1197. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1198. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1199. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1200. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1201. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1202. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1203. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1204. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1205. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1206. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1207. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1208. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1209. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1210. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1211. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1212. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1213. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1214. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1215. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1216. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1217. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1218. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1219. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1220. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1221. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1222. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1223. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1224. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1225. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1226. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1227. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1228. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1229. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1230. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1231. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1232. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1233. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1234. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1235. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1236. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1237. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1238. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1239. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1240. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1241. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1242. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1243. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1244. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1245. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1246. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1247. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1248. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1249. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1250. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1251. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1252. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1253. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1254. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1255. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1256. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1257. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1258. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1259. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1260. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1261. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1262. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1263. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1264. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1265. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1266. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1267. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1268. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1269. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1270. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1271. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1272. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1273. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1274. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1275. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1276. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1277. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1278. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1279. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1280. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1281. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1282. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1283. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1284. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1285. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1286. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1287. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1288. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1289. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1290. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1291. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1292. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1293. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1294. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1295. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1296. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1297. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (d) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1298. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1299. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1300. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1301. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1302. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1303. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1304. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1305. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1306. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1307. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1308. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1309. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1310. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1311. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1312. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1313. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1314. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1315. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1316. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1317. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1318. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1319. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1320. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- (a) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (b) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- (c) This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the amount of the transfers alleged and deny that the

transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1321. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint and Appendix II, Exhibit B for their content.
- 1322. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint and Appendix II, Exhibit B for their content.
- 1323. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint and Appendix II, Exhibit B for their content.
- 1324. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint and Appendix II, Exhibit B for their content.
- 1325. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that the transfers are

Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint and Appendix II, Exhibit C for their content.

- 1326. Admit that a number of Defendants and other Sterling-related individuals and entities filed claims against the BLMIS estate with the Trustee and refer to the Complaint and Appendix II, Exhibit D for their content.
  - 1327. Refer to Appendix II, Exhibit D for its content.
- 1328. Refer to the Claims Procedure Order for its content and lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the Trustee's alleged intentions.
- 1329. Deny that the Customer Claims can be disallowed under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise and refer to the Complaint and Appendix II, Exhibit D for their content.
- 1330. Incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1331. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1332. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1333. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 1334. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1335. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1336. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Two has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1337. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1338. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1339. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 1340. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1341. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1342. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1343. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1344. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1345. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Three has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.

- 1346. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1347. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1348. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1349. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1350. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1351. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Four has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1352. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

- 1353. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1354. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1355. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1356. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1357. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Five has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1358. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1359. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 1360. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1361. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1362. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1363. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Six has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response were required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1364. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1365. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1366. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 1367. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1368. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1369. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Seven has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1370. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1371. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1372. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 1373. Deny.
  - 1374. Deny.

- 1375. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1376. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Eight has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1377. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations, except admit that each Defendant/Customer was and is a creditor of BLMIS.
- 1378. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1379. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1380. Admit that all transfers to Defendants were made for or on account of an antecedent debt owed by BLMIS before such transfer was made.

- 1381. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1382. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1383. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1384. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1385. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1386. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1387. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Nine has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1388. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1389. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1390. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1391. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 1392. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny

that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.

- 1393. Consistent with Judge Rakoff's opinion and order of September 27, 2011, Count Ten has been dismissed, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1394. Admit that certain Defendants filed Customer Claims in the SIPA proceeding that either have not yet been determined or are the subject of timely filed objections and refer to Appendix II, Exhibits D and E for their content.
- 1395. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations and deny that the transfers are Customer Property or are avoidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise.
- 1396. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of the paragraph and admit the existence of the Claims Procedures Order and refer to that document for its content.
- 1397. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

- 1398. Incorporate by reference the responses contained in the previous paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten herein.
- 1399. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
  - 1400. Deny.
- 1401. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 1402. This paragraph alleges conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations.

# AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

In further answer to the Amended Complaint, and without assuming any burden of proof that would otherwise fall on the Trustee, Defendants state that the Trustee's claims are barred in whole or in part by the following affirmative or other defenses.

Defendants reserve their right to assert additional defenses if and when they become appropriate.

# FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Amended Complaint and each of its counts fail to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

# SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by applicable statutes of limitations.

# THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because no Defendant was willfully blind to Madoff's fraud or scheme, and every Defendant acted in good faith and without fraudulent intent.

# FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because each Defendant received every transfer for value, in good faith, and without knowledge of any voidability of such transfer.

# FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the debtor did not receive less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for any transfer to any Defendant.

# **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because each transfer to a Defendant was made for value and fair consideration.

# **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because each transfer to each Defendant was a transfer on account of an antecedent debt.

# **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the single satisfaction rule set forth in Section 550(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.

# NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, under Section 546(e) of the Bankruptcy Code.

# TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's states no claim for assignment of Defendants' tax refunds.

# **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the Trustee has not demonstrated that he will not recover enough property to satisfy customer claims.

# TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of set-off.

# THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the Trustee has failed to show that any transfer received by any Defendant was made in furtherance of a fraudulent scheme rather than because it was legally mandated.

# **FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the Trustee has failed to show that any transfer received by any Defendant was made with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud any creditor rather than because it was legally mandated.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Trustee's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the Trustee has

failed to state any claim for imputation, veil-piercing, alter ego, or equitable ownership.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants hereby assert all defenses available under federal law and under any

applicable state law. Additional facts may be revealed in discovery or otherwise that

support additional defenses presently available, but unknown, to Defendants. Defendants

therefore reserve their right to assert additional defenses in the event discovery or

investigation reveals additional defenses.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that the Court deny the relief

requested in the Complaint, and that the Court grant Defendants such other and further

relief as the Court deems just and proper, including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 11, 2011

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

By: /s/ Karen E. Wagner

Karen E. Wagner Dana M. Seshens

450 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017 Telephone: (212) 450-4000

Facsimile: (212) 701-5800

Of Counsel:

Robert B. Fiske, Jr.

Robert F. Wise, Jr.

Attorneys for Defendants

257

# Exhibit 2

	93CMMADP1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	X
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	v. 09 CR 213 (DC)
5	BERNARD L. MADOFF,
6	Defendant.
7	x
8	New York, N.Y.
9	March 12, 2009 10:00 a.m.
10	Before:
11.	
12	HON. DENNY CHIN,
13	District Judge
14	APPEARANCES
15	LEV L. DASSIN
16	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York
17	MARC O. LITT LISA BARONI
18	Assistant United States Attorneys
19	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP Attorneys for Defendant
20	BY: IRA LEE SORKIN DANIEL J. HORWITZ
21	NICOLE P. DE BELLO MAURO M. WOLFE
22	ALSO PRESENT: STEVEN GARFINKEL, FBI
23	KEITH KELLY, FBI JULIA SCHULTE HANISH, USDOJ, FBI
24	THEODORE V. CACIOPPI, FBI
25	

25

1 (Case called) 2 MR. LITT: Marc Litt for the United States. With me 3 at counsel table are Lisa Baroni, an Assistant U.S. Attorney, 4 and four FBI agents: Steven Garfinkel, Keith Kelly, Julia 5 Hanish, and Ted Cacioppi. Good morning, your Honor. 6 MR. SORKIN: Good morning, your Honor. On behalf of 7 the defendant Bernard L. Madoff, the law firm of Dickstein 8 Shapiro LLP. Mr. Madoff is sitting to my left. To my right is 9 Daniel Horwitz of my firm. To Mr. Madoff's left is Mauro Wolfe 10 from my firm, and to Mr. Wolfe's left is Nicole De Bello from 11 my firm. Good morning. 12 THE COURT: Good morning. 13 Mr. Sorkin, your client is still prepared to plead 14 guilty today as we discussed on Tuesday? 15 MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, if you would stand, please, 17 and the deputy clerk will administer the oath. 18 (Defendant sworn) 19 MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, before you begin the 20 allocution, we have provided the government and the court reporter with a copy of the allocution that Mr. Madoff will 21 22 read, and we have a copy if the Court wishes to see it as well. 23 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 24 MR. SORKIN: May I hand it up?

THE COURT: Yes.

This statement is intended to cover all 11 counts?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. After your Honor goes
through, he will give a statement which we believe will cover
all the elements. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, do you understand that you as

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, do you understand that you are now under oath and that if you answer my questions falsely, your untrue answers may later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or making false statements?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Try to keep your voice up so that I can hear you, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

MR. SORKIN: Can we get some water, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LITT: I would note that the defendant has not yet been arraigned on the information.

THE COURT: All right. That's true. Technically, we did the first part of it. We never did the final part. Let me just ask the final question.

Mr. Madoff, the other day you waived indictment and you consented to being charged by an information of the government, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And how do you now plead to the information, guilty or not guilty?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
2	THE COURT: Before I accept the plea I will conduct
3	the allocution.
4	Would you state your full name for the record, please.
5	THE DEFENDANT: Bernard L. Madoff.
6	THE COURT: On Tuesday you told me your age and
7	educational background. We talked a little bit about your
8	medical condition. Has your medical condition changed since
9	Tuesday?
10	THE DEFENDANT: No, it has not.
11	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any
12	drugs, medicine, or pills, or have you drunk any alcohol?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No.
14	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
16	THE COURT: And are you feeling all right today under
17	the circumstances?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
19	THE COURT: Do either counsel have any doubt as to Mr.
20	Madoff's competence to plead at this time?
21	MR. LITT: The government does not.
22	MR. SORKIN: No, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Now, Mr. Madoff, as I understand it, you
24	wish to plead guilty today to all 11 counts of the information,
25	is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is correct.

THE COURT: Have you had a full opportunity to discuss your case with Mr. Sorkin and to discuss the consequences of pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: You told me on Tuesday that you were satisfied with Mr. Sorkin and his representation of you. Are you still satisfied?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Madoff's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time.

Now, Mr. Madoff, before I accept any plea from you I am going to ask you some additional questions that are intended to satisfy me that you wish to plead guilty because you are guilty and that you fully understand the consequences of your plea. If you do not understand any of my questions, please ask me or Mr. Sorkin to explain.

I am going to describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you plead guilty, so please listen carefully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you which are contained in the information. If

there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove your guilt by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent if you were to go to trial.

If there were a trial, you would have the right to be represented by an attorney. And if you could not afford one, an attorney would be provided for you free of cost.

and hear all the witnesses against you and your attorney could cross-examine them. You would have a right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and to offer evidence on your own behalf if you so desired, and you would have the right to have subpoenas issued or other process used to compel witnesses to testify in your defense.

If there were a trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but no one could force you to testify if you did not want to. Furthermore, no inference or suggestion of guilt could be drawn if you chose not to testify at trial.

Mr. Madoff, do you understand each and every one of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty today you are giving up each and every one of these rights, you are waiving these rights, and you will have no trial?

1	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right
3	even now to refuse to plead guilty?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
5	THE COURT: You do not have to enter a plea of guilty
6	if you do not want to, for any reason.
7	Do you understand that?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Now, did you receive a copy of the
10	information?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
12	THE COURT: And as we discussed on Tuesday and as we
13	discussed a moment ago, do you understand that you have waived
14	your right to be charged by an indictment, which is issued by a
15	grand jury, and you have consented to being charged by the
16	information which is issued by the prosecutor?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And did you waive that right voluntarily
19	and knowingly?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Now, I am going to review the counts with
22	you. As we said, the information contains 11 counts.
23	Count One charges securities fraud.
24	Count Two charges investment adviser fraud.
25	Count Three charges mail fraud.

1	Count Four charges wire fraud.
2	Count Five charges international money laundering to
3	promote fraud in the sale of securities.
4	Count Six charges international money laundering to
5	conceal the proceeds of fraud in the sale of securities.
6	Count Seven charges money laundering.
7	Count Eight charges making false statements.
8	Count Nine charges perjury.
9	Count Ten charges making a false filing with the
10	Securities and Exchange Commission.
11	And Count Eleven charges theft from an employee
12	benefit plan.
13	Do you understand that those are the charges against
14	you?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
16	THE COURT: I'll ask the government to advise the
17	defendant of the elements of the crimes.
18	MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor. With respect to Count
19	One, securities fraud
20	THE COURT: Hold on one second.
21	Mr. Madoff, you can be seated. Pour yourself some
22	water.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
24	MR. LITT: With respect to Count One, securities
25	fraud, in order to prove the crime of securities fraud, the

government must establish each of the following three elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, the defendant did any one or more of the following:

(1) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or (2) made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact which made what was said under the circumstances misleading; or (3) engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller.

Second, that the defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud;

And, third, that the defendant knowingly used or caused to be used any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

With respect to investment adviser fraud, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt all four of the following elements: First, that the defendant was an investment adviser; second, that the defendant either (A) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud clients and prospective clients; (B) engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon those clients and prospective clients; or (C) engaged in an act, practice, and course of business that was fraudulent,

deceptive, and manipulative.

Third, that the defendant devised or participated in such alleged device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice, or course of business, knowingly, willfully, and with intent to defraud.

And, fourth, that the defendant employed such alleged device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice, or course of business by use of the mails or other instrumentality of interstate commerce.

In order to prove the crime of mail fraud, the government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt the following four elements:

First, that at or about the time alleged in the indictment there was a scheme or artifice to defraud in order to obtain property or money by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the false or fraudulent statements and representations concerned material facts;

Third, that the defendant knowingly and willfully devised or participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud;

And, fourth, that the United States Mails were used in furtherance of the scheme as specified in the information.

In order to prove the crime of wire fraud the

government must establish the following four essential elements:

First, that at or about the time alleged in the information there was a scheme or artifice to defraud in order to obtain property or money by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the false or fraudulent statements and representations concerned material facts;

Third, that the defendant knowingly and willfully devised or participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud

And, fourth, that interstate or foreign wire facilities were used in furtherance of the scheme to defraud as specified in the information.

In order to prove the crime of unlawful transportation of funds or monetary instruments with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity, in violation of Section 1956(a)(2)(A), the government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following elements:

First, that the defendant transported a monetary instrument or funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, or to a place in the United States from or through a place outside the United States;

And, second, that the defendant did so with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity.

In order to prove the crime of unlawful transportation of funds or monetary instruments to conceal and disguise the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, the government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following:

First, that the defendant transported a monetary instrument or funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, or to a place in the United States from or through a place outside the United States;

And, second, that the defendant did so with the knowledge that the monetary instrument or funds involved represent the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity;

And, third, that the defendant did so with knowledge that the transportation was designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, or control of the proceeds of securities fraud, mail fraud, wire fraud, and theft from an employee benefit plan.

In order to prove the crime of engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity in violation of Section 1957, the government must establish the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant engaged or attempted to engage in a monetary transaction in or affecting interstate

1 | commerce;

Second, that the monetary transaction involved criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000;

Third, that the property was derived from specified unlawful activity; in this case, from securities fraud, mail fraud, wire fraud, or theft from a pension benefit plan;

Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly; that is, with knowledge that the transaction involved proceeds of a criminal offense;

And, fifth, that the transaction took place in the United States or that the defendant is a United States person.

In order to prove the crime of making false statements to the SEC, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001, the government must establish the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant made a statement or representation;

Second, that the statement or representation was material;

Third, that the statement or representation was false, fictitious or fraudulent;

Fourth, that the false, fictitious or fraudulent statement was made knowingly or willfully;

And, fifth, that the statement or representation was made in a matter within the jurisdiction of the government of the United States.



2.2

To prove the crime of perjury the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following:

First, that the defendant took an oath to testify truly before the Securities and Exchange Commission, a body authorized by law to administer oaths;

Second, that the defendant made false statements as to matters about which the defendant testified under oath as set forth in the information:

Third, that the matters as to which it is charged that the defendant made false statements were material to the issues under inquiry by the Securities and Exchange Commission;

And, fourth, that such false statements were willfully made.

To prove the offense of making a false filing with the SEC the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following:

First, that the defendant was required to file an application, report, or document with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations thereunder;

Second, that the application, report, or document filed with the SEC contained false or misleading statements;

Third, that the false or misleading statements were material;

And, fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and

| willfully.

To prove the offense of theft from an employee pension benefit plan the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

First, that the defendant abstracted or converted to his own use or the use of others the monies, funds, securities, premiums, credits, property, or other assets of an employee welfare benefit plan;

Second, that the funds abstracted or converted from -excuse me, that the fund abstracted or converted from was an
employee welfare benefit plan within the meaning of the
statute;

And, third, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Madoff, would you rise again, please.

Mr. Madoff, do you understand that if you were to go to trial the government would have to prove all of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now I am going to review with you the maximum possible penalties for the crimes in question.

Count One charging securities fraud carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million, or twice the gross gain or twice the

gross loss, a mandatory special assessment of \$100, and a maximum term of supervised release of three years.

In fact, each count carries a mandatory special assessment of \$100, so I am not going to repeat that for each of the 11 counts.

Count Two charges investment adviser fraud. It carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$10,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, and a maximum term of supervised release of three years.

Count Three, the mail fraud count, charges a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, and a maximum term of supervised release of three years.

In fact, all 11 counts carry the same maximum term of supervised release of three years, so I won't repeat that either.

I'm up to Count Four, the wire fraud count. That carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss.

Count Five, the international money laundering count, the first of those counts, carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of

\$500,000, twice the value of the funds involved, or twice the gross gain to any person or twice the pecuniary loss to any person other than yourself.

Count Six, the second international money laundering count, carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$500,000, or twice the value of the funds involved or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Count Seven, a money laundering count, charges a maximum sentence of ten years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Count Eight, which charges making false statements, carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Count Nine charges perjury. It carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Count Ten charges making a false filing with the SEC. It carries a maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Finally, Count Eleven, which charges theft from an

employee benefit plan, carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the pecuniary loss.

Do you understand that those are the possible maximum sentences?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, taking all the counts together, do you understand that the total maximum sentence of incarceration that you face is 150 years' imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: In addition, do you understand that as part of your sentence I can order restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, I would just note that restitution is mandatory, not discretionary.

THE COURT: I will order restitution if it's mandatory.

You understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: I mentioned supervised release. By that I mean that you would be subject to monitoring when you were released from prison under terms and conditions that could lead to reimprisonment without a jury trial if you were to violate them. And if you were to violate the terms of your supervised

release you could be sent back to prison for the entire term of your supervised release.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Do you understand that as a result of your guilty plea you may lose certain valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, have you talked to Mr. Sorkin about the federal sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the guidelines are now advisory only and that they are no longer mandatory?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Nonetheless, before I can sentence you I still have to determine what your sentencing range is under the guidelines. I can't do that until after the probation department prepares a presentence report and you, your lawyer, and the government have had a chance to review the report and to make any objections.

Do you understand that?

25

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: And even after I decide what your 3 guideline range is, I still have the authority in appropriate 4 circumstances to impose a sentence that is above or below the quideline range. 5 6 Do you understand that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that parole has been 9 abolished in the federal system and, thus, you would not be 10 released from prison any earlier on parole? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorneys 13 or anyone else has attempted to predict what your sentence will 14 be that the prediction could be wrong? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And that is because no one, not your 17 attorney, not the government, can or should make any promises 18 to you as to what your sentence will be as your sentence cannot 19 be decided until after the presentence report is completed, I 20 have ruled on any objections, and I have decided whether there 21 is any basis to go above or below the guideline range. 22 Do you understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Finally, do you understand that even if

your sentence turns out to be different from what your attorney

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

or anyone else has told you it might be, or even if your sentence turns out to be different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea and you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty you may be giving up or waiving certain aspects of your right to appeal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes

THE COURT: The government provided your lawyers with a letter, dated March 10, 2009, which we call a Pimentel letter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you review that with your lawyers?

THE DEFENDANT: I did.

THE COURT: And that Pimentel letter explains that your guideline sentence is 150 years.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: That's the government's calculation.

That's the government's position and you and your lawyers will have the opportunity to comment on that.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand also that this

25 THE COU

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

calculation that's set forth in the government's letter is not binding on the Court?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Has anyone offered you any inducements or threatened you or forced you to plead guilty?

> THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, do you know of any valid defense that would prevail at trial, or do you know any reason why your client should not be permitted to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, tell me what you did.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, may I make one, respectfully -- according to the Pimentel letter, we agree that while the maximum statutory penalty in terms of imprisonment is 150 years, the guideline range -- and this can be found on page 6 of the Pimentel letter -- is life imprisonment. The criminal history category I yields a sentencing range of life imprisonment.

THE COURT: I understand. But the government goes on further to take the position that when a count does not permit life, then you look at the statutory maximum. That's the government's position.

MR. SORKIN: I just want to make sure Mr. Madoff understood that. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, you understand that?

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Technically, the guideline range is life, but none of the counts in question carries a sentence that can go up to life. The top is 20 years. According to the government, in that circumstance then the guideline range is the maximum and the government's position is that the guideline range is 150 years. Again, I don't know whether Mr. Sorkin agrees or disagrees, but we will deal with that before sentencing.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, would you tell me what you did, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

Your Honor, for many years up until my arrest on December 11, 2008, I operated a Ponzi scheme through the investment advisory side of my business, Bernard L. Madoff Securities LLC, which was located here in Manhattan, New York, at 885 Third Avenue. I am actually grateful for this opportunity to publicly speak about my crimes, for which I am so deeply sorry and ashamed. As I engaged in my fraud, I knew what I was doing wrong, indeed criminal. When I began the Ponzi scheme I believed it would end shortly and I would be able to extricate myself and my clients from the scheme. However, this proved difficult, and ultimately impossible, and as the years went by I realized that my arrest and this day

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would inevitably come. I am painfully aware that I have deeply hurt many, many people, including the members of my family, my closest friends, business associates, and the thousands of clients who gave me their money. I cannot adequately express how sorry I am for what I have done. I am here today to accept responsibility for my crimes by pleading guilty and, with this plea allocution, explain the means by which I carried out and concealed my fraud.

The essence of my scheme was that I represented to clients and prospective clients who wished to open investment advisory and individual trading accounts with me that I would invest their money in shares of common stock, options, and other securities of large well-known corporations, and upon request, would return to them their profits and principal. Those representations were false for many years. Up until I was arrested on December 11, 2008, I never invested these funds in the securities, as I had promised. Instead, those funds were deposited in a bank account at Chase Manhattan Bank. When clients wished to receive the profits they believed they had earned with me or to redeem their principal, I used the money in the Chase Manhattan bank account that belonged to them or other clients to pay the requested funds. The victims of my scheme included individuals, charitable organizations, trusts, pension funds, and hedge funds. Among other means, I obtained their funds through interstate wire transfers they sent from

financial institutions located outside New York State to the bank account of my investment advisory business, located in Manhattan, New York, and through mailings delivered by the United States Postal Service and private interstate carriers to my firm here in Manhattan.

I want to emphasize today that while my investment advisory business, the vehicle of my wrongdoing, was part of my firm, Bernard L. Madoff Securities, the other businesses my firm engaged in, proprietary trading and market making, were legitimate, profitable, and successful in all respects. Those businesses were managed by my brother and two sons.

To the best of my recollection, my fraud began in the early 1990s. At that time, the country was in a recession and this posed a problem for investments in the securities markets. Nevertheless, I had received investment commitments from certain institutional clients and understood that those clients, like all professional investors, expected to see their investments out-perform the market. While I never promised a specific rate of return to my client, I felt compelled to satisfy my clients' expectations, at any cost. I therefore claimed that I employed an investment strategy I had developed, called the split strike conversion strategy, to falsely give the appearance to clients that I had achieved the results I believed they expected.

Through the split strike conversion strategy I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

promised to clients and prospective clients that client funds would be invested in a basket of common stocks within the Standard & Poors 100 index, a collection of the 100 largest publicly-traded companies in terms of their market capitalization. I promised that I would select a basket of stocks that would closely mimic the price movements of the Standard & Poors 100 index. I promised that I would opportunistically time those purchases and would be out of the market intermittently, investing client funds during these periods in United States Government-issued securities, such as United States Treasury bills. In addition, I promised that as part of the split strike conversion strategy, I would hedge the investments I made in the basket of common stocks by using client funds to buy and sell option contracts related to those stocks, thereby limiting potential client losses caused by unpredictable changes in stock prices. In fact, I never made those investments I promised clients, who believed they were invested with me in the split strike conversion strategy.

To conceal my fraud, I misrepresented to clients, employees, and others that I purchased securities for clients in overseas markets. Indeed, when the United States Securities and Exchange Commission asked me to testify as part of an investigation they were conducting about my investment advisory business, I knowingly gave false testimony under oath to the staff of the SEC on May 19, 2006 that I executed trades of

common stock on behalf of my investment advisory clients and that I purchased and sold the equities that were part of my investment strategy in European markets. In that session with the SEC, which took place here in Manhattan, New York, I also knowingly gave false testimony under oath that I had executed options contracts on behalf of my investment advisory clients and that my firm had custody of the assets managed on behalf of my investment advisory clients.

To further cover up the fact that I had not executed trades on behalf of my investment advisory clients, I knowingly caused false trading confirmations and client account statements that reflected the bogus transactions and positions to be created and sent to clients purportedly involved in the split strike conversion strategy, as well as other individual clients I defrauded who believed they had invested in securities through me. The clients receiving trade confirmations and account statements had no way of knowing by reviewing these documents that I had never engaged in transactions represented on the statements and confirmations. I knew those false statements and account statements would be and were sent to clients through the U.S. Mails from my office here in Manhattan.

Another way that I concealed my fraud was through the filing of false and misleading certified annual reports and financial statements -- excuse me. Another way that I

concealed my fraud was through the filing of false and misleading certified audit reports and financial statements with the SEC. I knew that these audit reports and financial statements were false and that they would also be sent to clients. These reports, which were prepared here in the Southern District of New York, among other things, falsely reflected my firm's liabilities as a result of my intentional failure to purchase securities on behalf of my advisory clients.

Similarly, when I recently caused my firm in 2006 to register as an investment adviser with the SEC, I subsequently filed with the SEC a document called the form ADV uniform application for investment adviser registration. On this form I intentionally and falsely certified under penalty of perjury that Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities had custody of my advisory clients' securities. That was not true, and I knew it when I completed and filed the form with the SEC, which I did from my office on the 17th floor of 885 Third Avenue, here in Manhattan.

In more recent years, I used yet another method to conceal my fraud. I wired money between the United States and the United Kingdom to make it appear as though there were actual securities transactions executed on behalf of my investment advisory clients. Specifically, I had money transferred from the U.S. bank account of my investment

advisory business to the London bank account of Madoff
Securities International Limited, a United Kingdom corporation
that was an affiliate of my business in New York. Madoff
Securities International Limited was principally engaged in
proprietary trading and was a legitimate, honestly run and
operated business. Nevertheless, to support my false statement
that I purchased and sold securities for my investment advisory
clients in European markets, I caused money from the bank
account of my fraudulent advisory business, located here in
Manhattan, to be wire transferred to the London bank account of
Madoff Securities International Limited.

There were also times in recent years when I had money, which had originated in the New York Chase Manhattan bank account of my investment advisory business, transferred from the London bank account of Madoff Securities International Limited to the Bank of New York operating bank account of my firm's legitimate proprietary and market making business. That Bank of New York account was located in New York. I did this as a way of ensuring that the expenses associated with the operation of the fraudulent investment advisory business would not be paid from the operations of the legitimate proprietary trading and market making businesses.

In connection with the purported trades, I caused the fraudulent investment advisory side of my business to charge the investment advisory clients four cents per share as a

commission. At times in the last few years, these commissions were transferred from Chase Manhattan bank account of the fraudulent investment advisory side of my firm to the account at Bank of New York, which was the operating account for the legitimate side of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, the proprietary trading and market making side of my firm. I did this to ensure that the expenses associated with the operation of my fraudulent investment advisory business would not be paid from the operations of the legitimate proprietary trading and market making business. It is my belief that the salaries and bonuses of the personnel involved in the operation of the legitimate side of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities were funded by the operations of the firm's successful proprietary trading and market making businesses.

Your Honor, I hope I have conveyed with some particularity in my own words the crimes I committed and the means by which I committed them. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Madoff.

Mr. Sorkin, I don't think there was mention of an employee benefit plan.

MR. SORKIN: The pension fund was mentioned, your Honor.

THE COURT: What page that?

MR. SORKIN: I think it's page 2. If you look at the top, the victim -- I'm quoting -- the victims of my scheme

included individuals, charitable organizations, trusts, pension funds, and hedge funds.

THE COURT: I see.

And those pension funds include employee welfare benefit plans?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, you can be seated for a moment.

Does the government believe that Mr. Madoff's admissions cover the elements of the crimes of each count?

MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor. The government does not entirely agree with all of the defendant's description of his conduct. However, the government does believe that his allocution does cover each of the elements of the charged offenses.

THE COURT: Would you summarize what the government's evidence would be if the defendant were to go to trial?

MR. LITT: Yes.

Had this case proceeded to trial, the government would have proven through testimony and evidence beyond a reasonable doubt all of the facts set forth in the criminal information.

In summary, the government would have proven the following: The defendant operated a massive Ponzi scheme

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through his company, Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, beginning at least as early as the 1980s. Over the decades working from his New York City office and elsewhere, Madoff solicited and caused others to solicit prospective clients to open accounts with his company. His clients included individuals, charitable organizations, trusts, pension funds, and hedge funds, among others, and those clients were also his victims.

Madoff told those clients that he would invest their funds in publicly-traded securities, options, and treasury bills. In fact, over the life of his scheme Madoff did not buy stocks or options as he had promised. Instead, Madoff used client funds to pay other clients who sought to redeem their investments, and used so-called commission revenue generated by charging clients four cents per share for shares that he never, in fact, purchased to generate revenue for his firm. At times, his firm would have been unable to operate but for the cash generated from this Ponzi scheme. Madoff repeatedly lied to clients in person, on telephone calls, and through mailings, including account statements and confirmations of purchases and sales of securities that he mailed through the U.S. Postal Service.

Some investors sent checks to Madoff through the mails, others wired money to Madoff, and many of those wires came from outside New York State into the Southern District of

New York. Madoff also caused hundreds of millions of dollars of client funds to be wired overseas to accounts in London.

Some of that money was sent back to his firm and used to pay its expenses. Other money was sent back and forth between New York and London to give the false impression that he was actually buying and selling securities in European markets when, in fact, he was not.

Madoff also used some of the money funneled through
London to support his lavish lifestyle. Madoff also used other
means of deception to hide his scheme. He lied when he told
clients that he was purchasing securities on their behalf.

He also lied to regulators, including the SEC. He filed false and fraudulent certified financial statements with the SEC that failed to disclose his fraud scheme, failed to disclose his liabilities to the victims of his Ponzi scheme, and contained false certifications that the audited statements had been prepared in accordance with generally-accepted auditing standards and principles.

Mr. Madoff lied in a form that he was required to file with the SEC as an investment adviser, claiming that his company had custody of client securities when, in fact, he had not purchased any securities for those clients.

He also lied at least seven separate times in an SEC deposition in 2006.

At the end, Madoff told his clients that he was

## 93CMMADP1 holding nearly \$65 billion in securities on behalf of those clients. In fact, he had only a small fraction of that amount. (Continued on next page)

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Madoff, please stand.
When you did the things you told me you did in your
statement, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and
illegal?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor.
THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count One of the
information, guilty or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Two of the
information, guilty or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Three,
guilty or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Four, guilty
or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Five, guilty
or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Six, guilty
or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Seven,
guilty or not guilty?

	93CGMADP2
1	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
2	THE COURT: How do you plead to Count Eight, guilty or
3	not guilty?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
5	THE COURT: How do you plead to Count Nine, guilty or
6	not guilty?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
8	THE COURT: How do you now plead to Count Ten, guilty
9	or not guilty?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
11	THE COURT: And finally, how do you now plead to Count
12	Eleven, guilty or not guilty?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Did you do the things that you are charged
15	with doing in all 11 counts of the information?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: And are you pleading guilty because you
18	are guilty?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
20	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of
21	your own free will?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Madoff, you may be seated.
24	Based on what I have heard, I am inclined to accept
25	Mr. Madoff's guilty plea.

As I stated the other day, the government received a number of e-mails from victims objecting to any plea bargain or any plea deal. As it is clear that there is no plea bargain or plea deal, there is no basis for these objections. At this time, nonetheless, if there is any victim who signed our sign-in sheet who wishes to be heard on the question of whether I should accept Mr. Madoff's guilty plea, you can have a chance to speak now. We have a list.

Mr. Nierenberg, do you want to speak?

MR. NIERENBERG: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, sir. Come to the microphone.

And remember that today is not the sentencing. Victims will have a chance to speak at sentencing. Go ahead.

MR. NIERENBERG: I am one of the many victims of Madoff's egregious crimes. I don't know whether you had a chance to turn around and look at the victims --

THE COURT: Mr. Nierenberg, Mr. Nierenberg --

MR. NIERENBERG: I just wanted to --

THE COURT: Remain at the podium, please.

MR. NIERENBERG: All right. I know that the operation -- Madoff's operation was massive, that he didn't commit these crimes alone, and I don't understand why conspiracy is not a part of one of his pleas. Just to produce the reams of documents that were received and the elaborate data that went into them must have required an army of people

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

to produce. And we all know that Madoff wasn't around a lot at his operation. There were other people that were there who handled it when he was gone. I --

THE COURT: I gather your point is that I should reject the plea because the government has not charged conspiracy?

MR. NIERENBERG: No. The question is -- I'm not suggesting that you reject the plea. What I'm suggesting is that there's an additional crime that was committed that wasn't included in the plea that needs to be considered.

THE COURT: All right. What I want to hear from now are victims who object to my accepting the plea.

MR. NIERENBERG: Okay.

THE COURT: Do you object to my accepting the plea?

MR. NIERENBERG: No, I don't.

THE COURT: Well, thank you, then. You can have your seat.

MR. NIERENBERG: Okay.

THE COURT: Mark Labianca? No.

Brian Felsen? Mr. Felsen, do you want to be heard?

MR. FELSEN: I would like to be heard, but I do not object to the plea.

THE COURT: All right. If you want to be heard with respect to sentencing, we will make sure we have procedures to give victims an opportunity to be heard at sentencing.

give victims an opportunity to be

25

1 MR. FELSEN: Okay. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 3 Bennett Goldwait? I can't quite read the handwriting. 4 MR. GOLDWORTH: Goldworth. No thank you. 5 THE COURT: Ronnie Sue and Dominic Ambrosino, do you 6 wish to be heard? 7 MS. AMBROSINO: Yes, I do. 8 THE COURT: All right. Come forward, please. And say 9 your name again when you get to the microphone. 10 MS. AMBROSINO: My name is Ronnie Sue Ambrosino, and I 11 would object to the plea -- I just need to find a spot. I have 12 taken a lot of notes. Judge, I believe that you have the 13 opportunity today to find out information as to where the money 14 is and to find out who else may be involved in this crime. 15 if that plea is accepted without those two pieces of 16 information, then I do object. If you can ascertain that you 17 can get those two pieces of information, I would love to see 18 this man, who admits that he lied under oath in May of 2006 and 19 sat here and took an oath today -- I would like to see him 20 guilty. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 MS. AMBROSINO: Thank you, sir. 23 THE COURT: Maureen Aebel? Go ahead. 24 Judge Chin, I would like to present you MS. AEBEL:

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

with a different scenario that our country could witness if you

reject Mr. Madoff's plea. If we go to trial, we will show our people in this struggling country and the world, who looks to us as the global moral leader, that we hold all people accountable. If we go to trial, we can show all our world that all crimes, all crimes, including crimes of greed, can be dissected, ruled upon, and punished. And we can demonstrate that we are a country that can learn from our mistakes, and we will be then able to reexamine and improve the mechanisms that exist for our protection that have failed so completely. If we go to trial, we have more of a chance to comprehend the global scope of this horrendous crime. At trial we can hear and bear witness to the pain that Mr. Madoff has inflicted on the young, the old, and the infirmed. No man, no matter who he knows or who he is able to influence, is above the law. Thank you, Judge Chin.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. That is it with respect to the victims who signed up on the acceptance of the plea. Does the government or the defense want to respond to anything? Does the government want to respond to anything?

MR. LITT: May I just have a moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LITT: I think the only thing the government would say is that the government's investigation continues. It is continuing. A lot of resources and effort are being expended, both to find assets and to find anyone else who may be

responsible for this fraud.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Sorkin?

MR. SORKIN: Nothing at this time, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: First of all, I appreciate the comments from the victims. With respect to Ms. Ambrosino's comments about where the money is, as the government has just said, it is continuing its investigation, and this guilty plea certainly does not preclude the government from proceeding.

With respect to Ms. Aebel's comment about how a trial would show the world that we hold all people accountable, I believe that these proceedings will do the same thing.

Mr. Madoff, please stand. I am accepting the plea.

Mr. Madoff, because you acknowledge that you are guilty as charged in Counts One through Eleven of the information, because you know your rights and are waiving them, because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent basis in fact for each of the elements of the 11 offenses, I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty on Counts One through Eleven of the information. You can be seated.

Mr. Madoff, the probation department will prepare a presentence report to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the probation department, and it is important that you give the probation officer truthful and accurate

information, for the report is important in my decision as to what your sentence will be. You and your attorney have a right and will have an opportunity to review the report, to challenge or comment upon it and to speak on your behalf before sentencing.

Sentencing is set for June 16th at 1:30 p.m.

Turning to bail, is the government requesting that I remand Mr. Madoff pending sentencing?

MR. LITT: Yes. The government moves for remand at this time pursuant to 18 USC 3143, which puts the burden on the defendant to show by clear and convincing evidence that he can be trusted to appear for future court appearances.

The defendant has now pled guilty and been found guilty of 11 -- or does the Court wish to hear argument now or --

THE COURT: Well, let me ask Mr. Sorkin whether he opposes remand.

MR. SORKIN: We do, your Honor, and I'd like to be heard on that point.

THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Sorkin.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you, your Honor. May I go to the podium, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, wherever you would like.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you. Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, let me take just a little bit of while, because I want

to review the history of the bail as it related to this case.

THE COURT: Yes. The government provided me with the transcripts and the letter briefs, and I've reviewed them too.

MR. SORKIN: I'm not going to go through every one of them, but I think it's important that I list the chronology and how we got to this point today.

THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, this case started when Mr. Madoff on December 10th confessed his wrongdoing to his two sons, knowing full well that his two sons were going to turn him in. He didn't run. He didn't attempt to flee at that time. When he was arrested by the FBI the next morning, he confessed to the FBI.

He appeared on December 11th before Magistrate Judge
Eaton, and a personal recognizance bond of ten million dollars
was signed by Mr. Madoff and his wife. There were three
additional cosigners that were required, and it was secured by
Mr. Madoff's residence in Manhattan. Surrender of Mr. Madoff's
travel documents took place, and his travel was restricted to
the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the District
of Connecticut.

The Pretrial Services at the time, your Honor, did not recommend in its initial recommendation that Mr. Madoff be remanded, and I add additionally that the government had no difference and no objection with any of the conditions that

were imposed on December 11th. That was before Magistrate Judge Eaton.

On December 17th, your Honor, before another magistrate judge, Magistrate Judge Gorenstein, Mr. Madoff -- and it was ratcheted up -- was placed on home detention in his apartment with electronic ankle bracelet monitoring. He was permitted to travel only to his attorney's offices and to the court. A curfew of 7:00 p.m. through 9:00 a.m. was imposed, and this was done in addition to the entry of confession of judgments with respect to his wife's properties on Montauk, New York, and Palm Beach, Florida, a surrender of Mrs. Madoff's passport and a reduction of the number of cosigners on the bond from four to two. This, too, your Honor, was consented to by the government. Indeed, I believe it was done by stipulation without argument before Magistrate Judge Gorenstein.

On December 19th, again, on consent of the government, a ten million dollar personal recognizance bond was signed by Mr. Madoff, his wife, and his brother, secured by confessions of judgment on his wife's properties in Montauk, in New York, and Palm Beach. The passports of both Mrs. Madoff had already been surrendered, and other than scheduled court appearances, Mr. Madoff was confined to his home 24 hours a day. He was no longer permitted to visit his counsel. And they had, in addition to the 24-hour-a-day confinement, an electronic monitoring device, which is still attached to his ankle.

At his wife's own expense --

THE COURT: Would the audience please remain quiet.

Go ahead.

MR. SORKIN: Because Mr. Madoff's assets were all frozen, but his wife's were not, although she later voluntarily committed to a freeze of her assets under certain restrictions. So with the government's consent, Mrs. Madoff's own assets, which were not frozen by Judge Stanton or any judge in this court -- she agreed to pay a security firm acceptable to the government to provide the following services to prevent harm or flight.

And with these unfrozen assets, not objected to by the government, Mr. Madoff has round-the-clock monitoring at his building 24 hours a day, including video monitoring of all of his apartment, doors, communications devices, and services permitting security to send a direct signal from an observation post to the FBI in the event of even the suspicion of harm or flight. This is known as a panic button. There are additional guards available on request, if necessary, to prevent flight or harm, both inflicted by Mr. Madoff -- I'm dealing with the danger to the community issue -- and also harm to Mr. Madoff.

On January 12th, your Honor -- and again, this was by consent of the government. On January 12th, Magistrate Judge Ronald Ellis imposed additional restrictions. This was briefed, as your Honor well knows. It was argued by Magistrate

Judge Ellis. And on that date, Magistrate Judge Ellis incorporated the restrictions set forth in the order of Judge Stanton, who has jurisdiction over the SEC matter, including restrictions on the transfer of all property whatsoever wherever located in the possession or under the control of Mr. Madoff. And that was part of the SEC consent under the TRO and also the consent under the preliminary injunction, which Mr. Madoff consented to. Magistrate Judge Ellis incorporated these restrictions to a voluntary restraint agreement, which the government agreed to, involving Mrs. Madoff's assets and restricted the transfer of all assets owned by her voluntarily, your Honor.

Additionally, Magistrate Judge Ellis directed the compilation of an inventory of all valuable portable items in the Manhattan home, which is to be checked once every two weeks by government-approved security, who are also required to inspect all outgoing mail.

The government appealed Magistrate Judge Ellis' ruling, and before District Judge Lawrence McKenna on January 16th, 2009, argument was held. The matter was briefed, and Judge McKenna added additional conditions: One, a compilation of any inventory of all valuable portable items in the homes in Montauk, Palm Beach, as well as any property owned by Mrs. Madoff in a small residence in France.

I quote, which your Honor, I'm sure, has read, from

Judge McKenna's statement in court after hearing argument and seeing papers, that, quote -- and this is from Judge McKenna -- I think the chances of Mr. Madoff fleeing at this point are as close to nil as you can get in any bail package, period, unquote.

Now, nothing has changed, your Honor, and I agree it has changed substantially in terms of the plea. And I agree with Mr. Litt that the burden is upon us to show by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Madoff is neither a flight risk nor a risk to the community.

As far as we are aware, your Honor, Pretrial Services has not found that Mr. Madoff has been negligent or careless in complying with all of the bail conditions. There has been no incident at all, as far as we are aware, that has been conveyed to us by Pretrial or the government that Mr. Madoff has attempted at any time to flee or certainly, which the government conceded before Magistrate Judge Ellis and Judge McKenna, posed any risk of harm. The argument before Judge Ellis and Judge McKenna was the risk of harm was in the financial world, that he would dissipate assets. That was taken care of, your Honor, respectfully, by Magistrate Judge Ellis and by Judge McKenna. All mail going out, all packages going out are inspected by the security firm approved by the government.

I respectfully submit, your Honor, that the change has

been the media attention and the increased and, in many cases, justifiable anger by people who claim they lost money, but the Bail Reform Act doesn't deal with those two issues. I do not believe, your Honor, that the precedent set in this court where such individuals as Rigas in the Adelphia case, Ebbers in the WorldCom case, Messrs. Skilling and Lay -- Mr. Lay passed away before sentencing -- all of whom were facing substantial years in prison, Rigas and Ebbers in this court, Mr. Skilling in Texas. All were released on bail pending sentence. All went to trial but did not plead guilty, and all, your Honor, as far as I am aware, never once confessed at the get-go to the wrongdoing that you heard Mr. Madoff confess to today.

So I would respectfully submit, your Honor, that there is no chance that Mr. Madoff will certainly be a risk to the community, a danger to the community. And his risk of flight -- and I agree with Judge McKenna -- is virtually nil with all of the restrictions that have been imposed on him. So I respectfully request that his bail be continued.

I would also add, your Honor -- again, I refer to the Bail Reform Act as not being relevant on those two other issues. What is also relevant, your Honor, is that Mr. Madoff is going to have the opportunity, I am sure, if the government and the defense can come to some agreement, to review literally thousands of thousands of documents which the trustee and the government have been reviewing to discover where this

forfeiture number comes from. And we've been able to communicate with him in his apartment, and I think that is a factor that your Honor should consider, even though that is not my argument with respect to the Bail Reform Act. I think we have met all the conditions under the act.

So by clear and convincing evidence, I don't think he is a risk of either danger to the community, flight, and I would respectfully request that his bail be continued. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't need to hear from the government. It is my intention to remand Mr. Madoff.

Please, ladies and gentlemen, please.

Now, I have a number of people who signed in who wanted to be heard on the issue of bail, and I think you should only be heard if you object to remand.

Adriane Biondo? Mr. Ross? Helen Chaitman?

MS. CHAITMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Donald Schupak?

MR. SCHUPAK: I do not object.

THE COURT: Mark Labianca?

MR. LABIANCA: I do not object.

THE COURT: Sharon Lissauer?

As Mr. Madoff has pled guilty, he is no longer entitled to the presumption of innocence. The exposure is great, 150 years in prison. In light of Mr. Madoff's age, he

(212) 805-0300

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

has an incentive to flee, he has the means to flee, and thus, he presents a risk of flight. Bail is revoked, and the defendant is remanded.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, would your Honor consider, respectfully, a stay so that we might appeal your Honor's bail decision? We intend to do it expeditiously.

THE COURT: The request for a stay is denied.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Sentencing, as I said, is set for June 16th, 1:30 p.m. Some of the victims may wonder why do we need so much time. Well, the probation department has to prepare a presentence report. By law, the defendant is entitled to 35 days to review the presentence report before sentencing. We also have to give the parties an opportunity to submit written materials.

Mr. Madoff, I will see you at sentencing. We are adjourned.

# Exhibit 3

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT

```
1
        96TJMAD1
                                          Sentence
        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 1
        SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
        UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                                               09 CR 213 (DC)
                       ٧.
 5
5
        BERNARD L. MADOFF,
                             Defendant.
 6
7
7
8
9
        ----X
                                                               New York, N.Y.
June 29, 2009
 ģ
10
                                                               10:00 a.m.
10
11
12
        Before:
12
13
                                         HON. DENNY CHIN,
13
14
14
                                                               District Judge
15
15
16
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                          (212) 805-0300
                                                                                              2
        96TJMAD1
                                          Sentence
                     (In open court)
                    (Case called) ´
THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning. Mr.
       Madoff, would you please stand.

Mr. Madoff, you pled guilty on March 12th, 2009 to 11 counts of securities fraud, investment advisor fraud, wire and
 5
 6
7
        mail fraud, money laundering, making false statements, perjury, filing false documents with the SEC and theft from employee
 8
        benefit funds You are here this morning to be sentenced for
 9
10
        those crimes.
                    Have you reviewed the presentence report?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor.
THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your lawyers?
11
12
13
                    THE DEFENDANT: I have.
THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, have you reviewed the
14
15
        presentence report and discussed it with your client?
16
                    MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor, we have.
                                           Page 1
```

우

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
                      THE COURT:
                                        Do you or your client have any objections
19
        to the factual recitations or the guidelines calculation?
                      MR. SORKIN: We do not, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated.
Ms. Baroni, does the government have any objections to
20
21
22
        the presentence report?

MS. BARONI: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
23
24
25
                                               (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                        3
        96TJMAD1
                                              Sentence
        I accept and adopt the factual recitations set forth in the presentence report. I accept and adopt the guidelines calculation set forth in the presentence report with one
 3
        clarification which I will discuss in a moment.
                      The total offense level is 52, the criminal history
 6
7
        category is I. The PSR concludes that the guideline range is
                                     That is not quite accurate, however,
        life imprisonment.
 8
        because the guidelines range cannot be life imprisonment as no
        count carries the possibility of a life sentence. Rather the most serious counts carry a maximum of 20 years' imprisonment.

I look then to Section 5G1.2(d) of the guidelines, which tells us that where there are multiple counts, and the
 9
10
11
12
13
        guideline range exceeds the statutory maximum for the most
        serious count, the court must impose consecutive terms of
14
        imprisonment to the extent necessary to achieve the total
15
16
        puni shment.
        There is a little bit of ambiguity, however, as to what is meant by "total punishment" where the guideline calculation calls for life imprisonment, but Second Circuit
17
18
19
        case law makes clear that in such a situation, the district court is to stack or add up the maximum sentences for all the
20
21
22
        counts.
23
                      In United States v. Evans, for example, 352 F.3d 65,
        where the guideline calculation called for life imprisonment
24
25
        but no count carried a life sentence, the court held that the
                               SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                               (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                        4
         96TJMAD1
                                              Sentence
        guideline range is 240 years, the maximum sentences for all the
 2
        counts added together.
                      Accordingly, here the guideline range is not life
        imprisonment, but 150 years, the maximum sentences for each of the 11 counts added together. Of course, in light of Booker and the case law that followed, the guideline range is advisory only. While I must give the guideline range fair and respectful consideration, I am not bound by it. In fact, the
 8
        Probation Department recommends a sentence of 50 years.
        Instead I must make an individualized assessment based on all
10
         the facts and circumstances, including the factors set forth in
11
                             In the end, I must impose a sentence that is
12
         the statute.
13
        reasonabl e.
14
                      We will proceed as follows:
        First we will hear from the victims. Then Mr. Sorkin will speak on behalf of Mr. Madoff. Next Mr. Madoff may speak if he wishes. Finally, I will hear from the government.

First the victims. I have received several hundred
15
16
17
18
        written statements from victims including the e-mails and
19
        letters submitted back in March. Every victim who made a timed
```

request to speak will be permitted to speak today except in two

Page 2

Two members of the same family asked to speak, and

20 21 22

우

우

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
        we will permit one person to speak on behalf of the family.
24
        Two victims have now withdrawn their request. Accordingly, we
       will hear from 9 victims today
25
                            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                          (212) 805-0300
                                                                                             5
        96TJMAD1
                                          Sentence
                    First we will hear from Mr. and Mrs. Ambrosino.
                                                                                      The
 2
        Ambrosinos can step up to the microphone.
                                                                  Go ahead.
        Mr. Ambrosino, go ahead. Come up to the microphone so everyone
        can hear you.
                    MR. AMBROSINO: Thank you, your Honor. My name is
       Dominic Ambrosino and my --
THE COURT: Sir, just keep your voice up.
MR. AMBROSINO: I thank the court for allowing me to
 8
                          As a retired New York City Correction Officer,
 9
        speak today.
        am very familiar with the inside of a courtroom. However, I
10
11
        never in my wildest dreams ever expected to be sitting in one
        as a victim of an indescribably helinous crime --
12
       THE COURT: Mr. Ambrosino, slow down a touch so our Court Reporter can transcribe what you're saying.
13
14
        MR. AMBROSINO: That dream came true on March 12th as I watched Bernie Madoff stand and be cuffed. However, the
15
                                                                    However, the
17
       dream really started as a nightmare on December 11th.
        remember the exact second my wife told me the news.
18
        immediately knew all the ramifications, but I don't think she
19
       did. The fallout from having your entire life savings drop
right out from under your nose is truly like nothing you can
ever describe. At first it was the obvious, and how will we
20
21
       ever describe.
pay our bills?
22
                             How can someone do this to us?
23
                    We worked honestly and we worked so hard. This can't
       be real. We did nothing wrong.
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                          (212) 805-0300
                                                                                             6
        96TJMAD1
                                          Sentence
                    I don't know if anyone other than another victim can
        explain what the less obvious effects are, how every decision
 2
3
4
       directly and indirectly hinged on the fact that we had the security of our savings. When I was able to leave the job, we bought a motor home to travel the country. We took out a mortgage since it was better to keep our savings in Madoff. We
       sold the house my wife lived in for 27 years and also put all those profits -- and they were high -- into our Madoff account.
        We trusted that the savings and planning would see us through
10
        our retirement.
11
                    We had ideas of traveling the country. It all stopped
12
        abruptly on December 11th. As a result, we are left with no
       permanent house, a depreciating motor home, we are upside down
on the loan and an income from my pension that is our life.
This pension used to be perceived as spending money before
15
        December 11th, and now although it doesn't cover our monthly
16
17
        expenses, we rely on it fully. It is all we have.
18
                    I sustained a 52 percent hearing loss on my job, and
       at 49 years' old I can't go back to my previous career so I have taken on a job this summer in Arizona as an construction
19
20
        project coordinator. The job will only last until August.
Then I don't know what I am going to do.

______My wife's foot was run over by a van while in New York
21
22
23
                 There was a plea hearing in March. She had a job lined
24
25
        up before the trip. The expenses of the trip were given to us
                            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

(212) 805-0300 Page 3

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
               96TJMAD1
                                             Sentence
               and we had to let it go since she was in a cast for eight
         2
                        She is now rehabilitating and still feels pain when she
               weeks.
         3
               stands for long periods of time.
                          With that background as to who I am, I would like to
         5
               share some of the specific problems Madoff's crime brought to
                    My pension distribution, a one-time decision, and our
               health insurance plan, also one-time decision, were based on
         8
               the fact that we had savings and security with Madoff.
               should die, my wife is left without my income or health
               i nsurance.
        10
                          We sold our home in New York with the expectation that
        11
               someday we would have the finances to purchase another one. We have no credit now and can't get a mortgage. We have been forced to take care of people's homes while they are traveling
        13
        14
        15
               for the summer, as we used to do prior to December 11th.
                          We have through the generosity of friends been able to
               stay rent free on the RV lots of people in the community.
        17
               will come to a screeching halt in October when the owners
        18
        19
               return for the winter season. We don't know where we'll go at
        20
               that time.
                             We don't have enough income from my pension to pay
        21
               monthly rent.
               The most devastating to us is we lost our freedom. We lost the ability to share our life every day as we explore the
        22
        23
               country every day. We lost the time to hold hands as we
        24
               walked. As they say in the commercial, this is priceless.
        25
                                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                             (212) 805-0300
우
                                                                                           8
               96TJMAD1
                                             Sentence
               In closing, I would like to say, Judge Chin, sentencing Bernard L. Madoff to the fullest extent will
         2
         3
               certainly not eliminate any of the issues I wrote about.
               probably won't even gain me satisfaction. As the guard who used to be on the right side of the prison bars, I'll know what
         6
7
               Mr. Madoff's experience will be and will know that he is in
               prison in much the same way he imprisoned us as well as others.
         8
                          He took from us the freedom that we held so preciously
               close to our lives, the very thing I always valued and never took for granted. In a sense, I would like someone in the
        10
               court today to tell me how long is my sentence.
        11
                          Thank you very much.
        12
                          THE COURT: Thank you.
        13
                                                       Next we'll hear from Mr. and
        14
               Mrs. FitzMaurice.
                          MS. EBEL: No, Judge Chin. I am next. THE COURT: I saw the gentleman standing up next and I
        15
        16
               thought you were Maureen Ebel.
MS. EBEL: Yes, I am.
        17
                                       Yes, I am.
                                                     I am here with may brother,
        19
               William Thomas McDonough.
                          THE COURT:
        20
                                        AĬI right.
        21
                                       My name is Maureen Ebel and I am a victim
                          MS. EBEL:
        22
               of Bernard L. Madoff.
        23
                          I have lost all of my life's hard-earned savings.
               have lost my life savings because our government has failed me
        24
               and thousands and thousands of other citizens.
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
        25
                                                                         There are many
                                             (212) 805-0300
                                                                                           9
               96TJMAD1
                                             Sentence
               levels of government complicity in this crime. The Securities
         2
```

& Exchange Commission, by its total incompetence and criminal negligence, has allowed a psychopath to steal from me and steal Page 4

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
         from the world.
                         I am a 61-year-old widow and I am now working full
         time. I have done many things to survive since December 11th, including selling a lot of my possessions and working three jobs at the same time. I have lost a home that my husband and I had owned for 25 years because of this theft.
10
                        I have lost my ability to care for myself in my old
                    I have lost the ability to donate to charity, especially
11
         the Leukemia & Lymphoma Society. I have lost my ability to
         donate my time working for that charity as I had done in the past because now I must work full time in order to eat.
13
14
         I have lost the ability to help future generations of my family get an education. I have lost the ability to help them with their housing needs. It pains my so much to remember my husband getting up in the middle of the night. He was a very fine physician. He would get up in the middle of the
15
16
17
18
19
         night year after year in all kinds of weather to go to the
20
         hospital to save someone's life in rain, ice and snow.
21
22
                        He would save someone's life so that Bernie Madoff
         could buy his wife another party rock. I have lost the ability to move around the world freely at this stage in my life using the money my husband and I have worked so hard to earn. We had SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
23
24
25
                                                    (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                  10
         96TJMAD1
                                                   Sentence
         worked, saved and planned for our old age so that we could
 23
         leave something behind and not be a burden when we became sick
         and old.
                         The emotional toll that this has taken on me has been
         devastati ng.
                                I have had great pain and suffering at the hands
         of Bernie Madoff. My health deteriorated rapidly after
December 11th. I could not eat or sleep. I was very agitated
and hyperactive. I had all the signs and symptoms of someone
 8
         undergoing great stress. I suffered rapid weight loss, rapid
10
         heart rate, sweating, insomnia and sometimes spells.

I had the horrible feeling that I had been pushed into
11
         the great black abyss, but I could not indulge these paralyzing feelings too long. I had work to do. While experiencing all these symptoms, I had to sell my home of 25 years, sell may car, sell may possessions and go to work full time. I accepted gifts of money from family and friends to pay for heat, electricity, gasoline and food.
12
13
14
15
16
17
                        I was the recipient of so many kindnesses and saw so
18
19
         much goodness in people. Goodness in people is something that
20
         you, Mr. Madoff, have been blind to your whole life, and that
         goodness is better than all the yachts and all the French homes
21
         in all the world put together.

Sadly, Mr. Madoff not only defrauded thousands of investors, he mastered the art of manipulating our government.
23
24
         FINRA and the Securities & Exchange Commission became his SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                    (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                  11
         96TJMAD1
                                                   Sentence
                       They were willing to relax all regulations that would
          tools.
         have uncovered his fraud.
```

5

7

tools. They were willing to relax all regulations that would have uncovered his fraud. The justification for relaxing the regulations was to ease the burden on Wall Street firms, the very firm that bankrupted the world economy.

THE COURT: Ms. Ebel, this is not the time to criticize the agencies. That is not before me. What is before

Page 5

me is what sentence to impose, so if you would address that,

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
                       MS. EBEL:
                                        I will, Judge Chin.
                       Mr. Madoff, I have read you will be making a statement
10
         about your guilt and shame. I do not believe you. Judge Chin Mr. Madoff should stay in jail until every person who enabled him to cause such a massive devastation is brought to justice. He should stay in jail until the families of every one of his
11
                                                                                            Judge Chin,
12
13
14
         victims are able to restore their financial stability.
15
         could easily take 150 years. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Next we'll hear from Mr. and
16
17
18
         Mrs. FitzMaurice.
19
                       MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, Judge Chin, for allowing
         us to be heard in your courtroom today.

My wife and I here are today representing the thousands of Madoff victims. We have all suffered extensively as a result of his actions. It has been well chronicled that
20
21
23
         Madoff did not limit his treachery to a few. He stole from the
         rich, he stole from the poor and he stole from the in-between.
                                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                 (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                             12
         96TJMAD1
                                                 Sentence
         He had no boundaries. He stole from individuals as well as charitable organizations of all types and denominations.

My wife and I are not millionaires. He has taken our
 3
         entire life savings. We have not been overlooked just as many of his other victims. We have worked hard, long and hard for
         all of our lives to provide for our family and to be in a
 7
         position to retire someday. I am now forced to work three
         jobs. My wife is working a full-time job only to make ends meet, to allow us to pay our mortgage and put food on the
 8
10
         table.
         We are 63 years' old. It will be no retirement for in the next two or three years. There will be no trips to
                                                            It will be no retirement for us
11
12
```

California to visit our one-year-old grandson. There will be no vacations of any type. Again we are too old to recoup the monies that he has taken from us. We can only work as long as our health will hold up and then we will have to sell our home and hope to survive on social security alone.

Madoff has shown no remorse. Please do not confuse his prepared statement as remorse. His crime was premeditated and calculated. He was attempting to scam investors only days before his arrest. If he had the opportunity, he would still be stealing from innocent investors. He has not truly cooperated with the authorities to recover the money that rightfully belongs to his investors, whom we are now known as victims.

#### SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

13

96TJMAD1 Sentence He cheated his victims out of their money so that he and his wife Ruth and their two sons could live a life of luxury beyond belief. This life is normally reserved for royalty, not for common thieves.

Your Honor, we implore you to give him the maximum sentence at a maximum prison for this evil lowlife. This would be true justice. Minimum security prison would only allow Madoff too many freedoms that he does not deserve. He would be leading a life better than a lot of his victims. That is not He would be true justice. His was a violent crime without the use of a tangi ble weapon.

His attorney will argue for a lenient sentence of up to twelve years. That is both insulting and another example of Page 6

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

21 22

23

24

25

2

5

8

10

11 12

13

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
               Madoff's arrogance.
                                                                    The scope of the devastation he has
15
              wreaked is unparalleled.
                                                                               It is impossible to compare his crime
              to any past criminal act. The pain he has inflicted will continue for many years. My life will never be the same. I financially ruined and will worry every day about how I will take care of my wife.
16
17
18
19
20
                                      Where will we be able to live? How will we pay our
21
                                   How will we get medical insurance?
               bills?
22
                                      All of his victims worldwide will be waiting to see
23
               that true justice is served. True justice is a maximum
24
               sentence in a maximum security prison. I have a quotation from
              my wife, since only one of us could speak. She SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                                                                                                              She wants to say:
                                                                               (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                                                                              14
               96TJMAD1
                                                                              Sentence
                                     "I cry every day when I see the look of pain and
               despair in my husband's eyes. I cry for the life we once had
  234567
              before that monster took it away. Our two sons and daughter-in-law have rallied with constant love and support.
              You, on the other hand, Mr. Madoff, have two sons that despise you. Your wife, rightfully so, has been vilified and shunned by her friends in the community. You have left your children a legacy of shame. I have a marriage made in heaven. You have a
  8
              marriage made in hell, and that is where you, Mr. Madoff, are going to return. May God spare you no mercy."
10
                                      THE COURT:
                                                                   Thank you.
11
                                      Next we will hear from Carla Hirschhorn.
12
                                      MS. HIRSCHHORN: Good morning and thank you, your
13
              Honor, for allowing me to address you.

My husband and I write to you to explain the devastation caused by Bernard L. Madoff to our lives. Since the second 
14
15
17
               Securi ti es.
                                               We have never been rich people.
18
                                                                                                                                   We have worked
19
               throughout all our adult lives. Over the years my husband has
20
               worked hard to learn a trade as a glazer which afforded him the
              opportunity to start a small business. I have been a physical therapist and worked through to the day I was graduated from
21
22
              college in 1980. We have both diligently saved our hard-earned money to invest with Bernard Madoff over the years. We used our money to raise our children, purchase our home and put our SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
23
24
25
                                                                               (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                                                                              15
               96TJMAD1
                                                                              Sentence
               savings in Bernard Madoff Securities.
                                      On December 11th, 2008, our world crumbled beneath us
              as news of the Bernard Madoff ponzi scheme became public. This turn of events has been devastating to our family. We lost our entire life savings. This money was being used to provide our children with a college education they have worked so hard to
                                                                                                                                                  We lost our
               deserve and to provide us with savings for a secure retirement.
                                      Since December 11th, 2008 life has been a living hell.
               It feels like a nightmare that we can't wake from.
10
               thankful that my father died two years ago and was spared from
11
```

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

2

2

having to live in his terminal condition without the money to provide him 24/7 health care which allowed him to die with i ndi gni ty.

My father died and left my mother believing she would be able to live a safe and secure life with the money in her Bernard Madoff accounts. Now all she has to live on is a sparce social security check and a small pension which will last less than one year. She may not have enough money to Page 7

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT maintain her home and living expenses. 20 It is our hope and in our prayers she does not become 21 22 ill and require extraordinary means to sustain her. daughter who sits in this courtroom today to witness this horrific event is a junior at college and has worked two jobs since our Madoff accounts were stolen while going to school 23 24 The stress and worry about her family's financial SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. 25 full time. (212) 805-0300 16 96TJMAD1 Sentence situation and health of her parents has been devastating to her. We have no idea how we will continue to pay for college without it being a terrible financial burden and worry on all of us. Immediately after hearing the news of the ponzi 6 7 scheme, we filed papers for financial aid to sustain our We were informed we were not daughter through college. 8 eligible for any grant money, that our only hope would be to take out loans. However, in this financial environment, without SIPIC insurance and with concern about claw-back litigation, we can't possibly take loans out to send our daughter to college. The turmoil caused by our financial devastation has caused us serious physical and emotional 10 11 13 problems from which we need medical treatment. 14 Your Honor, please understand that we, the investors, 15 have been punished by Madoff's crime. We were devastated by the SEC's failure to uncover Madoff's fraud and its continued 17 stamp of approval behind Madoff over the decades of his crime. 18 We have been abandoned by our elected officials which refuse to require the SEC to find income. We have been betrayed by 19 20 SIPIC, which in order to save money, has invented a new definition of net equity to deprive us of the \$500,000 of 22 insurance of which we were assured. 23 Please, your Honor, do not fail us. Please assure that Madoff is sentenced with the maximum possible time and he SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 17 96TJMAD1 Sentence is required to serve his sentence in a maximum security prison. This is not a man who deserves a federal country club. Respectfully, Carla Hirschhorn. THE COURT: Thank you. It is not up to me, by the way, where Mr. Madoff will ated. A number of people have made that suggestion, be designated. but it is up to the Bureau of Prisons. Next we'll hear from Sharon Lissauer.

MS. LISSAUER: My name is Sharon Lissauer. Thank your Honor, for letting me speak. I am very emotional, so please bear with me if I break down into tears. As everyone 10 11 knows, this nightmare has begun six and a half months ago and 12 yet it seems like a lifetime. 13 14 I keep on thinking I am going to wake up from it. It

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

keeps on getting worse. My life and my future have been ruined. I was always so careful with my money, but I entrusted everything I had to Mr. Madoff, my whole life savings from modeling and the inheritance of my mom. She just died last year, and as soon as I got the money, because I just miss her and I trusted Mr. Madoff so much, I gave it all to him, but now I don't have my mom or the money.

I know I am not alone. I know he has ruined thousands of people's lives. In the March hearing he said that he was Page 8

우

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT truly sorry, which I don't really believe, but even if it is a 25 little bit true, then I am not asking him, I am begging him, if SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPÖRTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

우

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

8

10

11 12 13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

96TJMAD1 Sentence he has any money from the offshore accounts or his family has any money obtained from this horrible fraud, that they disgorge it and give it back to the victims so they can have a little bit of their lives back.

With respect to his sentencing, I used to think that it didn't matter if he got 150 years, what would that do for the victims? It wouldn't get their money back. But now upon reflection, I think he should spend his whole life in jail But now upon because what he has done is just despicable. He has ruine many people's lives. He killed my spirit and shattered my dreams. He destroyed my trust in people. He destroyed my He has ruined so life, and I have no other assets. I make very little money from modeling and he left me in a very difficult position to pay my bills and support myself. For the first time in my life I am very, very frightened of my future.
Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Next we'll hear from Burt Ross. Mr. Ross.

Your Honor, my name is Burt Ross and my MR. ROSS: wife Joan and I lost \$5 million because of the criminal acts of Bernard Madoff. Not only have I lost the inheritance of my father who worked his entire life, not only have I lost the inheritance of my father who worked for his entire life so that his children and his children's children can leave a better life, I have lost our retirement accounts and funds in trust SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

19

20

18

96TJMAD1 Sentence for our children.

The fact is though we are one of the fortunate ones because we still have a roof over our heads, food on our table, unlike so many others who have been forced to sell their homes, who have been forced to sell their homes and pick up the pieces of their lives.

Years ago I attended a Friends secondary school where we thought that in each person there was an inner light, that of God and everyone. For the life of me, as far as I have searched, I cannot find that inner light in Bernard Madoff.

What can we possibly say about Madoff, that he was a philanthropist, when the money he gave to charities he stole from the very same charities he ultimately devastated; that he was a good family man when he leaves his grandchildren a name that mortifies them, a name which will live in infamy; that he is genuinely remorseful for his conduct when the statement he read in this very court was totally without emotion, when even after confessing he fought to keep assets away from those he hurt, when we all know his only regret was getting caught.

Can we say Madoff was a righteous Jew who served on the boards of Jewish institutions when he sank so low, when he sank so low as to steal from Elie Weisel, as if Weisel hasn't already suffered enough in his lifetime.

A righteous Jew, when in reality nobody has done more to reinforce the ugly stereotype that all we care about is SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

우

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
        96TJMAD1
                                            Sentence
        money the fact is there are no people on this earth more
        charitable? But we will survive. We have survived worse than
        Madoff.
                   What Bernard L. Madoff did far transcends the loss of It involves his betrayal of the virtues people hold
 5
        money.
        dearest -- love, friendship, trust -- and all so he can eat at
the finest restaurants, stay at the most luxurious resorts, and
 6
        travel on yachts and private jets. He has truly earned his
        reputation for being the most despised person to be in America
10
        today.
        Several hundred years ago the Italian poet Dante in his "The Divine Comedy" recognized fraud as the worst of sins, the ultimate evil more than any other act contrary to God's greatest gift to mankind -- love. In fact, he placed the
11
12
15
        perpetrators of fraud in the lowest depths of hell, even below
16
        those who had committed violent acts.
                                                               And those who betrayed
17
        their benefactors were the worst sinners of all, so in the
        three mouths of Satan struggle Judas for betraying Jesus
18
19
        Christ, and Brutus and Cassius for betraying Julius Caesar.
        Please Allow me to take a liberty now by speaking for many of those victims who because of frailty, privacy, distance, or other reasons are unable to bear witness today. We urge your Honor to commit Madoff to prison for the remainder
20
21
22
23
        of his natural life, and when he leaves this earth virtually
24
        unmourned, may Satan grow a forth mouth where Bernard L. Madoff SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                  21
        96TJMAD1
                                            Sentence
        deserves to spend the rest of eternity.
                     Thank you.
                     THE COURT:
                                      Thank you. Next we'll hear from Michael
 4
5
6
        Schwartz.
                     MR. SCHWARTZ:
                                          Can everyone hear me?
                     My name is Michael Schwartz.
                                                              I am 33 yearS' old.
 7
        was my family's trust fund that helped fund the money for
        Bernard Madoff's organization. Since I was a teenager, I
 8
        invested into what I thought was a forthright and legitimate
        investment firm. During this time I made sure I lived well within my means, nothing extravagant. I viewed my investment as a safety net in case I should hit hard times or perhaps face
10
11
12
13
        medical issues.
14
                     Unfortunately, several months ago, my job was
        regionalized, eliminated. I was handed a letter of
15
        recommendation and sent on my way. It didn't hit me until I
        got home that the company that you ran had already taken my
17
        life savings. At 33, I was wiped out.

I am one of the lucky ones by far. I have my health.
I am young, I have great friends, got a loving wife.
Unfortunately, the money you took from other members of my family wasn't a minor setback. It was quite a bit more. Your
18
19
20
21
22
        Honor, part of the trust fund wasn't set aside for a house in
23
24
        the Hamptons, a large yacht or box seat to the Mets.
                                                                                     No, part
        of that money was set aside to take care of my twin brother who SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                  22
        96TJMAD1
                                            Sentence
```

7

2

3

우

is mentally disabled, who at 33, he lives at home with my parents and will need care and supervision for the rest of his life.

In the final analysis, my family wants to remember Page 10

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
        that in addition to stealing from retirees, veterans, widows,
       Bernard Madoff stole from the disabled. Every time he cashed a
       check and paid for his family's decadent lifestyle, he killed
       dreams. My parents had a simple dream for my brother, a week at summer camp, someday being able to live in a good, a good group home. Thanks to Bernard Madoff's greed, complete lack of
8
10
       ethics, that dream will be delayed.
11
                   At the end of the day my twin brother will be taken
12
                    My family is strong enough to weather this storm but,
       your Honor, I say this without any malice, Bernard Madoff should no longer be allowed back in society. I only hope that
15
16
       his prison sentence is long enough so that his jail cell
                   nis coffin. Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
We'll hear next from Miriam Siegman.
17
       becomes his coffin.
19
20
                   MS. SIEGMAN: I was born a few blocks from this
21
                         I still live here. On a cold winter's day just
       before my 65th birthday, the man sitting in front of me
22
       announced to the world that he had stolen everything I had.
23
       After that he refused to say another word to his victims.
24
25
       here today to bear witness for myself and others, silent SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                         (212) 805-0300
                                                                                          23
       96TJMAD1
                                        Sentence
       victims.
                   The streets of my childhood felt safe. The streets I
       wander now feel threatening. The man sitting in this courtroom robbed me. In an instant his words and deeds beat me to near
       senselessness. He discarded me like road kill. Victims became the byproduct of his greed. We are what is left over, the remnants of stunning indifference and that of politicians and
 5
 8
       bureaucrats.
                   Six months have passed. I manage on food stamps. At
10
       the end of the month I sometimes scavage in dumpsters.
11
       cannot afford new eyeglasses.
                                               I long to go to a concert, but I
12
                     Sometimes my heartbeats erratically for lack of
13
       medication when I cannot pay for it.
       I shine my shoes each night, afraid they will wear out. My laundry is done by hand in the kitchen sink. I have collected empty cans and dragged them to redemption centers.
14
15
16
                   I do this. People ask how are you? My answer always
17
       is I'm fine, but it is not always true. I have lived with
18
19
       fear. It strikes me at all hours. I calculate again and again
20
       how long I can hold out.
21
```

2

22

23 25

우

It is only a matter of time. I will be unable to meet my own basic needs, food, shelter, medicine. I feel grief at no longer being able to help support my beloved sister. I feel shame and humiliation asking for help.

I also feel overwhelming sadness. I know that another SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

I know that another

(212) 805-0300

24 96TJMAD1 Sentence human being did this to me and to all victims, but I don't know What I do understand frightens me. The man who did this

had deep contempt for his victims. There are many victims including those we never hear from or see; union members, pipe-fitters, laborers, women who work in nursing homes, bricklayers, firemen, working people. One victim shot himself. The inquest informs us he was a highly decorated former soldier who could not face the shame of his ruin, his last words on a humanitarian mission in

Page 11

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
                               By self-admission, this thief among us knew his
         victims were facing a kind of death at his hands, yet he
11
         continued to play with us as a cat would with a mouse.
12
         What shall be the punishment for such a man? What sentence? Carry the burden we carry, feel his shame, humiliation and isolation as I do. Feel it each day wherever
13
14
15
         you are until life ends.
16
                       Face an acknowledge the murderous effects of your
17
18
                               I long for the truth that might become of a trial
19
         and hope justice had placed a higher premium on truth and
20
         expediency. Forgiveness for now, it will have to come from
21
         someone other than me.
22
                       THE COURT: Thank you. Finally we'll hear from Sheryl
         Wei nstein.
                       MS. WEINSTEIN: Hello, your Honor.
                       THE COURT: Good morning.
25
                                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                 (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                             25
         96TJMAD1
                                                 Sentence
         MS. WEINSTEIN: I was introduced to Bernard Madoff 21 years ago at a business meeting. At the time I was the chief financial officer of Hadassah, a charitable women's organization. I now view that day as perhaps the unluckiest day of my life because of the many events set into motion that
 2
3
4
         would eventually have the most profound and devastating effect
         on me, my husband, my child, my parents, my in-laws and all those who depended upon us for their liveliness.
 8
         You have read and you appear from many of us, the old, the young, the healthy and infirm about the unimaginable extent of human tragedy and devastation. According to a Time Magazine article, there are over 3 million individuals worldwide who have been directly or indirectly affected. They, the press and the media, speak of us as being greedy and rich. Most of us are just ordinary working people, worker bees, as I like to
10
13
14
         are just ordinary working people, worker bees, as I like to
15
17
                       My husband and I are now both in our 60's and have
         been married for 37 years. We have saved for most of our lives
18
         by living beneath our means in order to provide for our retirement. This past Thursday at 2:00 o'clock my husband and I sold our home of 20 years. People are always asking how much did we lose? My reply is that when you lose everything, it
19
20
21
22
         really doesn't matter because you have nothing left, and we
23
         have lost everything.
                       Many have told us we were lucky -- I no longer know --
                                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTÉRS, P.C.
                                                 (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                              26
         96TJMAD1
                                                 Sentence
         to be able to sell in this depressed market although at a
 2
         greatly reduced amount. We had to sell because four years ago
         we refinanced our mortgage and gave the excess cash to Bernie
                       There was very little left over after all was said and
         done at the closing
         It is difficult to describe how it feels due to circumstances outside of your control to be virtually forced
         out of your home, to leave unwillingly. Last Tuesday I walked out following the movers with a thought I would be back before the closing, but knowing in the back of my mind that I
 8
```

우

2

10 11

12

13

14

wouldn't. My husband was the last to be in our home. He shared with me his hesitation of not wanting to leave, of wanting to remain, but realizing that staying was no longer an option. Page 12

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
       chose not to go to the closing because it would have been too
       difficult and painful for either of us to be there. For months
16
       after December 11th I would wake in the dark hours of the night and early morning and to my horror realize that there were no calming, soothing words I could say to myself because it wasn't a dream. The monster who visited me was true, a reality.
17
18
19
20
21
       Those same thoughts would occur to me upon waking in the
22
       morning and during the day and a deep, heavy depression would
       surround me and not lift.
24
                   This went one for many months. I went on after bad
25
       dreams, virtually not unable to eat. The sight of food was
                           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                         (212) 805-0300
```

2) 000 0000

96TJMAD1 Sentence

making me feel sick, unable to escape the reality of my personal devastation. At times I could not even bear to be alone. I would ask my friends to either stay with me at the office even if there was very little work to do. It would prompt me to pick up the phone to call my husband to be reassured I was not alone.

This continued until March 12th when Madoff entered his plea of guilty. I began to speak out to the media, and the helpless and hopeless feelings began to retreat and I began to feel empowered. It came together for me while being interviewed by Katie Couric. She asked me wasn't I embarrassed being a CPA losing all my money? At that moment I realized and responded no, I am not embarrassed because I did not lose my money. My money was stolen from me.

Ms. Couric said to me you sound angry, and I said yes, you're right. When someone steals from you, you get angry. That was the beginning of my healing process.

That was the beginning of my healing process.

I felt it was important for somebody who as personally acquainted with Madoff to speak. My family and I are not anonymous people to him. He knows my husband's name is Rob and my son's name is Eric. In fact, Eric worked for him one summer while in college many years ago. Eric would continue to call him over the years to ask for his advice and input. Eric entrusted him with his money that he worked and saved. a few months before all this happened Eric had spoken to him and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

96TJMAD1 Sentence

thanked him for doing such a good job.

I would now like to have the opportunity to share with you my personal feelings about Madoff and to speak to his sentencing.

I remember when my son was perhaps a few weeks' old and I would watch him as he slept and he would whimper, not a cry of hunger, but a whimper. Even at a few weeks' old there was something in his subconscious that could frighten him. It amazed me such a young child, an infant can have nightmares.

All of us from our earliest ages remember those times when the terror, the monsters and goblins would come visit us in those dark hours. Eventually we would be so frightened that we would awake sometimes calling out to our parents because of the fear.

It was calming to have our parents remind us it was only a dream. As we got older, we could wake ourselves and self-assure ourselves it was only a dream. That terror, that monster, that horror, that beast has a name to me, and it is Bernard L. Madoff. I will now attempt to explain to you the Page 13

오

우

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
        nature of this beast who I called Madoff.
21
                      He walks among us.
                                                    He dresses like us.
                                                                                     He drives and
                   d drinks and speaks. Under the facade there is truly a
He is a beast that has stolen for his own needs the
22
        eats and drinks and speaks.
23
        livelihoods, savings, lives, hopes and dreams and futures of others in total disregard. He has fed upon us to satisfy his SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
25
                                               (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                        29
         96TJMAD1
                                               Sentence
                          No matter how much he takes and from whom he takes,
        own needs.
        he is never satisfied. He is an equal opportunity destroyer.

I felt it important for you to know in appearance, he would be just like everybody else and it is for this reason I am asking your Honor to keep him in a cage behind bars because he has lost the privilege of walking and being among us mortal
        human beings. He should not be given the opportunity to walk
        into our society again.

I would like to suggest that while any man, woman or child that has been affected by his heinous crime still walks
 8
10
        this earth, Madoff the beast should not be free to walk among
11
        them. You should protect society from the likes of him. I have reread Madoff's March 12th statement to you. Certain quotes jumped out at me. His continuing self-serving references, and I quote, that his proprietary trading in the
12
13
14
15
        market making business managed by his brother and two sons was legitimate, profitable and successful in all respects, or that
16
17
18
        he felt, "compelled to satisfy my clients' expectations at any
        cost.
19
20
                      It sounds as if he is laying the blame on his clients'
        expectations and never admitting the truth he was stealing from these clients and the lives he ruined. If he was attempting to protect his family, he should not be given that opportunity
23
        because we, the victims, did not have the same opportunity to
24
        protect our families.
25
                                          Madoff the beast has stolen our ability
                                SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                               (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                         30
                                               Sentence
        96TJMAD1
         to protect our loved ones away from us. He should have no
        opportunity to protect his family.
We, the victims, are greatly disappointed by those
        agencies that were set up to protect us. SIPIC has now
        redefined what we are entitled to. The IRS approved their
        office request to be a custodian of our IRAs and pension funds
        and the SEC appears to have looked the other way on numerous
                          This is a human tragedy of historic proportions and
        occasi ons.
        we ask -- no, we implore -- that those whose agencies may have failed us in the past through acts of omissions, step up to the plate, fulfill their responsibilities. I thank your Honor for your indulgence and I feel comfortable you will make sure
10
11
12
        j́ustice is ̃served.
13
                      Thank you.
14
                      THE COURT:
15
                                        Thank you.
                      Thanks to all the victims who spoke today and to all
17
        those who wrote.
                                   I appreciate hearing your views.
                      Mr. Sorkin.
MR. SORKIN:
18
19
                            SORKI N:
                                          Good morning, your Honor.
20
                      THE COURT:
                                         Good morning.
21
                      MR. SORKIN: Before I speak, would your Honor
22
        respectfully acknowledge you have received both the
        government's sentencing memorandum and two responses?
```

THE COURT: Yes, I have your initial letter I received

Page 14

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT yesterday and your reply brief. I have the government's SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

우

234567

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

8

10

11

13

15

17

18 19

20

25

Sentence

memorandum as well.

96TJMAD1

MR. SORKIN: Thank you. THE COURT:

I have read them all. MR. SORKIN: Thank you, your Honor.

May I proceed? THE COURT: Ye Yes.

 $\,$  MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I know I speak on behalf of all Mr. Madoff's counsel as well as Mr. Madoff who will speak. We cannot be unmoved by what we heard. There is no way that we

cannot be insensitive to the victims' suffering.

This is a tragedy as some of the victims have said at There is no doubt Mr. Madoff will speak. every level. représent a deeply flawed individual, but we représent, your We don't represent a statistic. Honor, a human being. don't represent a number. We speak to the victims. We have heard what they've had to say and we can only imagine, your Honor, what we would have heard from others.

I say again, forgive me for being redundant, we represent a very flawed individual, an individual who appears before this court facing a sentence that is sufficient but not unreasonably necessary to carry out the mandate that this court has to carry out.

The magnificence of our legal system, your Honor, is that we do not seek an eye for an eye. To be sure, if it is any consolation to the victims, we have worked hopefully SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

7

Sentence

96TJMAD1 diligently with the U.S. Attorney's Office in an atmosphere of trying to recover assets. To that extent, your Honor, we have provided the government with what we believe to be the assets that Mr. Madoff has gathered over the years which the victims have referred to, and again if it is any consolation to them, to the extent that the government has left him and his family, his wife impoverished, we are just about there with respect to everything the government believes it can show in order to obtain the appropriate assets for forfeiture.

Vengeance is not the goal of punishment. Our system of justice, your Honor, has recognized that justice is and must always be blind and fair -- not blind to the criminal acts that Mr. Madoff pleaded guilty to and certainly not blind to the suffering of the victims, but blind to the extent that it will achieve a sentence that has been set out over the years in the guidelines and the cases interpreting the guidelines, and the quidelines and the courts and the statutes, your Honor, do not speak of vengeance and revenge.

There is something bordering on the absurd, and we cited United States versus Ellison on this point, your Honor. For the government to ask for 150 years so that Mr. Madoff gets out of jail at the age of 221 because he is 71 now, he will face supervised release. By the same token, your Honor, it defies reason for the Probation Department to suggest that he be sentenced to 50 years in prison for the very same reasons.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

96TJMAD1

Sentence Page 15

33

31

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
                      I point out to the court, and forgive me, your Honor,
        for repeating what is in the letter we sent you most recently,
        that Mr. Madoff, as he pleaded to, as appears in the presentence report and appears in the information in which the government agrees, for most of the period of time that Mr. Madoff is alleged to have engaged in this ponzi scheme and, in
 3
        fact, it was a ponzi scheme, it was money in and money out.
                      Most of the money, and I am quoting from the PSR, went
 8
        for redemptions. People who invested money were given back
                    To be sure, it was a fraud. To be sure, it was a ponzi
To be sure, it was a crime, but nevertheless, your
10
11
        Honor, I point out, and in response respectfully to some of the victims, the PSR noted, and I think it is common knowledge in
12
13
        the industry that Mr. Madoff built up this firm on the proprietary trading side to the point in 1991, as the presentence report points out, the proprietary trading side
15
16
17
        which at the point of his arrest had approximately 200
        employees separate and apart from the fraudulent advisory
18
19
        business, a hundred traders making markets and in 1991, your
20
        Honor, accounted for almost 10 percent of all transactions on
21
22
        the New York Stock Exchange.
                      Sufficient to provide revenue at the same time Mr.
23
        Madoff engaged in taking money in and taking money out, most of that money went for redemptions. As we point out in our letter
24
        of yesterday, and as the government notes and as the PSR notes, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                              (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                      34
        96TJMAD1
                                              Sentence
        the loans, the comingling, and we we do dispute this with the government, but I don't think it is a relevant issue, the
        comingling, the loans.
(Continued on next page)
 3
4
5
6
7
 8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
```

## SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

34

96T8MAD2

2

4

우

MR. SORKIN: The loans, the commingling, commenced within the last eight to ten years. And as Mr. Madoff will say, things began to collapse. And there was commingling with \$250 million over the last eight or so years, of advisory money, as well as money in, money out of investments.

Page 16

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
                     I think it's important to note, your Honor, again that
        Mr. Madoff stepped forward. He chose not to flee. He chose
 8
        not to hide money. To the extent money is overseas, we are
        still actively engaged -- we, his defense counsel -- in assisting the government, at the request of the government, to obtain assets located overseas, as we speak, and we submitted
10
11
12
        that voluntarily, and we have been trying to help, with
        Mr. Madoff's authorization, permission, and blessing.
13
        Mr. Madoff is 71 years old, your Honor. Based upon his health, which is in the PSR, his family history, his life
15
        expectancy, that is why we ask for a sentence of 12 years, just
        short, based upon the statistics that we have, of a life
17
18
        sentence.
        We also said, if your Honor is inclined, your Honor obviously makes the decision, 15 to 20 years. So that if Mr. Madoff ever sees the light of day, in his 90s, impoverished
20
21
        and alone, he will have paid a terrible price. He expects,
22
        your Honor, to live out his years in prison.
        The PSR points out, your Honor, as we noted in our letter to you, that the loss in this case is $13,226,000,000.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                            (212) 805-0300
```

96T8MAD2

The exact numbers are in the PSR. What has not been heard publicly, your Honor, is the fact that over \$1,276,000,000 is held by the SIPC trustee, and we have no control over how that money is disbursed. And I say this for the victims we have Again, we have no control over what the SIPC trustee heard. does with the money that he obtains, nor do we have any control over what the SEC will do, nor do we have any control as to how the government to whom we have forfeited all of the assets but a few, which the government and we have agreed were weighed against the risk of litigation, we have no control how that money is disbursed.

35

36

Additionally to the \$1,276,000,000, the SIPC trustee, according to the PSR, has recovered \$1,225,000,000, has sent demand letters to individuals for 735 million, and has commenced litigation to seek a clawback from some very large funds to obtain redemptions and interest payments in the amount of \$10,100,000,000. It is our hope, your Honor, our sincerest hope, that all that money is collected, in an amount in excess of \$13,226,000,000, that that will be provided to investors.

The frenzy, the media excitement, that Mr. Madoff engaged in a Ponzi scheme involving \$65 billion and that he has ferreted money away, as far as we know, your Honor, that is simply not true, and it is not borne out either by the

government or by the PSR, and we take no issue with the PSR.
In closing, your Honor, there is no question that this
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

96T8MAD2

case has taken an enormous toll, not only on Mr. Madoff and his family, but to the victims to be sure. But it has also taken a toll, your Honor, as Mr. Madoff will say, on the industry that he helped revolutionize, that he helped grow, and now has become the object of disrespect and abomination, and that is a tragedy as well.

We ask only, your Honor, that Mr. Madoff be given understanding and fairness, within the parameters of our legal system, and that the sentence that he be given be sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to carry out what this Court

우

5

10

11

12 13 14

20

21

22 23

24 25

8

10

Page 17

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
         must carry out under the rules, statutes and guidelines.
12
                        Thank you, your Honor.
                        THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Madoff, if you would like to speak, now is the
13
14
15
         time.
16
                        THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I cannot offer you an
         excuse for my behavior. How do you excuse betraying thousands
17
         of investors who entrusted me with their life savings? How do
18
         you excuse deceiving 200 employees who have spent most of their working life working for me? How do you excuse lying to your brother and two sons who spent their whole adult life helping
19
20
21
         to build a successful and respectful business? How do you excuse lying and deceiving a wife who stood by you for 50 years, and still stands by you? And how do you excuse deceiving an industry that you spent a better part of your life SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
22
23
25
                                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                 37
         96T8MAD2
         trying to improve? There is no excuse for that, and I don't
         ask any forgi veness.
                        Although I may not have intended any harm, I did a
         great deal of harm. I believed when I started this problem, this crime, that it would be something I would be able to work
         my way out of, but that became impossible. As hard as I tried,
         the deeper I dug myself into a hole. I made a terrible
         mistake, but it wasn't the kind of mistake that I had made time
         and time again, which is a trading mistake. In my business, when you make a trading error, you're expected to make a trading error, it's accepted. My error was much more serious. I made an error of judgment. I refused to accept the fact,
10
11
         could not accept the fact, that for once in my life I failed. I couldn't admit that failure and that was a tragic mistake.
                        I am responsible for a great deal of suffering and
15
                     I understand that. I live in a tormented state now
16
          knowing of all the pain and suffering that I have created.
17
18
         have left a legacy of shame, as some of my victims have pointed
                                                                             That's something I
19
         out, to my family and my grandchildren.
         will live with for the rest of my life.

People have accused me of being silent and not being sympathetic. That is not true. They have accused my wife of being silent and not being sympathetic. Nothing could be further from the truth.
20
21
22
23
24
         further from the truth. She cries herself to sleep every night
         knowing of all the pain and suffering I have caused, and I am SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                 38
          96T8MAD2
          tormented by that as well. She was advised to not speak
         publicly until after my sentencing by our attorneys, and she complied with that. Today she will make a statement about how she feels about my crimes. I ask you to listen to that. She
         is sincere and all I ask you is to listen to her.

Apologizing and saying I am sorry, that's not enough.
 7
         Nothing I can say will correct the things that I have done.
         feel terrible that an industry I spent my life trying to improve is being criticized terribly now, that regulators who I helped work with over the years are being criticized by what I have done. That is a horrible guilt to live with. There is
 8
10
```

12 13 14

11

15

2

우

with this torment for the rest of my life. I apologize to my victims. I will turn and face you. Page 18

nothing I can do that will make anyone feel better for the pain

and suffering I caused them, but I will live with this pain,

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
         I am sorry. I know that doesn't help you.
17
                        Your Honor, thank you for listening to me.
         THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Sorkin, did I understand Mr. Madoff to say that

Mrs. Madoff wanted to speak?

MR. SORKIN: No, your Honor. Mrs. Madoff after the

sentencing will be giving a statement. And I add what
18
19
20
21
22
23
         Mr. Madoff said about belaboring it, that she was advised by
         counsel to wait till after sentence.
                        THE COURT: I thought he was saying she wanted to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                 39
         96T8MAD2
                      Thank you.

I will hear from the government.
         speak.
                        MS. BARONI:
                                             This defendant carried out a fraud of
         unprecedented proportion over the course of more than a
                              For more than 20 years he stole ruthlessly and rse. Thousands of people placed their trust in him
         without remorse.
         and he lied repeatedly to all of them. And as the Court heard from all of the victims, in their words and in the letters, he destroyed a lifetime of hard work of thousands of victims. And he used that victims money to enrich himself and his family,
 8
10
         with an opulent lifestyle, homes around the world, yachts, private jets, and tens of millions of dollars of loans to his
11
12
         family, loans of investors' money that has never been repaid.
13
14
                        The guideline sentence in this case, as your Honor
         knows, is 150 years and the government respectfully submits that a sentence of 150 years or a substantial term of imprisonment that will ensure that he spends the rest of his life in jail is appropriate in this case.
15
16
19
                         This was not a crime born of any financial distress or
                                       It was a calculated, well orchestrated,
20
         market pressures.
         long-term fraud, that this defendant carried out month after
21
22
         month, year after year, decade after decade. He created literally hundreds and hundreds of thousands of fake documents
23
24
                              Every time he told his clients that he was making
         every year.
         trades for them he sent them trade confirmations filled with SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                 40
         96T8MAD2
                     At every month end he sent them account statements that
         were nothing but lies. And the defendant knew that his clients
         made critically important life decisions, as your Honor heard today, based on these lies. Decisions about their children's education, their retirement, how to care for elderly relatives, and how to provide for their families. He knew this, and he
         stole from them anyway.
 8
                        In doing so, he drove charities, companies, pension
         plans and families to economic ruin. And even on the most
10
         dispassionate view of the evidence, the scale of the fraud,
         which is at a conservative estimate, your Honor, $13 billion, when you look at the duration of the fraud, which is more than
11
12
         20 years, when you look at the fact that the defendant could
13
         have stopped this fraud and saved the victims' losses, all of these facts justify a guideline sentence of 150 years.

And to address briefly some of Mr. Sorkin's arguments, despite Mr. Sorkin's arguments, the defendant here deserves no leniency and certainly does not deserve a sentence of 12 years'
14
15
16
17
18
19
         imprisonment.
```

우

2

20

Page 19

Mr. Sorkin tries to argue that the loss amount is

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
21 actually going to be less than 13 billion because the trustee
22 may recover some assets in clawback proceedings. As your Honor
23 knows, that has nothing to do with the loss amount in this
24 case. Further, the defendant shouldn't get any credit for
25 anything the government or the trustee does after the fraud to
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

#### 96T8MAD2

recover money.

In asking for 12 years, your Honor, the defendant is asking you to impose a sentence that a defendant would receive in a garden variety fraud case in this district, a case with about \$20 million of losses and far fewer victims. In imposing a 12 year sentence in this case, on the facts and circumstances here, would be profoundly unfair. Not only would it not reflect the seriousness and the scope of the defendant's crimes, but, also, it would not promote the goals of general deterrence going forward.

Mr. Sorkin's argument that the defendant should get some credit for coming forward and turning himself in is also entirely meritless. The defendant continued his fraud scheme until the very end, when he knew the scheme was days away from collapse, when he was almost out of money and when he was faced with redemption requests from clients that he knew he could not meet. And even at that point, rather than turning himself in, he tried to take the last of his victims' money. He prepared \$173 million in checks that he planned to give to his family, his friends, and some preferred clients. It was his final effort to put his interests above those of his clients, and had the FBI not arrested him when they did, he might well have succeeded.

Your Honor, in sum, for running an investment advisory business that was a complete fraud, for betraying his clients SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

#### 96T8MAD2

for decades, and for repeatedly lying to regulators to cover up his fraud, for the staggering harm that he has inflicted on thousands of people, for all of these reasons and all of the reasons your Honor heard so eloquently from the victims, the government respectfully requests that the Court sentence the defendant to 150 years in prison or a substantial term of imprisonment that ensures that he will spend the rest of his life in jail.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I take into account what I have read in the presentence report, the parties' sentencing submissions, and the e-mails and letters from victims. I take into account what I have heard today. I also consider the statutory factors as well as all the facts and circumstances in the case.

In his initial letter on behalf of Mr. Madoff, Mr. Sorkin argues that the unified tone of the victims' letters suggests a desire for mob vengeance. He also writes that Mr. Madoff seeks neither mercy nor sympathy, but justice and objectivity.

Despite all the emotion in the air, I do not agree with the suggestion that victims and others are seeking mob vengeance. The fact that many have sounded similar themes does not mean that they are acting together as a mob. I do agree that a just and proportionate sentence must be determined,

Page 20

우

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT SOUTHERN DI STRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

96T8MAD2

8

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19 20

21

22 23

24

25

5

8

10

11 12 13

14

15 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

우

objectively, and without hysteria or undue emotion.

Objectively speaking, the fraud here was staggering. It spanned more than 20 years. Mr. Madoff argues in his reply letter that the fraud did not begin until the 1990s. I guess it's more that the commingling did not begin until the 1990s, but it is clear that the fraud began earlier. And even if it is true that it only started in the 1990s, the fraud exceeded ten years, still an extraordinarily long period of time.

43

44

45

fraud reached thousands of victims.

As for the amount of the monetary loss, there appears to be some disagreement. Mr. Madoff disputes that the loss amount is \$65 billion or even \$13 billion. But Mr. Madoff has now acknowledged, however, that some \$170 billion flowed into his business as a result of his fraudulent scheme. presentence report uses a loss amount of \$13 billion, but as I understand it, that number does not include the losses from moneys invested through the feeder funds. That's what the PSR states. Mr. Madoff argues that the \$13 billion amount should be reduced by the amounts that the SIPC trustee may be able to claw back, but that argument fails. Those clawbacks, if they happened, will result in others who suffered losses. Moreover, Mr. Madoff told his sons that there were \$50 billion in losses. In any event, by any of these monetary measures, the fraud here is unprecedented.

> Moreover, the offense level of 52 is calculated by SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

96T8MAD2

using a chart for loss amount that only goes up to \$400 million. By any of these measures, the loss figure here is It's off the chart by many fold. many times that amount.

Moreover, as many of the victims have pointed out, this is not just a matter of money. The breach of trust was massive. Investors -- individuals, charities, pension funds, institutional clients -- were repeatedly lied to, as they were told their moneys would be invested in stocks when they were Clients were sent these millions of pages of account statements that the government just alluded to confirming trades that were never made, aftesting to balances that did not exist. As the victims' letters and e-mails demonstrate, as the statements today demonstrate, investors made important life decisions based on these fictitious account statements -- when to retire, how to care for elderly parents, whether to buy a car or sell a house, how to save for their children's college tuition. Charitable organizations and pension funds made important decisions based on false information about fictitious Mr. Madoff also repeatedly lied to the SEC and the accounts. regulators, in writing and in sworn testimony, by withholding material information, by creating false documents to cover up his scheme.

It is true that Mr. Madoff used much of the money to pay back investors who asked along the way to withdraw their accounts. But large sums were also taken by him, for his SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

personal use and the use of his family, friends, and 1 Page 21

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT colleagues. The PSR shows, for example, that Mr. Madoff reported adjusted gross income of more than \$250 million on his tax returns for the ten year period from 1998 through 2007. On numerous occasions, Mr. Madoff used his firm's bank accounts which contained customer funds to pay for his personal expenses and those of his family, including, for example, the purchase of a Manhattan apartment for a relative, the acquisition of two yachts, and the acquisition of four country club memberships at a cost of \$950,000. Billions of dollars more were paid to individuals who generated investments for Mr. Madoff through these feeder funds.

Mr. Madoff argues a number of mitigating factors but

Mr. Madoff argues a number of mitigating factors but they are less than compelling. It is true that he essentially turned himself in and confessed to the FBI. But the fact is that with the turn in the economy, he was not able to keep up with the requests of customers to withdraw their funds, and it is apparent that he knew that he was going to be caught soon. It is true that he consented to the entry of a \$100 billion forfeiture order and has cooperated in transferring assets to the government for liquidation for the benefit of victims. But all of this was done only after he was arrested, and there is little that he could have done to fight the forfeiture of these assets. Moreover, the SIPC trustee has advised the Court Mr. Madoff has not been helpful, and I simply do not get the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

96T8MAD2

sense that Mr. Madoff has done all that he could or told all that he knows.

Mrs. Madoff has stipulated to the transfer of some \$80 dollars in assets to the government for the benefit of victims, but the record also shows that as it became clear that Mr. Madoff's scheme was unraveling, he made substantial loans to family members, he transferred some \$15 million of firm funds into his wife's personal accounts, and he wrote out the checks that the government has just described.

I have taken into account the sentences imposed in other financial fraud cases in this district. But, frankly, none of these other cases is comparable to this case in terms of the scope, duration and enormity of the fraud, and the degree of the betrayal.

In terms of mitigating factors in a white-collar fraud case such as this, I would expect to see letters from family and friends and colleagues. But not a single letter has been submitted attesting to Mr. Madoff's good deeds or good character or civic or charitable activities. The absence of such support is telling.

We have heard much about a life expectancy analysis. Based on this analysis, Mr. Madoff has a life expectancy of 13 years, and he therefore asks for a sentence of 12 years or alternatively 15 to 20 years. If Mr. Sorkin's life expectancy analysis is correct, any sentence above 20 or 25 years would be SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

96T8MAD2

largely, if not entirely, symbolic.

But the symbolism is important, for at least three reasons. First, retribution. One of the traditional notions of punishment is that an offender should be punished in proportion to his blameworthiness. Here, the message must be sent that Mr. Madoff's crimes were extraordinarily evil, and Page 22

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT

that this kind of irresponsible manipulation of the system is

not merely a bloodless financial crime that takes place just on

paper, but that it is instead, as we have heard, one that takes

a staggering human toll. The symbolism is important because

the message must be sent that in a society governed by the rule

of law, Mr. Madoff will get what he deserves, and that he will

be punished according to his moral culpability.

Second. deterrence. Another important goal of

Second, deterrence. Another important goal of punishment is deterrence, and the symbolism is important here because the strongest possible message must be sent to those who would engage in similar conduct that they will be caught and that they will be punished to the fullest extent of the

19 I aw. 

Finally, the symbolism is also important for the victims. The victims include individuals from all walks of life. The victims include charities, both large and small, as well as academic institutions, pension funds, and other entities. Mr. Madoff's very personal betrayal struck at the rich and the not-so-rich, the elderly living on retirement SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

96T8MAD2

funds and social security, middle class folks trying to put their kids through college, and ordinary people who worked hard to save their money and who thought they were investing it safely, for themselves and their families.

I received letters, and we have heard from, for example, a retired forest worker, a corrections officer, an auto mechanic, a physical therapist, a retired New York City school secretary, who is now 86 years old and widowed, who must deal with the loss of her retirement funds. Their money is gone, leaving only a sense of betrayal.

I was particularly struck by one story that I read in the letters. A man invested his family's life savings with Mr. Madoff. Tragically, he died of a heart attack just two weeks later. The widow eventually went in to see Mr. Madoff. He put his arm around her, as she describes it, and in a kindly manner told her not to worry, the money is safe with me. And so not only did the widow leave the money with him, she eventually deposited more funds with him, her 401(k), her pension funds. Now, all the money is gone. She will have to sell her home, and she will not be able to keep her promise to help her granddaughter pay for college.

help her granddaughter pay for college.

A substantial sentence will not give the victims back their retirement funds or the moneys they saved to send their children or grandchildren to college. It will not give them back their financial security or the freedom from financial SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

96T8MAD2

worry. But more is at stake than money, as we have heard. The victims put their trust in Mr. Madoff. That trust was broken in a way that has left many -- victims as well as others -- doubting our financial institutions, our financial system, our government's ability to regulate and protect, and sadly, even themselves.

I do not agree that the victims are succumbing to the temptation of mob vengeance. Rather, they are doing what they are supposed to be doing -- placing their trust in our system of justice. A substantial sentence, the knowledge that Mr. Madoff has been punished to the fullest extent of the law, Page 23

```
Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
         may, in some small measure, help these victims in their healing
13
         process.
                       Mr. Madoff, please stand. It is the judgment of this Court that the defendant,
14
15
        Bernard L. Madoff, shall be and hereby is sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 150 years, consisting of 20 years on each of Counts 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 10, 5 years on each of Counts 2, 8, 9, and 11, and 10 years on Count 7, all to run consecutively to
16
17
18
19
20
         each other. As a technical matter, the sentence must be
21
         expressed on the judgment in months.
                                                                    150 years is equivalent
22
         to 1,800 months.
        Although it is academic, for technical reasons, I must also impose supervised release. I impose a term of supervised release of 3 years on each count, all to run concurrently. The SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
23
24
25
                                                (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                           50
         96T8MAD2
         mandatory, standard, and special conditions are imposed, as set
 1
 2
         forth on pages 58 and 59 of the PSR.
 3
4
                       I will not impose a fine, as whatever assets
        Mr. Madoff has, as to whatever assets may be found, they shall be applied to restitution for the victims.

As previously ordered, I will defer the issue of
 5
6
         restitution for 90 days.
 7
 8
9
                       Finally, I will impose the mandatory special
         assessment of $1,100, $100 for each count.
10
                       Mr. Sorkin, any requests?
        Mr. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.
As you pointed out to one of the victims, you cannot designate a prison, but we would ask, based upon an analysis that we have done that in 75 percent of the cases recommendations made by the court are followed by the Bureau of Prisons, we respectfully request that your Honor recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that Mr. Madoff be designated to
11
12
13
15
16
17
18
         Otisville.
19
                       THE COURT:
                                        I will recommend to the Bureau of Prisons
         that Mr. Madoff be designated to an appropriate facility in the
20
21
22
         northeast region of the United States. MR. SORKIN: Thank you.
                       MR. SORKIN:
                                           Thank you.
23
                                        Ms. Baroni?
24
                       MS. BARONI:
                                          Two issues.
                                                                If you can specifically
25
         incorporate by reference the forfeiture order of Friday,
                                SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                           51
         96T8MAD2
         pronounce it as part of the sentence.
THE COURT: The forfeiture o
 234567
                                         The forfeiture order is hereby
         i ncorporated.
                       MS. BARONI:
                                           Special assessment.
                       THE DEFENDANT: I did the special assessment of
         $1, 100.
                       MS. BARONI:
                                           Thank you.
                       THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, please stand one more common Mr. Madoff, you have the right to appeal at least
 8
                                         Mr. Madoff, please stand one more time.
10
         certain aspects of this judgment and conviction. If you wish
         to appeal, you must do so within ten days. If you cannot afford an attorney, the court will appoint one for you.
11
12
                       We are adjourned.
13
                       (Adj ourned)
14
```

우

2

### 

Transcript of Madoff Plea Hearing before Judge Chin held 6-29-2009. TXT
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P. C.
(212) 805-0300

# **Exhibit 4**

	98B6DIP Plea	a
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	₹
2		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	. 09 CR 764 (RJS)
4	V.	. 09 CR 764 (RUS)
5	FRANK DIPASCALI,	
6	Defendant.	x
7		New York, N.Y. August 11, 2009
8		3:15 p.m.
9	Before:	
10		ADD T CHILITIAN
11	HON. RICHA	ARD J. SULLIVAN,
12		District Judge
1		
13	AP	PEARANCES
13 14	LEV L. DASSIN	
	LEV L. DASSIN United States Attorney : Southern District of New	For the
14	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney of Southern District of New MARC LITT  LISA BARONI	For the w York
14 15	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney : Southern District of New MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States	For the w York
14 15 16	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney of Southern District of New MARC LITT  LISA BARONI  Assistant United States  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI  Attorneys for Defendant	For the w York
14 15 16 17	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney : Southern District of New MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL	For the w York
14 15 16 17	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney of Southern District of New MARC LITT  LISA BARONI  Assistant United States  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI  Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY	For the w York
14 15 16 17 18	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney : Southern District of New MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER	For the w York
14 15 16 17 18 19	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney Southern District of New MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER DANIEL S. CONNOLLY  Also Present:  Special Agent Keith D. Kelly	For the w York
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney Southern District of New MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER DANIEL S. CONNOLLY  Also Present:  Special Agent Keith D. Kelly Special Agent Julia Hanish Special Agent Steven Garfinke	for the w York Attorney
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LEV L. DASSIN  United States Attorney Southern District of New MARC LITT LISA BARONI Assistant United States  BRACEWELL & GIULIANI Attorneys for Defendant MARC L. MUKASEY CRAIG S. WARKOL JAMIE RENNER DANIEL S. CONNOLLY  Also Present:  Special Agent Keith D. Kelly Special Agent Julia Hanish	for the w York Attorney

(In open court; case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All parties can state their appearances for the record, please.

MR. LITT: Good afternoon, your Honor. Marc Litt for the United States. With me at counsel table is Lisa Baroni, Keith Kelley of the FBI, Julia Hanish, and Steven Garfinkel of the FBI, and Natasha Ramesar of the U.S. Pretrial Services Office.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to each of you.

For the defense.

MR. MUKASEY: Good afternoon, your Honor. Marc Mukasey from the law firm Bracewell & Giuliani for the defendant Frank DiPascali, who is seated to my left. With me are Dan Connolly, Craig Warkol, and Jamie Renner.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to each you and to Mr. DiPascali.

Let me just get a little bit of background so it is clear since this is the first appearance of anyone on this case. On Friday I received a letter from the government, Mr. Litt and Ms. Baroni, advising me that the defendant, Mr. DiPascali, was prepared to waive the indictment and plead guilty pursuant to an information in this case.

The government also included a notice of intent to file an information as opposed to an indictment, as well as a motion pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3771

regarding the right of victims. The government also provided a disclosure statement setting forth a list of potential victims of the criminal activity alleged in the case. This statement contained a 61-page, single-spaced list of victims which the government conceded was not an exhausted or complete list but was a list that they had been able to put together over the course of the investigation.

Mr. Litt, so far so good?

MR. LITT: Yes. I believe it is only institutional victims, corporate parties.

THE COURT: That's right. 61 pages of institutional victims.

In light of this fact that this case has not been assigned a docket number, it will not receive a docket number today after the defendant formerly waives indictment and pleads here in open court.

The government requested that I issue an order directing that its letter of August 7th be posted and the other materials I mentioned be posted on the web page created by the U.S. Attorney's Office for Madoff related cases. In the government's view this was the most practical and efficient way to notify potential victims of today's proceeding. So I issued such an order on Friday, August 7th.

Late yesterday afternoon I received a copies of a proposed information, a plea agreement, as well as a letter

98B6DIP

Plea

from the government setting forth the bail conditions proposed by the parties in this case. I ordered that the last of these be posted similarly on the and U.S. Attorney's Office web page page. The information and plea agreement will presumably be posted today presuming the defendant waives indictment and executes the agreement that I received a draft of yesterday.

To ensure at least some notice to the victims, including those who may be present here today. I directed the

To ensure at least some notice to the victims, including those who may be present here today, I directed the government to summarize and post on the web page the charges contained in the information and the nature of the proposed plea agreement between the parties.

So are there any other additional facts that I left out, Mr. Litt?

MR. LITT: I don't believe so. No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, anything you think is relevant to the record?

MR. MUKASEY: No, Judge. I think that is it.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, I understand that your client wishes to plead guilty pursuant to the information that has been drafted and provided to me, is that correct?

MR. MUKASEY: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, before I accept your guilty plea -- you can sit for the moment. Before I accept your guilty plea, I am going to ask you certain questions to ensure first of all that you are pleading guilty because you are

98B6DIP

Plea

guilty and not for some other. And also to make sure that you fully understand your rights, your Constitution and statutory rights, including your right to a trial.

So if at any point during the course of my questioning you don't understand my question or require some further elaboration on my part, let me know and I will do everything to clarify. If at any point you wish to confer with Mr. Mukasey or your other attorneys, that is perfectly fine. I will give you as much time as I need. I don't want you to feel rushed into a plea in this matter.

At this point I am going to ask Ms. Levine to administer the oath. This is an oath that I ask you to rise for. This is an oath that you will answer truthfully my questions.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
(Defendant sworn)

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, having taken that oath, do you understand that any false answers to my questions could subject you to the penalties for perjury or for making a false statement, which would carry separate penalties and be accept and distinct from any of the crimes charged in the information in this matter?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Again, if at any point you wish to confer with your attorneys before answering, that is fine. If at any

	98B6DIP Plea
1	point you would like me to clarify a question before answering,
2	that is also fine. In fact, you should do that. But don't
3	make any false statements because that will compound any
4	problems that you may already have.
5	THE DEFENDANT: Understood.
6	THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, could you state your full
7	name for the record?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Frank DiPascali, Jr.
9	THE COURT: How old are you, Mr. DiPascali?
10	THE DEFENDANT: 52.
11	THE COURT: How far you go in school?
12	THE DEFENDANT: High school.
13	THE COURT: Where was that?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Archbishop Malloy High School in
15	Briarwood, Queens.
16	THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been
17	under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist?
18	THE DEFENDANT: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for any type of
20	mental illness or any type of addiction, including drug or
21	alcohol addiction?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
23	THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs or any medicine
24	or any pills or have you drunk any alcohol in the past 48

hours?

ļ	98B6DIP Plea
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Tell me about that.
3	THE DEFENDANT: I had a glass of wine at dinner the
4	night before last.
5	THE COURT: The night before last?
6	THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.
7	THE COURT: No medication, no pills, no drugs of any
8	kind?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
10	THE COURT: No other alcohol?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
12	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Crystal clear, sir.
14	THE COURT: Do you under the nature of this proceeding
15	and what is going to take place here today?
16	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, do you have any doubt as to
18	your client's mental competence to enter an informed plea at
19	this time?
20	MR. MUKASEY: None whatsoever.
21	THE COURT: Let me ask Mr. Litt and Ms. Baroni if they
22	share your confidence in that regard.
23	Mr. Litt?
24	MR. LITT: We do. We have no reason to think
25	otherwise.

98B6DIP

Plea

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. DiPascali's responses
to my questions, my observations of his demeanor, and on the
representations of his counsel and the prosecutors, I find that
Mr. DiPascali is competent to enter an information plea at this
time.
Now, Mr. DiPascali, as I understand it you wish to
plead guilty to an information, is that correct?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Have you had enough of an opportunity to
discuss this information and the charges contained in it with
your attorney, Mr. Mukasey?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Are you satisfied with Mr. Mukasey's
representation of you?
THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely.
THE COURT: Do you feel you need or require any
additional time to review the information or review any of the
other documents associated with this matter?
THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
THE COURT: Now, have you received a copy of the
information that I've been referring to?
THE DEFENDANT: I have.
THE COURT: Have you read it yourself?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney

	98B6DIP Plea
1	Mr. Mukasey?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: Do you waive the public reading of that
4	information, or would you like me to read it to you here in
5	open court?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I would prefer it to be waived.
7	THE COURT: You will waive the public reading. That's
8	fine.
9	Now, do you have in front of you I don't know
10	whether you have the original in front of you a waiver of
11	indictment form?
12	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
13	THE COURT: Is that your signature on that document?
14	THE DEFENDANT: It is.
15	THE COURT: When did you sign that?
16	THE DEFENDANT: About 15 minutes ago.
17	THE COURT: Prior to signing that document had you
18	reviewed the information in this case and discussed it with
19	Mr. Mukasey?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, is your signature on that
22	form as well?
23	MR. MUKASEY: It is, Judge.
24	THE COURT: And prior to signing it, did you review
25	the information and discuss it with your client?

98B6DIP

Plea

Extensively. 1 MR. MUKASEY: THE COURT: Now, I want to make sure you understand, 2 Mr. DiPascali, that you have a right, a constitutional right, 3 to proceed by way of an indictment, which is a charging 4 instrument returned by a grand jury rather than an information, 5 which is simply a charging instrument brought by prosecutors. 6 7 Do you understand that? I do. Under the Constitution you have a 8 THE COURT: right to have evidence underlying the crimes charged in the 9 information brought before the grand jury, which is a group of 10 23 citizens who would decide by majority vote whether probable 11 cause had been established to demonstrate that you had 12 committed the crimes charged in the charging instrument. 13 Do you understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 15 THE COURT: Only if the grand jury reached that 16 17 determination of probable cause by a majority vote with a proper quorum of grand jurors present could those charges be 18 19 returned against you. Do you understand that? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. By waiving indictment, you will be giving 22 THE COURT: up that right and you will be agreeing to go forward on the 23 charges contained in the information without ever having the 24

evidence brought before a grand jury.

	98B6DIP Plea
1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: Are you voluntarily and freely giving up
4	that right to proceed by a grand jury?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely.
6	THE COURT: Now, I want to explain to you your other
7	constitutional rights. Have you had a chance to review with
8	your attorney, Mr. Mukasey, a three-page document probably
9	entitled Advice of Rights Form that should have been provided
10	to you by my chambers?
11	THE DEFENDANT: I have.
12	THE COURT: Is your signature on the second page of
13	that document?
14	THE DEFENDANT: It is.
15	THE COURT: Before you signed that document, did you
16	review it carefully with your attorney, Mr. Mukasey?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we did.
18	THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity discuss with
19	him any questions you may have had or any further explanation
20	of the rights described in that document?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Thoroughly.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, did you sign the third page?
23	MR. MUKASEY: I did, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Before signing it, did you have a full and
25	extensive opportunity to discuss the rights described in that

document with your client?

MR. MUKASEY: Yes.

THE COURT: I am going to mark that as a court exhibit. I will mark it as Court Exhibit 1. I will date it and I will initial it.

I am also going to ask you in open court, Mr. DiPascali, some questions about the rights that are contained in this document. The reason I do that is because these rights are so vitally important and it is so essential that you understand these rights because they are there rights that you will would be waiving. In addition to this document, I want to make sure you have had an ample opportunity to consider them so I will ask you questions that may seem redundant but I think is it a price worth paying for rights that are this serious.

Mr. DiPascali, under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you would be entitled to a speedy and public jury trial on the charges contained in the information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: At trial you would be presumed to be innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

	98B6DIP Plea
1	THE COURT: Now, at trial a jury of 12 people would
2	have to agree unanimously that you were guilty before you could
3	be found guilty.
4	Do you understand that?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: You would not have to prove that you were
7	innocent if you went to trial.
8	Do you understand that?
9	THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
10	THE COURT: The jury would have to be persuaded beyond
11	a reasonable doubt and they would have to be persuaded
12	unanimously of that fact before you could be found guilty.
13	Do you understand that?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: Now, at trial and at every stage of your
16	case, you would be entitled to be represented by an attorney
17	and if you couldn't afford an attorney, one would be appointed
18	for you at no cost to you.
19	Do you understand that?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: During a trial, the witnesses for the
22	government would have to come into court and testify in your
23	presence.
_ ,	

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It is called your right to confront your accusers. It is the confrontation clause of the Constitution. What that means is the witnesses would have to come and sit right here or in a box like it if it were in a different courtroom and you would be able to see them and hear them and they would be able to see you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At trial your attorney Mr. Mukasey would have an opportunity to cross-examine those witnesses.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I have seen him do it. He is really good at it. You would have that opportunity.

THE DEFENDANT: That is why I sit next to him.

THE COURT: He would also have an opportunity to object to the government's evidence if he wished and if he felt appropriate.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: At trial you would have the right to have subpoenas issued, or other compulsory process used to compel witnesses to testify if you wished.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

98B6DIP

2.3

Plea

THE COURT: If there are witnesses who you felt had valuable testimony, valuable to your defense and they didn't wish to testify, you could compel them to testify through subpoenas.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: At trial you yourself would have the right to testify if you chose.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Would you also have the right not to testify if you chose not to testify. If you chose not to testify then no one, particularly the jury, could draw any negative inference or any suggestion of your guilt by virtue of the fact that you chose not to testify.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I would tell the jury that more than once. I tell them at the beginning and I would tell them in the middle and at the end that this was a fundamental right and principle of bedrock proportions in our constitutional system, that the criminal defendant never has any obligation to do anything at a trial. The burden also rests with the government. So if a defendant were not to testify, they could not and must not draw any negative inference against that

	98B6DIP Plea
1	witness by virtue of that nontestimony.
2	Do you understand that?
3	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
4	THE COURT: Now, do you understand that if you went to
5	trial and you were convicted at trial, you would then have a
6	right to appeal the jury's verdict if you wished.
7	Do you understand that?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: Now, if you plead guilty and if I accept
10	your guilty plea, you will give up your right to a trial and
11	all the other rights I have just described.
12	Do you understand that?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: The only exception to that would be your
15	right to counsel. That right would continue through your plea,
16	through sentencing, and through appeal if you wished to appeal.
17	Do you understand that?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: But the other rights that I just described
20	and are described in the document that we talked about before,
21	Court Exhibit 1, those would be gone. You would be waiving
22	those.
23	Do you understand that?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
<u> ۱</u>	THE COURSE De vous understand vous bases a might to

25

THE COURT: Do you understand you have a right to

change your mind even now?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: There are a lot of people here, and your lawyers are here, the government is here. That is well and good but if you want to go to trial, you have a right to go to trial and nobody will be upset with you and annoyed at you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, sir.

THE COURT: Do you nevertheless wish to go forward with your guilty plea at this time?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, do you understand that if you plead guilty and if I accept your guilty plea then you will be sentenced on the basis of that guilty plea among other things; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead guilty, there will be no appeal on the question of whether or not you committed the offenses to which you pled guilty; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you also understand if you plead guilty, I am going to ask you questions about what you did. I am going to ask you basically to give up your right not to incriminate yourself because I am going to need you to tell me

98B6DIP Plea what you did that makes you guilty of these crimes before I 1 2 will accept the plea; do you understand that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: I said a minute ago if you went to trial 4 you would have a right not to testify and that of course is 5 No negative inference could be drawn against you or 6 considered by the jury. If you are going to plead guilty then 7 I will need to be persuaded that you are pleading guilty 8 because you are guilty and not for some other reason. 9 So that is why I am going to ask you questions about what you did and 10 how that makes you guilty of the offense. 11 12 Do you understand? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Do you understand each and everyone of these rights, Mr. DiPascali? 15 16 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 17 THE COURT: Are you waiving your rights to a trial and 18 all the other rights I just mentioned? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: Now, the information that you have indicated you've read charged you in 10 separate counts. 21 22 Do you understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

I am not going to read it. The first count charges you with

24

25

THE COURT: I am not going to go through it in detail.

conspiracy to commit securities fraud, investment advisory fraud, falsify books and records of a broker/dealer, falsify books and records of an investment fund, mail fraud, wire fraud, money laundering all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371-72.

Count Two charges you with a substantive count of securities fraud violation of 15, United States Code, Section 78j(b), 78ff.

The third count charges you with investment adviser fraud, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 80b-6 and 80b-17.

The fourth count charges you with falsifying broker/dealer books and records in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78q(a) and 78ff as well as the regulation that is promulgated thereafter 17, C.F.R., Section 240.17(a)(3).

The fifth count charges you with falsifying investment adviser books and records in violation of 15, United States Code, Section 80(b)(4) and 80b-17 as well as a code section of the C.F.R.

Count Six charges you with mail fraud in violation of 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

Count Seven charges you with wire fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, 1343.

Count Eight charges you with money laundering in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2).

Count Nine charges you with perjury in violation of

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1621.

Count Ten charges you with income tax evasion in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

So those are the 10 counts.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: In addition the information contains two forfeiture allegations. The first calls for you to forfeit all property and proceeds deprived from the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Six and Seven for a total amount of \$170 billion.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes I do.

THE COURT: Billion with a "B."

And the second forfeiture allegation charges or contains an allegation which would call for you to forfeit all the property derived from the money laundering count, Count Eight. That is for a total amount of at least \$250 million.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I am going to ask the government now to state the elements of the offense. These are the things that the government would have to prove and the jury would have to

find beyond a reasonable doubt for you to be convicted on those counts of the information. These are the things that I will have to find have been demonstrated before I will accept the guilty plea on those counts. So I want you to listen very carefully as Mr. Litt or Ms. Baroni describes these elements. It may take a while frankly. But it is essential that you understand these elements.

I assume you have discussed the elements of these offenses with your attorney Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: In detail.

THE COURT: So, mr. Litt, do you want to go through the counts in the indictment and the elements? In the information. I think I said indictment. Information.

MR. LITT: With respect to Count One conspiracy, in order to prove the crime of conspiracy the government must establish each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the conspiracy charged in the information existed. In other words, that there was in fact an agreement or understanding to violate the law of the United States;

Second, that the defendant knowingly, willingly, and voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy charged;

Third, that any one of the co-conspirators knowingly committed at least one overt act in the Southern

District of New York in furtherance of the conspiracy during the life of the conspiracy.

Count Two, securities fraud. In order to prove the crime of securities fraud, the government must prove each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that in connection with the purchase or sale of a security the defendant did any one or more of the following:

- 1: Employed a device, scheme or artifice to defraud or,
- 2: Made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact which made what was said under the circumstances misleading or,
- 3: Engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller.

Second, that the defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud; and,

Third, that the defendant knowingly used or caused to be used any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

Count Three, investment adviser fraud. In order to prove the crime of investment adviser fraud, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the four following

elements:

adviser;

Plea

1

3

2

4

5

6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

First, that the defendant was an investment

Second, that the defendant either (A) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud clients and prospective clients, (B) engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon those clients and perspective clients, or (C) engaged in an act, practice, and course of business that was fraudulent, deceptive and manipulative;

Third, that the defendant devised or participated in such allege device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice, or course of business knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud;

Fourth, that the defendant employed such alleged device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice, or course of business by use of the mails or other instrumentality of interstate commerce.

Count Four, falsifying broker/dealer books and In order to prove the crime of falsifying broker/dealer books and records, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

First, that at the time of the alleged offense, Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities was a registered broker/dealer;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second, that that company failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and regulations; Third, that the defendant aided and abetted the failure of that company to make and keep accurate records; and Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully. Count Five, falsifying books and records of an investment adviser. In order to prove this crime, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following: First, that at the time of the alleged offense, the Madoff firm was an investment adviser; Second, that the firm failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and regulations; Third, that the defendant aided and abetted the failure of the firm to make and keep accurate records; Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully;

Fifth, that the offense involved the use of the mails and means of instrumentalities of interstate commerce.

In order to prove Count Six, mail fraud, the government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following:

First, that on or about the time alleged in the information, there was a scheme or artifice to defraud in order to obtain money or property by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the false or fraudulent statements and representations concerned material facts;

Third, that the defendant knowingly and willfully devised or participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud;

Fourth, that the United States mails or a commercial carrier were used in furtherance of the scheme specified in the information.

In order to prove the crime of wire fraud, Count Seven, the government must establish beyond a reasonable doubt the following four elements:

First, that at or about the time alleged in the information, it was a scheme or artifice to defraud in order to obtain money or property by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the false or fraudulent statements and representations concerned material facts;

Third, that the defendant knowingly and willfully devised or participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific

intent to defraud; and

Fourth, that interstate or foreign wire facilities were used in furtherance of the scheme to defraud and specified in the information.

In order to prove the crime of unlawful transportation of funds or monetary instruments with the intent to promote the carrying on of a specified unlawful activity --

THE COURT: This is what we are referring to as the money laundering count?

MR. LITT: Count Eight, money laundering count, the government must establish the following elements:

First, that the defendant transported a monetary instrument or funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, or to a place in the United States from or through a place outside of the United States;

Second, that the defendant did so with the intent promote the carrying on specified unlawful activity.

In order to prove Count Nine, perjury, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following elements:

First, that the defendant took an oath to testify truly before the Securities and Exchange Commission, a body authored by law to administer oaths;

Second, that the defendant made false statements

as to matters about which the defendant testified under oath and set forth in the information;

Third, that the matters as to which it is charged that the defendant made false statements were material to the issues under inquiry by the Securities and Exchange Commission; and

Fourth, that such false statements were willfully made.

To prove the offense of the attempting to evade or defeat a tax or the payment thereof, which is Count Ten, the government must prove the following elements:

First, that the defendant attempted to evade or defeat a tax;

Second, that additional taxes were due and owing by the defendant;

Third, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

I should point out that there are aiding and abetting charges as well with respect to the substantive counts set forth in Counts Two through Eight. So if the defendant caused or aided and abetted another in committing any of those crimes, he would be quilty as if a principal.

THE COURT: I think I may have neglected to mention the aiding and abetting counts.

You understand, Mr. DiPascali, in addition to the

substantive crimes charged after the conspiracy count, Counts

Two through 10, some of those, most of those also charge aiding and abetting so that even if you didn't commit the crime, it is alleged that you aided and abetted others to commit the crime and so each of the elements would have to be met for aiding and abetting; all right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand those are the elements of the offenses?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: It took a long time but is that consistent with what you discussed with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, do you agree those are the elements to the offenses in the information?

MR. MUKASEY: I do, Judge.

THE COURT: I want to go over with you, Mr. DiPascali, the maximum penalties you face for each of these offenses.

Count One, the conspiracy count, carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of either \$250,000, or twice the gross pecuniary or financial loss to persons, other than yourself, resulting from the offense, or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense, whichever is greatest of those three alternatives is the

Plea

maximum fine. In addition as part of your sentence, I can order restitution be paid to any victims and I can also order that you forfeit the proceeds or least -- Count One carries at least part of the forfeiture allegation, right?

MR. LITT: Yes.

THE COURT: In addition, Count One carries a mandatory special assessment of \$100. That is in addition to any fine or forfeiture or restitution.

Count Two, which is the securities fraud count, carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss as I previously described those things so whichever is greatest of those three, as well as restitution and forfeiture and \$100 special assessment. The 100-dollar special assessment would be mandatory.

Count Three, which is the investment adviser fraud count, carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of either \$250,000, or twice the gross pecuniary gain deprived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons, other than yourself, as well as restitution to any persons injured by this conduct, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Count Four, which is falsifying books and records of a

Plea

broker/dealer carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine again of the greatest \$5 million, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss to persons, other than yourself, from the offense, as well as restitution, and again a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Counts Five, which is falsifying books and records of an investment adviser carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$10,000, or twice the gross gain derived from the offense, or twice gross pecuniary loss to persons, other than yourself, resulting from offense, as well as restitution and again a \$100 special assessment.

Count Six is the mail fraud count. It carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, as well as restitution, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

County Seven, wire fraud, carries similar penalties. Again, a 20-year maximum term of imprisonment, a three-year term of supervised release, a maximum fine of the greatest of 250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss to persons, other than yourself, as well as a potential for restitution to any victims, and a \$100 special assessment.

Count Eight, which is a money laundering count,

Plea

carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$500,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gloss loss resulting from the offense, as well as restitution to any victims, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Count Nine carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, the perjury count, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Count Ten, which is the tax evasion count, carries a maximum term of imprisonment five years, a maximum term of supervised of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, whichever is the greatest, as well as restitution, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Do you understand those are the maximum penalties?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt, something you wanted to add?

Did I misstate something?

MR. LITT: Your Honor, with respect to Count Six, Seven, and Eight, mail fraud, wire fraud, and money laundering, forfeiture is also a possible penalty.

THE COURT: Yes. I was going to mention the forfeiture. I thought I did with respect to the individual

counts. Understand for those counts for which the forfeiture allegation as been set forth in the information, in addition to any fine, in addition to any restitution, in addition to any mandatory special assessment you could also be ordered to forfeit any of the proceeds from the offense.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: The maximum possible penalties combined would be a maximum term of imprisonment of 125 years.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The maximum special assessment, when you collectively add up would be \$1,000, as well as the fines and everything else I mentioned.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Are you a United States citizen, Mr. DiPascali?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand as a result of your conviction, you could lose certain valuable civil rights, including your right to hold public office, your right to serve on a jury, your right to vote, and your right to possess any kind of firearm; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

Plea

THE COURT: Now, are you serving any other sentences to day, state, federal or local at this time?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Now, with respect to supervised release, you should be aware that there are terms and conditions associated with supervised release. If you were to violate the terms of your supervised release, you then could be returned to prison for the full period of your supervised release and you would not get any credit for the good time on which you are on supervised release.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

not always clear. If I sentenced you to a term of imprisonment and then sentenced you to five years of supervised -- three years of supervised release, we will say, three years. What that means is after you have finished your prison sentence, you will be released and you would be supervised by the Probation Department. There will be conditions associated with your supervision including, among other things, that you not commit any further crimes, you not possess a firearm, you not use or possess any kinds of drugs, among other things.

Well, if for 35 months you were perfect, you did everything you were asked to do and then in the 36th month, the last month of supervised release you committed another crime,

or you possessed a firearm illegally, well, then I could violate your supervised release and I could return you to prison for three full years, the full term of supervised release even though for 35 out of 36 months you were perfect.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that parole has been abolished so you would not be released from prison any earlier as a result of parole?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Parole doesn't exist in the federal system. It exists in certain state systems, including New York State. It used to exist in the federal system and what that meant typically is a judge would impose a sentence usually of an indeterminate nature, five to 10 years, and someone else, a parole board typically, would determine when would be the appropriate time for the defendant to be released depending on whether or not they had been rehabilitated or are ready to resume life in the community. That is not a part of this federal system. So whatever sentence I impose is the sentence you will serve.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: The only exception to that is that you could receive up to 15 percent off for good behavior. That

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would be a determination made by Bureau of Prisons and it would be no more than 15 percent of the sentence imposed.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: I want to go over a few other things with sentencing. First of all, in terming what your sentence will be, that is a decision for me to make.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: For the Court and no one else.

So whatever your attorneys may have told you, whatever the government may have told you, whatever any one else may have told you, that is not binding on me.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: I will determine what is the appropriate sentence after reviewing the presentence report, after reviewing submissions made by you if you wish, made by the government, made by the victims of the offenses.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Only then will I decide what is the appropriate sentence.

Now, I also want to go over with you the current state of the law. Under the law I am required to consider certain

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

factors before imposing sentence. I will tell you quite candidly even if I weren't required to consider these things, I would consider them. These are the factors that I think any civilized society would take into account in imposing a sentence on another human being.

Those things include, among other things, your own personal history and background. It also includes obviously the nature and circumstances of the offenses to which you have offered to plea guilty. It includes the need for me to impose a sentence that reflects the seriousness of the offenses and the need to promote respect for the law.

Another objective for sentencing is deterrence, that is both general and specific deterrence. I would be obliged to fashion a sentence that prevents you from committing crimes of this sort or any other sort in the future and that also would have the effect of deterring others who might consider engaging in this kind of criminal conduct to think twice, general deterrence.

I would consider your own needs, your own rehabilitative needs, your own medical needs, your own educational needs, those things. For you and for any defendant who comes before me I would consider those things before imposing a sentence.

I would also consider the needs of the victims of these crimes to receive restitution. That is obviously an

Plea

important factor that would have to be considered in imposing a sentence.

I would also consider the United States Sentencing
Guidelines. Are you familiar with the Sentencing Guidelines,
Mr. DiPascali?

THE DEFENDANT: I am.

THE COURT: You have discussed those with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: In detail.

THE COURT: I am not going to go over them in great detail. The United States Sentencing Guidelines are a big book. A new edition comes out each year. This year's version is about 600 pages long. I am not going to go into it in any kind of detail. What these guidelines attempt to do is provide objectives and transparent criteria by which an individual and the criminal conduct that an individual engaged in can be evaluated.

These guidelines are advisory. They are not binding on me. I am not required to follow them. I am required to consider them and I will consider them. What they essentially do is that for each crime or type of crime, they provide a framework to assess the seriousness of that crime. There are two calculations that are done. First, is a offense level calculation. For financial frauds, for example, the calculation would focus on the amount of loss involved, the

2.0

number of victims, the nature of the offense. And for each of those factors, it would be numerical value ascribed. And so in calculating these things, the Court would basically do math and come up with a number, which would be the offense level.

In addition there is a separate calculation for criminal history category and so not surprisingly a person engaged in other criminal conduct who has prior convictions and prior sentences would be treated more seriously and would get more criminal history points than someone who has no criminal history. On the basis of those two calculations, offense level on the one hand and criminal history category on the other, the guidelines comes up with a range in terms of months which in the view of the Commission that prepares these guidelines would be appropriate in the ordinary case.

So as I said I will consider those calculations, I will make calculations, and I will certainly consider the range that is provided for and proposed by these guidelines. At the end of the day, ultimately I don't have to follow them and I am free to go higher or lower as I see fit.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Finally, I want to make sure that you understand whatever sentence I impose no matter how unhappy you may be with it, you will not be entitled to withdraw your guilty plea at that point and go forward with the trial.

	98B6DIP Plea
1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
3	THE COURT: You will be entitled to your opinion that
4	I got it wrong or I was too harsh, but you would not be able to
5	say I would like to turn the clock back to August 11th and go
6	to trial now because that option will have gone.
7	Do you understand that?
8	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
9	THE COURT: I understand there is a plea agreement in
10	this case, is that correct?
11	MR. MUKASEY: That's correct.
12	MR. LITT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Is the original with you, Mr. Mukasey?
14	MR. MUKASEY: It is, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: You can keep that there for the moment. I
16	received a draft. I have not received an executed or signed
17	copy. Let me make sure it is the same as what you have. Bear
18	with me for a second.
19	(Pause)
20	It is an August 11th letter. It is a seven-paged,
21	single-spaced letter. It is from the government, Ms. Baroni
22	and Mr. Litt, to Mr. Mukasey, your attorney.
23	Do you have a copy of this in front you, or the
24	original in front of you?
25	THE DEFENDANT: I have the original.

	98B6DIP Plea
1	THE COURT: Is your signature on the last page?
2	THE DEFENDANT: It is.
3	THE COURT: When did you sign it?
4	THE DEFENDANT: 35 minutes ago.
5	THE COURT: Before you signed it, did you have an
6	opportunity to read this agreement?
7	THE DEFENDANT: In detail.
8	THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity discuss it
9	with Mr. Mukasey in detail?
10	THE DEFENDANT: We have.
11	THE COURT: Do you require any additional time to
12	review this agreement?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, is that your signature on the
15	last page as well?
16	MR. MUKASEY: It is, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Before you signed it, did you review this
18	agreement in detail with your client?
19	MR. MUKASEY: Yes, we did.
20	THE COURT: Now, Mr. DiPascali, I am not going to go
21	over this in tremendous detail because as I said it is a
22	seven-paged, single-spaced letter. I want to make sure you
23	understand the nature of this agreement. This agreement is
24	what is known as cooperation agreement.
25	Is that your understanding?

1 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: By this agreement you have agreed to cooperate with the government and to take on certain obligations that are set forth in this agreement.

Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The government has agreed if you provide substantial assistance, well, they will make a motion to the Court to apprise me of that assistance, which would then allow me for sentence you below the Sentencing Guidelines. So that is essence what the agreement is.

Is that your understanding?

THE DEFENDANT: Exactly, sir.

THE COURT: Is there any other agreement that you have besides this one, besides this August 11th agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Is there any other oral agreement or any side agreement that exists beyond the confines of these seven pages?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Has anybody attempted to threaten you or induce you or otherwise persuade you to plead guilty to the charges contained in the information or to accept and sign this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Plea

1 THE COURT: You signed it of your own free will and 2 voluntarily? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I have. THE COURT: Now, I want to make sure you understand if 4 5 the government decides that you provided substantial assistance -- as I say they can make this motion -- I am not 6 required to follow it and I will still ultimately decide what 7 8 is the proper sentence. 9 Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 11 Now, if the government decides that you THE COURT: did not provide substantial assistance, then that may limit my 12 ability to sentence you below the guidelines. As I said the 13 guidelines are just advisory so it ultimately wouldn't bar me 14 15 from doing so. There was a time when it would have, but it wouldn't today. Certainly the government has the exclusive 16 right to decide whether or not you provided substantial 17 18 assistance under this agreement. 19 Do you understand that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, are you aware of any of valid 21 defense that would apply as a matter of law or any other reason 22

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, are you aware of any of valid defense that would apply as a matter of law or any other reason why your client should not be allowed to enter a plea at this time?

MR. MUKASEY: No, Judge.

23

24

25

Plea

THE COURT: Now, at this point I am going to ask you to stand, Mr. DiPascali. I want you to tell me in your own words what it is that you did that makes you guilty of the offense -- excuse me -- the offenses charged in the information. So since there are 10 counts, this may take a while. I am not sure if you discussed with your lawyer how is the best way to do this, either count by count or groups of counts.

Mr. Mukasey, do you have a view?

MR. MUKASEY: Judge, we have we have worked together to prepare a statement that I think covers all the counts. I think we can have Mr. DiPascali read it if that is okay with the Court. I think that he will hit all the elements of all the counts. If you would like he can advise the Court of the counts that he is about to discuss if that is helps focus the Court.

THE COURT: Whatever you think is most appropriate.

There is nothing wrong with reading a statement, Mr. DiPascali, as long as they are really your words. It is not usual a defendant in your position would work with their attorney to prepare a statement that would be their allocution to crimes charged in the information. But it is important that they be your words and that is something you are just reciting or reading.

I may ask you some questions or interrupt along the

way. If you have a statement, why don't we start with that and we will see if it is necessary to follow up in certain areas.

MR. MUKASEY: May I have one moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

While you are conferring if you could hand to

Ms. Levine the plea agreement, I will mark that as a court

exhibit. I will mark that as Court Exhibit 2. I will initial
and date it as well.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, are you ready?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Let me ask you to read slowly so the court reporter can get it down. We have very good court reporters, probably the best in the world, but there are limits to what 10 fingers can do. I frequently speak too quickly and they are too polite to remind me, but I will remind you.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.

I am standing here today to say that from the early 1990s until December of 2008 I helped Bernie Madoff, and other people, carry out the fraud that hurt thousands of people. I am guilty and I want to explain a little bit about what I did and how I want everybody everyone to know that I take responsibility for my conduct.

Judge, I started working for Bernard Madoff Investment Securities in 1975 right after a graduated from high school. I

Plea

was a kid from Queens. I didn't have a college degree. I didn't know anything about Wall Street. I ended up spending the next 30 years working for Bernie Madoff and his firm until December 11th, 2008.

Over the first 15 or so years at the Madoff firm, I had a bunch of different jobs. I worked a research analyst, and options trader, and a guy who basically did whatever I was told to do around the office.

In 1987 I helped move our firm from the office at 110 Wall Street to our new office at 885 Third Avenue. Eventually Bernie Madoff's investment advisory business, which managed client accounts, took space on the 17th floor of 885 Third Avenue and I became sort of a supervisor of that floor.

During that first 15 or so years, I watched Bernie
Madoff and other people at the firm. I learned how the
securities industry worked, or at least how it worked in the
Madoff universe. I thought I worked for a prestigious and
successful securities firm.

By 1990 or so Bernie Madoff was a mentor to me and a lot more. I was loyal to him. I ended up being loyal to a terrible, terrible fault. By the early 1990s Bernie Madoff had stable clients whose accounts he managed as an investment adviser. He attracted a lot of these clients by telling them that the firm would apply a hedged investment strategy to their money. The clients were told that the strategy involved

purchasing what we call basket of blue chip common stocks.

Hedging those investments by buying and selling option

contracts, getting in and out of the market at opportune times

and investing in government securities at other times.

By 2008 Bernie Madoff had thousands of clients who believed their funds were being invested this way. For years I was a main point of contact for many of those clients when they had questions about their account.

From at least the early 1990s through December of 2008, there was one simple fact that Bernie Madoff knew, that I knew, and that other people knew but that we never told the clients nor did we tell the regulators like the SEC. No purchases of sales of securities were actually taking place in their accounts. It was all fake. It was all fictitious. It was wrong and I knew it was wrong at the time, sir.

THE COURT: When did you realize that?

THE DEFENDANT: In the late '80s or early '90s.

I would like to address some of the counts in the information. Regarding Count One, conspiracy; Count Two, securities fraud; and Count Three, investment adviser fraud.

From our office in Manhattan at Bernie Madoff's direction, and together with others, I represented to hundreds, if not thousands, of clients that security trades were being placed in their accounts when in fact no trades were taking place at all.

Plea

THE COURT: How did you do that? Through documents or through oral communications?

THE DEFENDANT: Both.

THE COURT: Both.

THE DEFENDANT: Most of the time the clients' money just simply went into a bank account in New York that Bernie Madoff controlled. Between the early '90s and December '08 at Bernie Madoff's direction, and together with others, I did follow things: On a regular basis I told clients over the phones and using wires that transactions on national securities exchanges were taking place in their account when I knew that no such transactions were indeed taking place. I also took steps to conceal from clients, from the SEC, and from auditors the fact that no actual security trades were taking place and to perpetuate the illusion that they actually were.

On a regular basis I used hindsight to file historical prices on stocks then I used those prices to post purchase of sales to customer accounts as if they had been executed in realtime. On a regular basis I added fictitious trade data to account statements of certain clients to reflect the specific rate of earn return that Bernie Madoff had directed for that client.

Regarding Count Six, mail fraud, on a regular basis I caused the U.S. mail to be used to send fraudulent account statements to clients from our office in Manhattan. The

Plea

98B6DIP

account statements listed security transactions that had supposedly taken place in the client accounts, although I knew that no such transactions had indeed taken place. For example, in December of 2008, I caused fake accounts statements to be mailed from the Madoff firm to a client in Manhattan.

Regarding the wire fraud, or Count Seven, on a regular basis I caused money to be wired from bank accounts in that New York to bank accounts in London, and other places abroad. For example, in March of '07 I caused about \$14 million to be sent by wire from a bank account in London to a bank account in New York in furtherance of this fraudulent scheme.

THE COURT: How did it further this scheme?

THE DEFENDANT: Bernie Madoff was trying to present the scenario, Judge, to regulators and others that he was earning commission income on these fictitious trades in order to substantiate the ruse. He had me wire funds -- excuse me. He had our London office wire funds to New York that represented the theoretical amount of those commissioned incomes, had the regulators come in and added up all the tickets, if you will, to see our customer commissions. And in the example I cited in that particular instance, we would have had we actually done those trades earned \$14 million in commission income. So he had the London office wire to the New York office a figure of about \$14 million.

THE COURT: What was your role in connection with

Plea

those wire transfers?

THE DEFENDANT: I calculated the theoretical commissions and advised the London office where to send the money.

On Count Eight, sir, international money laundering, between 2002 and 2008, I caused money to be wired from a Madoff firm bank account in New York to a Madoff account in London, which again was used to continue this fraud. I participated in falsifying documents that were required to be made and kept accurately under the SEC rules and regulations, including ledgers, trade blotters, customer statements, and trade confirmations.

On Count Four and five, falsifying broker/dealer books and records and falsifying investment adviser books and records, between 2004 and 2008 the firm was a registered broker/dealer. Between September '06 and December '08 when the firm was also a registered as an investment adviser, it was required to make accurate books and records under the SEC rules. In January of '06, together with others, I used data from the Internet to create fake trade blotters that were made and kept and produced for the SEC.

In April of '08, together with others, I caused fake trade blotters, ledgers, and other books and records to be made and kept by the firm.

In order to discuss Count Nine, which is perjury, on

January 26, 2006, at Bernie Madoff's direction I lied to the SEC during testimony I gave under oath in Manhattan about the activities of the Madoff firm. My false testimony is set out in Paragraph 61 of the information.

I did all of these fraudulent activities, your Honor, in Manhattan.

THE COURT: Let me ask you about the perjury count.

There is a number of specifications of false statements, eight, in particular with an underlying portions which I gather are the false or allegedly false statements. Is your statement here today that the underlying portions set forth in pages 41 through 43 were in fact false statements?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At the time you uttered these statements -- this is a transcript of your testimony, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: It is indeed a transcript describing the Madoff trading operation, which I knew at time when I was describing it was entirely fraudulent.

THE COURT: So you anticipated my next question. You knew at the time you made these statements that portions of the statements, in particular the underlying portions, were false?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You did this to mislead the SEC?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

	98B6DIP Plea
1	THE COURT: For what purpose?
2	THE DEFENDANT: To throw them off their tracks, sir.
3	THE COURT: Did you have a sense they were on the
4	track?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: At that point?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: These statements were made all on one
9	occasion, January 26, 2006?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: And where was that?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Down at the SEC offices in the World
13	Trade Center.
14	THE COURT: World Financial Center?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
16	THE COURT: I interrupted you. I think you were
17	proceeding on to another count.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Judge, thousands of clients,
19	institutions, individuals, funds, charities were all misled
20	about the status of their accounts, what was being done with
21	their money, and what their accounts were worth.
22	In order to discuss Count Ten, which is tax evasion,
23	let me say that in the years 2002, 5, 6 and 7, I evaded federal
24	taxes that I owed by putting some of my income in the name of a
25	corporation I controlled and that I didn't fully and truthfully

report my income on my federal income tax returns.

Your Honor, while this was going on, I knew no trades were happening. I knew I was participating in a fraudulent scheme. I knew what was happening was criminal and I did it anyway.

I thought for a long time that Bernie Madoff had other assets that he could liquidate if the clients requested the return of their money. That is not an excuse. There is no excuse. I knew everything that I did was wrong and it was criminal and I did it knowingly and willfully. I regret everything that I did. I accept complete responsibility for my conduct. I don't know how I went from an 18-year-old kid happening to have a job to before someone standing before the Court today. I can only say I never wanted to hurt anyone. I apologize to every victim of this catastrophe and to my family and to the government. I am very, very sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. DiPascali.

Let me ask you a couple questions about the tax fraud count.

This is the years between 2002 and 2007. It sound like it is 2002, 2005, 2006, and 2007; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And when you made the false statements to the IRS, where were you when you did that? This was in your tax returns you made these false statements?

Plea

THE DEFENDANT: In those years, sir, I did not file tax returns.

THE COURT: But at the time you either filed false tax returns or didn't file tax returns, you understood that you were liable for additional taxes, that you had hidden income so to speak through this company that you controlled?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did any of the fraud associated with the tax evasion take place in New York?

MR. MUKASEY: May I have one moment, your Honor?
THE COURT: Certainly.

(Pause)

MR. MUKASEY: Your Honor, with respect to the venue on Count Ten, I think it is fair to say that the evidence would show that the income that was evaded was earned in New York and the money was transferred into this corporation from an account in New York. To the extent that that establishes venue, we offer that for venue. If not, Judge, we are willing to waive venue as to Count Ten.

He is a resident of New Jersey. A lot of his personal accounting actions take place in New Jersey. So it is a movement of the money into this account that he controlled in the Southern District of New York. If it is not enough to establish venue, we will waive venue on Count Ten.

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, do you understand what

1 | Mr. Mukasey just said?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.

THE COURT: We didn't talk really about venue when we were going through the elements of the offense. These are the 10 elements that Mr. Litt described and these were things that would have to be demonstrated and proven beyond a reasonable doubt if you went to trial.

Each of the counts of the information also have a requirement that venue be established. The standard of proof for venue is lesser. It is by a preponderance. It means a little more than halfway basically. So what Mr. Mukasey has said is he thinks there is such basis for venue to be established on the tax evasion case; but if there weren't that you would you be prepared to waive venue, which you can do.

Is that your understanding? Is that what you wish to do?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Give me a minute. There are 10 counts here so I want to take a quick look to make sure we covered the elements.

While I am doing that, Mr. Litt, to your mind is that a sufficient allocution with respect to each of the 10 Counts of the information?

MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, do you agree?

Plea

I do, your Honor. MR. MUKASEY: 1 Mr. DiPascali, has one or two more sentences. 2 THE COURT: I am sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off, 3 Mr. DiPascali. 4 I wanted to make it very, very clear I THE DEFENDANT: 5 know my apology means almost nothing but I hope my actions 6 going forward with the government will mean something and I 7 promise to dedicate all my energy to try to explain to others 8 how this happened. I hope my help will bring some small 9 measure of comfort to those who have been harmed. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 12 (Pause) 13 THE COURT: Mr. Litt, let me ask you to summarize the 14 government's evidence if it were to go to trial. 15 Mr. DiPascali, let me ask you to listen carefully to 16 Mr. Litt as he summarizes the evidence and also as he 17 summarizes your role in these offenses. Because after he is 18 done, I am going to ask you if you take issue with or dispute 19 anything he just said. So I want you to pay close attention. 20 Yes, your Honor. If this case were to have MR. LITT: 21 proceeded to trial, the government would have proven through 22 testimony and evidence beyond a reasonable doubt the facts set 23 forth in the information. In summary, the government would 24 have proven that beginning at least as early as the 1980s, a 25

Plea

conspiracy existed between Mr. DiPascali, Mr. Madoff, and others, to commit securities fraud, investment adviser fraud, falsifying books and records of a broker/dealer and of an investment adviser, mail fraud, wire fraud, and money laundering.

Mr. Madoff's firm, Bernard L. Madoff Investment

Securities, LLC., was a registered broker/dealer throughout the

period and was a registered investment adviser between about

September 2006 and December 11th of 2008.

Mr. DiPascali worked at the firm in New York, New York, where the firm was located beginning in 1975. By the early 1990s, Mr. DiPascali was responsible under the direction of Mr. Madoff for a major part of the firm's investment advisory business. That part of the business purported to invest client funds in the basket of stocks from the S & P 100 hedged by options transactions. In fact, the evidence would demonstrate that Mr. DiPascali, Mr. Madoff, and others knew that no stocks or options were being purchased as had been promised to investors.

Mr. Madoff, Mr. DiPascali, and other co-conspirators made it appear as though clients' investments with the firm were profitable by sending those clients literally millions of pages of false account statements and trade confirmations through the U.S. mails. Those account statements and trade confirmations reported or purported to report transactions that

had been made up using historical price data and with the benefit of hindsight. None of the reported transactions were real.

In later years when revenues from other parties of Mr. Madoff's business declined, Mr. Madoff and Mr. DiPascali wired hundreds of millions of dollars of invested funds to a bank account in London and sent some of that money back to New York, New York to an operating account that funded other parties of Mr. Madoff's business. Among the uses of interstate wires -- I should say Mr. DiPascali used interstate wires in connection with the fraud both in speaking with investors located outside New York as well as to transfer money to and from bank accounts in New York, New York.

The government also would have proven that to conceal the fraud and to deceive the SEC under the direction of Mr. Madoff, Mr. DiPascali and other co-conspirators created false books and records, records that were required to be kept and maintained by the firm and were required by SEC regulation to contain true data.

THE COURT: Can I ask -- perhaps I should have asked Mr. DiPascali this -- is Mr. DiPascali an advisement adviser or broker/dealer licensed to do these things, or the firm does and he worked with the firm?

MR. LITT: He is not. He worked for the firm. And the aiding and abetting charges in the information cover that.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. LITT: As I was saying for the books and records, SEC rules and regulations require the firm to keep various books and records both in its capacity as a broker/dealer and as an investment adviser and Mr. Madoff, Mr. DiPascali, and others caused false and fraudulent records to be created, some of which were presented to the SEC as well. They did that to conceal the fraud and conceal some of the activities that the firm was engaged in.

At Mr. Madoff's direction Mr. DiPascali also committed perjury in sworn testimony from the SEC in New York. There are several instances of that set forth under the indictment.

THE COURT: Information.

MR. LITT: Sorry.

THE COURT: I did it before, too.

MR. LITT: In sum that false testimony disguised the nature and scale of the investment advisory business that the firm was engaged in.

Finally, the defendant attempted to evade federal income taxes by taking income through a nominee LLC, limited liability corporation, in which he controlled in failing to declare and pay taxes on that income.

THE COURT: Do you have a view with respect to venue on that count, Count Ten?

MR. LITT: I think the transfer of the money from New

York, New York probably is sufficient; but I think out of an abundance of caution I think a waiver of venue is appropriate and covers venue.

THE COURT: Is a waiver of venue contained in the agreement between the parties on Count Ten?

MR. LITT: It is not.

THE COURT: So that would be something that Mr.

DiPascali has agreed to waive venue on Count Ten but it is not contained in the agreement?

MR. LITT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, did you hear what Mr. Littjust said.

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: Do you disagree or take issue with any of his characterization of the facts or the evidence in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, I don't.

THE COURT: Have a seat.

At this time I had put out a couple sign-in sheets before to provide for victims if they wish to be heard on the issue of whether or not the plea should be accepted and the plea agreement should be accepted, and if they wish to speak later on the issue of bail and remand. I had one victim sign the sheet to be heard as to whether or not the plea and plea agreement should be accepted. That is Miriam seed man.

Is Ms. Seed man here?

98B6DIP Plea Ms. Seed man, would you still like to be heard on this 1 issue. 2 MS. SIEGMAN: I would. 3 THE COURT: Come up and use the lecturn if you don't 4 While I am here, if there is any other victim who wishes 5 to be heard on this issue, and this issue only, who did not get 6 a chance to sign, perhaps you can raise your hand now and I can 7 8 send the sheet your way. Is there anyone? 9 Let the record reflect that there is no other person 10 here in the courtroom has signified that they wish to speak as 11 a victim with respect to the issue of whether or not the Court 12 should accept the plea and the plea agreement. 13 Ms. Siegman, identify yourself for the record, speak 14 slowly, spell your name too, and then I am happy to hear you. 15 MS. SIEGMAN: Thank you, Judge Sullivan. 16 17 Can you hear me? 18 THE COURT: I can. MS. SIEGMAN: My name is Miriam Siegman. M-i-r-i-a-m, 19 last name, S-i-e-g-m-a-n. 20 THE COURT: I probably mispronounced your name. Ι 21 apologize. 22 MS. SIEGMAN: That's fine. 23

facing homelessness. I stand before you, Judge Sullivan, to

24

25

I am a 65-year-old Madoff victim now penniless and

Plea

ask that you consider rejecting this deal.

Criminal defendants in the Madoff case central to this murderous fraud want to cut deals by swapping information, naming names in exchange for lighter sentences. That, of course, is their right. To some victims, not all, but to some this behind-closed-doors bargaining seems to depend heavily on the quality and connectedness of the defendants' lawyers and a quest for expediency.

I ask, and others as well: Should these factors trump the victims and the public's need for the truth, the full truth that could come of a trial or the chance for victims to hear in open court evidence, witnesses' questioned, and cross-examined, or the chance to have brought before the mighty power of the Bench even the most exalted, the most highly placed, including government officials, and elected officials?

Has it been decided somewhere that a trial is too great a luxury, too much of an expense for the public quest for truth, too time consuming and bothersome or politically unpleasant?

Why, Judge Sullivan, am I asking you to consider my request to reject a deal? The crimes allegedly committed by Mr. DiPascali and already admitted to by Mr. Madoff, Mr. DiPascali's boss of 30 years, are enormous in scope. These crimes have affected thousands of men, women, and children, whole generations of families have been decimated, children,

parents, dependents who are ill. None of these victims knows how or why this has happened to them. The defendants won't say a word until today, even then very little, and the prosecutors have said very little to victims.

The alleged crimes were vast and systematic, executed with great attention to detail. The result total: Total destruction of normal daily life now and likely forever for thousands of us, certainly for me. Dazed, we are told that we have no need to know how the crimes against us were carried out. Today, a few tasty tidbits were thrown out into the court and I could see every snap to attention with a genuine interest in hearing those details and the need to know them.

Then there is the astonishing duration of the crime.

Mr. DiPascali was an employee of Madoff for 30 -- well over 30 years or around 30 years. The criminal enterprise went and on.

He, of course, had ample opportunity to do the right thing.

Victims have no idea how this could have been possible, this long duration. Though, there are hints of horrendous dereliction of duty within and outside of government and in the street. One sentence about lying to the SEC doesn't tell the story.

There is also the corrupting ripple effect of Mr.

DiPascali's alleged activities, activities which in the view of many victims encouraged and enabled criminal activity on the part of others, but we have learned nothing about how or why

Plea

and likely we will learn nothing about how or why.

And Finally, and perhaps the most troubling of all for me, the possible purchase of influence with the goal of protecting Madoff from investigation and legislation. Victims, and as importantly the American public, the little guy with a 401K or pension desperately need light to shine on this process. Victims want more than confirmation. They need information and knowledge. We want the kind of justice that allows the truth to be spoken out loud in a courtroom and we want to know that prosecutors will not conveniently pass over the too highly placed.

The crimes committed against me and others are life-shattering and they are forever. I want no others to suffer in this way. Judge Sullivan, you have the power to show the American people that justice works for victims and society as a whole as well as for defendants. Take the process out from behind closed doors and reject this plea deal.

In closing, if in the end you cannot, will you or someone help me and others victims understand why and how a plea deal will help them and the American public and allow men and women who run our public institutions to learn from this tragedy.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Siegman. I appreciate the time and attention you obviously put into preparing those

Plea

1 | remarks.

Is there any other victim that wishes to be heard?

Let me ask Mr. Litt or Mr. Mukasey if they wish to respond to anything that Ms. Siegman just said?

MR. LITT: Not at this time, your Honor, no.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: Simply that I think the allocution was sufficient for acceptance by the Court, Judge.

THE COURT: Ms. Siegman, I am certainly sensitive to the points you've made, but I think there is a difference between a criminal trial and a truth commission, which each may have their benefits to be sure. But I think a criminal trail is less ambitious than a truth commission.

Mr. Mukasey I think is correct in saying that the allocution that Mr. DiPascali gave is sufficient under the law and so the issue for me is there something manifestly unjust about the plea agreement or the plea that has been offered here. I don't believe that the request for the truth ends today. Certainly sentencing will not take place for several months. But before imposing sentence, I would expect to have more information than what I've heard today and what you've heard today. So I expect there will be more information and the Court will sentence on the basis of additional information. I assume that to be the case.

So in light of those remarks I will, I believe, accept

the plea. I have a few other things I would like to take up with Mr. DiPascali. I am certainly sensitive to your concerns, which I think are probably the concerns of many other people as well. Thank you for your time.

Let's me ask you now to rise again, Mr. DiPascali.

How do you now plead to Counts One through Ten of the information, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you do the things you are charged with doing in the information?

THE DEFENDANT: Indeed I did.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because you are guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of your own free will?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. DiPascali, because you acknowledge that you are guilty as charged in Counts One through Ten of the information, because you know your rights and are waiving those rights, because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent basis in fact for each of the elements of the offenses charged in the information, I accept your guilty plea and I adjudge you guilty on Counts One through Ten of the information.

	98B6DIP Plea
1	You may have a seat.
2	Mr. Litt.
3	MR. LITT: I would ask if the Court could inquire
4	about whether the defendant Mr. DiPascali admits to the
5	forfeiture allegations.
6	THE COURT: Fair enough.
7	Mr. DiPascali, let me also ask you about the
8	forfeiture allegations. There are two forfeiture allegations
9	in the information.
10	You indicated you have read them both, is that
11	correct?
12	THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.
13	THE COURT: Do you admit the facts that are contained
14	in each of the allegations?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
16	THE COURT: Is that sufficient, Mr. Litt?
17	MR. LITT: Yes, it is.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	Let's talk about sentencing now. The parties
20	requested the sentencing be put over for a number of months in
21	light of Mr. DiPascali's cooperation and had requested that I
22	set a control date in May of 2010.
23	Is that still the position of the parties?
24	MR. LITT: It is of the government, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: It is the position of the defense, Judge.

THE COURT: Tell me what exactly it is that you have in mind? So by that date I would get another submission or letter from the parties, or by that date we would have presumably a sentencing unless I adjourned it?

MR. LITT: Well, I think you would get a letter from the parties, your Honor.

THE COURT: On that date or a date before that date?

MR. LITT: On that date.

THE COURT: On that date. You expect that the cooperation will be going for at least that long?

MR. LITT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Or approximately that long?

MR. LITT: I would say at least.

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, that is your position as well?

MR. MUKASEY: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: May 15th. I will expect a letter from the government apprising the Court as to whether or not it is prepared to go forward with sentencing, and if not proposing another control date. Obviously Mr. Mukasey should be CC'd on any correspondence.

Let's talk about bail pending sentencing. Title 18, Section 3143(a) provides that a defendant shall be detained

following a plea or conviction, unless the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is not likely to flee. This is for the period between a jury's verdict or in the case of today a guilty plea and the sentencing.

So I had also had sign-in sheet to hear from any victims who wish to be heard on this sheet. No one has signed that sheet.

Does anyone wish to be heard with respect to bail or remand?

The parties have submitted a letter, which I referenced earlier. Bear with me while I am looking for it. I remember it well. It provided for a bond of \$400,000 -- excuse me, \$2.5 million to be secured by three financially responsible persons, to be secured with property of \$400,000 which would in essence be the equity value in the home of Mr. DiPascali's sister, surrender of all travel documents with no new applications permitted, and travel restricted to the Southern District of New York, Eastern District of New York, and here it says the District of Pennsylvania but Pennsylvania has more than one district, as well as regular pretrial supervision.

Is that the position of the parties?

MR. LITT: We meant to say the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the District of New Jersey, which is where the defendant lives.

THE COURT:

Plea

MR. MUKASEY: That's right.

THE COURT: I see. Anything else that the parties wish to say on this?

MR. LITT: No, your Honor.

MR. MUKASEY: Yes, your Honor.

Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: By agreement with Mr. Litt, subject to approval of the Court, we would ask for one week from today until August 18th to satisfy those bail conditions if they are acceptable to your Honor.

THE COURT: I am not sure they are. I should state that up front. Following a jury's verdict or following a guilty plea there is a presumption that the defendant will be detained, remanded pending sentencing. That is for a variety of reasons. That is the Bail Reform Act of 1984. I think everyone at the front two tables understands that.

So I want to explore that. It seems to me that this defendant has ample incentive to flee. I understand he is cooperating with the government, but the defendant is 52 years old. He is facing a maximum term of imprisonment of 125 years. Although the plea agreement has no guidelines calculation, I certainly have done an admittedly quick and dirty guidelines calculation. But based on just the fraud counts, it seems to me that the guidelines calculation comes out at a fairly astronomical place based on the amount of the loss involved,

Plea

based on the abuse of trust that was involved in the course of the schemes to which Mr. DiPascali admitted.

So it seems to me under the guidelines, even with the acceptance the guidelines would call for a range of mandatory life. Now, because the maximum sentence is not life but 125 years, under the guidelines before considering cooperation, before considering the Section 3553(a) factors, the guidelines would be recommending a life term. So that is certainly serious, serious consequences facing Mr. DiPascali.

Now, the bail package proposed here by normal standards would seem pretty considerable. There is 2.5 million dollar bond that would have three financially responsible persons and property that is valued -- at least the equity value is \$400,000. So by most standards that would be a pretty large package. But that amount is completely dwarfed by the amount of restitution and forfeiture in this case. \$170 billion is what the plea agreement provides for Mr. DiPascali to forfeit. So it would seem to me that a 2.5 million dollar bond thrown on top of that mountain doesn't count for much.

Now, the next argument would be that the financially responsible persons, the co-signers and Mr. DiPascali's sister would have some moral suasion over him, that he would be disinclined to flee or do anything that might put them at risk, and only that might be persuasive. But in this case there are thousands of victims who many of them lost more than \$2.5

Plea

million. So the fact that three more victims might be thrown on top of a long list of victims doesn't strike me as a terribly compelling basis to believe that Mr. DiPascali would be deterred from engaging in conduct that would constitute a violation of the terms of his bail or flight.

Now, the penalties for bail jumping are by most human standards considerable. It is five years' imprisonment, maximum, with a two-level enhancement for obstruction of justice on top of the guidelines calculation in this case. But here it would be again virtually meaningless. It would expand the maximum penalty from 125 years to 130 years. It would expand the guidelines calculation from what I think looks like a level 46 to a level 48. And for those of you unfamiliar with the guidelines level 43 is life. So you can't do much more than that.

So in light of this, the package strikes me as fairly symbolic and not terribly onerous in light of the other facts in this case. So it seems to me that it is really we are on an honor system. I am being asked to believe that Mr. DiPascali is not going to run away because he has turned his life around and that I should credit his statements here today that he is sorry for what he has done and he is committed to making amends to the best of his ability, which I can understand the sentiment. But the fact of the matter is the defendant's conduct which is admitted today doesn't give me great

Plea

confidence on that store.

Mr. DiPascali has admitted to a 20-year period of fraud in which he committed perjury to the SEC under oath. He maintained and manufactured false books and records that were designed to mislead regulators and auditors. He issued by his own account and the government's account literally thousands or even millions of statements to investors that were designed to mislead them and lull them into maintaining investments they had made or increasing the investments that they had made. The money laundering that is set forth in one of the accounts describes a fairly massive-scale scheme that continued as recently as December of 2008.

So I think all of that suggests to me that Mr.

DiPascali is not a good bet. I think the argument that I anticipate is that, Well, Mr. DiPascali understands that if he violates the terms of his bail then his cooperation agreement will be ripped up and any hope he would have for a sentence below the guidelines would be greatly diminished. I understand that as well. But I don't think it would be irrational for a defendant faced with the kind of sentence that Mr. DiPascali is facing to decide that maybe cooperation is not going to do it.

So all of this basically leads me to the statute, back to the statute which is that I need to be persuaded as the judicial officer that there is clearing and convincing evidence that he is not going to flee and at this point I am not really

73 Plea 98B6DIP there. 1 So let me hear from counsel if they want to be heard 2 3 on this. Mr. Mukasey. Thirty seconds, Judge, to confer? MR. MUKASEY: 4 THE COURT: Certainly. 5 (Pause) 6 Judge, if I could be heard on the issue. 7 MR. MUKASEY: THE COURT: Certainly. 8 Mr. DiPascali, your Honor, has known MR. MUKASEY: 9 that he has been under investigation that could put him in jail 10 for the rest of his life since December 11th, 2008. At that 11 time he was served with a grand jury subpoena. He has known 12 that this day was coming for probably eight months. 13 THE COURT: Can I interrupt you? 14 Because it seems to me that based on what has been 15 described to me is that Mr. DiPascali must have known that this 16 house of cards was going to come crashing down for years but it 17 didn't prevent him from doing what he did up until December of 18 2008. 19 MR. MUKASEY: As Mr. DiPascali mentioned, Judge, I 20 think that he always thought that there would be a safe landing 21 for many investors and it wasn't until really the end that he 22 learned the full truth of this. 23

I would like to address my comments really to his ties to the community and why I think notwithstanding your guideline

24

25

Plea

analysis, which is pretty darn accurate, and notwithstanding the really cataclysmic nature of the fraud here, I think Mr. DiPascali is a clear and convincing bet to return to court.

He has known he has been under investigation for the better part of nine months. He has been speaking with the U.S. Attorney's Office. He has been following the guidance by the FBI. I am not shy to say that I believe he has established a relationship with the agents of trust. He is where he is supposed to be when they ask him to be there. He has been at every proffer, at every meeting, and every location that he is supposed to be at. He understands that he has but one way to ever see the light of day and that is to satisfy the government that he is trustworthy person.

I think the government is signing him up to the cooperation agreement says something about their trust in him. He understands that he has got miles to go in terms of providing substantial assistance. He is here today and he has known he was going to be here today to try to reach that goal. He understands that he is working his way down from probably a life sentence. I anticipate Mr. DiPascali, and I think the government would back me up on this, to be a cooperator in a white-collared case in a historic nature, somebody who can pull the curtain back on a fraud and answer a lot of questions that Ms. Siegman wants to be answered and the whole world wants to be answered.

Plea

We would not have gone through what was really a grueling process to convince the government that he was a person worthy of its trust if we didn't want to see this through to the end. Mr. DiPascali does want to see it through to the end and that is why he came here and admitted in open court a fraud of 30 years or the better part of 20 or 30 years.

Let me talk a little bit, Judge, about Mr. DiPascali's background. He has in Bridgewater, New Jersey, four children. He comes from an extremely close family. In fact, this is the first time I have ever seen him discuss the fraud without breaking down and crying. He is an emotional person. He is not a person that would ever do anything to harm his family. I think that really in the back of his mind he wasn't convinced that investors would be hurt here. Of course they could have been. I am not sure he was convinced that they would have been had Mr. Madoff actually had assets to back this up.

Let me tell you a little bit about each of Mr.

DiPascali's kids and the relationship he has with them as well has his siblings. He has a daughter today who is starting

Brooklyn Law School. Yesterday he went with her to move her in. He is incredibly close with her.

He has three sons -- Frank, Jr., Greg, and Mike. The two young ones live at home. Mr. DiPascali is their world and he is their world. He supports his mother who is 77 years old and also lives in Bridgewater with one of his sisters. Then he

Plea

has the other sister who is willing to post her house to show her confidence in Mr. DiPascali.

I think that if the amount of the bond were raised and perhaps if we could somehow find additional security that that could be thrown on to the pile as well. There is not a bail package in the universe that is not without some risk, but I think this is a bail package that can be fashioned into a bail package that gives the Court comfort, like the government has comfort in Mr. DiPascali.

It is worth pointing out that since January Mr. DiPascali has been operating under, to use your term, the honor system with the government. They have never frozen his assets. They never seized his bank accounts. He voluntarily turned over to the U.S. Marshal some of his property. He is prepared to turn over his property. He has been operating since January on really a letter agreement with the government regarding his spending knowing that he would be ultimately subject to a forfeiture order. He has not violated the government's trust once.

Every month we report to the government the amount of money he is spending. They are keeping him on a tight leash and he is abiding by that. He has almost no assets that are not forfeitable. So as soon as the government moves to freeze the bank accounts and seize the bank accounts, he is not going to have disposable income. He doesn't have an ability to flee.

Plea

He doesn't have anywhere to go frankly. We turned over his passport. My office has kept the passport for months.

To the extent it is worth knowing, he has put hundreds of hours into preparing to proffer with the government, learning about what it means to be a government witness, learning what it means to accept responsibility and hopefully get a 5K1 letter because he wants it not because he wants to take off. He takes care of his mother. The Pretrial Services officer asked this morning, Do you speak to your mother and your sisters on a weekly basis? And he said, No, much more than that. He speaks to them not on a daily basis but sometimes on a multiple-times-in-a-day basis.

It sounds maybe odd stacked up against this fraud, but he is a family person. He doesn't travel. He doesn't go on lavish vacations. He is a homebody. He wants to cause no more pain to his kids. He sat in our office a couple nights ago explaining to his family the possibilities and the consequences. He has been straight up with them, he has been straight up with us, and he has been straight up with the government.

You can take this bet, Judge, Mr. DiPascali is here to do the right thing. He wants the 5K letter. It would be inane for him to flee and leave his family with nothing as it is.

They are going to have to endure very, very rough times. I don't think he thinks about it in terms of, Well, I am facing

Plea

life in prison, what is another two years if I get a bail jumping charge. He thinks of it in the opposite way, which is I am facing life in prison and I better show up every darn day if I am to avoid anything but that.

That is why we entered into the cooperation agreement. That is why we hope Mr. DiPascali can satisfy the Miriam Siegmans of the world and the government and this Court. I don't want to put anybody on the spot. I think the FBI agents would speak to diligence and his compliance and his ability to be trusted to continue to work with them. I think they would establish a very good relationship with him, professional and arm's length but very trusting. You can imagine the hill that the government faced in offering him a cooperation agreement. They I think came at this perhaps at the beginning with the same scepticism that your Honor does and he won them over. If your Honor releases him on an appropriate bail package, he will win your Honor over with trust and with compliance.

May I have one moment, Judge?

THE COURT: Certainly.

(Pause)

MR. MUKASEY: Thank you for hearing me, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt, anything you want to add?

MR. LITT: I would ask a couple of points that I think your Honor anticipated in the arguments. Mr. Mukasey hit on some of them.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Plea

Mr. DiPascali, we do believe has known since very early on -- December 11th, 12th -- that he was a key player in this and he was under investigation and has not fled. He has always cooperated with the government to date and appeared when called upon to do so. He showed up today knowing what the consequences of his actions today would be and certainly deserves credit for that.

He does appear to be close to his family and he does have significant ties to the community. The plea agreement that he has entered into gives him every incentive to appear and to try to fulfill the terms of that agreement because to do otherwise would likely confine him to the rest of his life in jail.

THE COURT: If he flees and you were able to get him back.

MR. LITT: Yes. That's right, your Honor.

With respect to the bail package and amount, the reason why there is less securities than there is in some other cases there might have been is related to the forfeiture issue and the fact that most of Mr. DiPascali's assets are subject to forfeiture. And over the coming weeks we expect to be presenting preliminary orders or forfeiture to your Honor which may be submitted any time prior to sentencing to start the process of accomplishing that. We have already to date confiscated some of Mr. DiPascali's assets.

2.2

Plea

Finally, the government believes that the assistance, if we did not believe that he could provide substantial assistance to the government, we wouldn't have entered into this agreement. We do believe that he can provide substantial assistance and we believe that his ability to do so would be hampered were he to be detained.

THE COURT: Why is that?

MR. LITT: This is a very documented intensive investigation, among other things. There are literally millions of pages of documents and data and computer equipment and the like that it will be very beneficial were he to be able to have access to provide the substantial assistance that we expect he will be able to do.

I think some of the concerns that your Honor has can be addressed in part through electronic monitoring and home detention if your Honor thinks that would add such an additional layer of surety about flight. There are flaws with that as your Honor well knows. There is no substitute in terms of assuring somebody's presence in court other than incarceration, but the government certainly believes that given his connections to the community, again his record with the government to date, the fact that he has not fled in the last eight months, the fact that he showed up today and admitted his guilt, exposed himself to 125 years of incarceration, that he has three family members who would be tremendously harmed were

he to flee if they were approved as cosigners on the package, that those things even without home detention and electronic monitoring in the government's view provide clear and convincing evidence in this case, and every case must be viewed on its own facts, to reasonably assure clear and convincing evidence that Mr. DiPascali would appear when required. Certainly those factors when necessary combined with home detention and electronic monitoring would do that.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt, I am looking at a submission you made to me in another case, which you reminded me that the Bail Reform Act of 1984 creates no general expectation of post verdict liberty. To the contrary, it establishes as a presumption in favor of detention. You then went on to remind me that "the interest in detaining defendants who have been found guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of such crimes also includes the need to encourage general respect for the law by signaling that a guilty person will not be able to avoid or delay imposition and service of the sentence prescribed by law."

So, look, let me say this: I have great respect for the lawyers in this room. I know them. I think they are good at what they do and I have great respect for them. Clearly they have taken the positions they take because they believe them and I don't disregard that lightly. On the other hand, I think everybody recognizes that each of us has an independent

2.2

Plea

role to play in this process.

Mr. Litt and Mr. Mukasey have focused on what Mr. DiPascali has done since December of 2008. I keep focusing on what he did for 20 or 30 years before that. I keep thinking of how many people put their trust in Mr. DiPascali and have lived to regret it deeply and I am frankly reluctant to put my trust in Mr. DiPascali. I don't see why he would anymore respect the oath he would take on a bail package than he would respect the oath he took in front of the SEC, another arm of the government. So I think we may have disagreement on this one.

I am not persuaded. Maybe I haven't heard enough about how remand would affect his ability to cooperate with the government. I think there are lots of individuals who are in custody who can still cooperate very effectively and work with law enforcement agents very effectively. On this record, in the length of time that this conspiracy went on, again the amount and nature of the misrepresentations to clients, to government entities, to auditors, I just cannot find by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. DiPascali does not pose a risk of flight. I just can't do it.

MR. MUKASEY: Judge, if I could add some facts to the record that might help persuade you that he is a trustworthy defendant. In terms of your concern about how he would be hampered from cooperating were he detained, not only he is going to cooperate with the U.S. Attorney's Office and with the

Plea

FBI, I can tell you from having been in these proffers, it is an extremely onerous process involving computers and documents and account statements and it requires the sort of 8-hour, 10-hour, 12-hour sessions that you just cannot have when you are remanded.

He is also going to be cooperating with the SEC, with the IRS, with any agency that wishes to speak with him, and we hope and we believe there will be a number of agencies both inside New York and outside New York that wish to speak with him. We've discussed among ourselves the Massachusetts Attorney General has been very, very active in this case.

Obviously he is a U.S. Attorney's Office cooperator, but I would hope that when the Madoff cases are going to spring up all over the country, Mr. DiPascali will be at least a very valuable witness to debrief to understand the operations and he is not a testifying witness. There are civil lawsuits that he may be able to help people out. I think he is going to be working chiefly with the FBI and SEC here in New York, but he can and is ready, willing and able to work with these other agencies.

The SEC of course have their limitations on where they can do and when they can go and when Mr. DiPascali can go if he were detained. I think it would seriously hinder his ability to work with the SEC. Part of what the world wants to know here is how did the SEC fail to catch this for lack of a better

Plea

phrase and just to use the terms of discussion of the day. I don't know the case that your Honor read back Mr. Litt's language to him but I would ask the Court consider whether that was a case of cooperation.

THE COURT: It was not.

MR. MUKASEY: And you make a good point obviously about --

THE COURT: You have to say that, Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: I am not saying I agree with everything. You make a good point about how do trust a guy who basically has been a fraudster for 25 years. Here is the answer: He lived in a universe for 25 years that he doesn't live in anymore. On December 11th he exited that universe. Once he got out of that universe -- by the way that universe was twisted and it was perverted, and it was almost impossible for somebody who wasn't living in that universe to understand. It was an alternative reality. It was not the kind of conspiracy where a bunch of people are down in the dungeon plotting how to rip off innocent old ladies. It wasn't like that.

Mr. DiPascali started with Mr. Madoff when he was 18 or 19 years old. He didn't know the way things run at Goldman Sachs. He didn't know the way things run at Morgan Stanley. So sat and watched and he learned and listened and at some point after several years I think a light went off, I think he said to himself, This is kind of a bizarre universe but this is

Plea

my universe. This is what Bernie tells me to do and this is what I am doing. By the way no one is going to get hurt at the end because Bernie Madoff has been telling me he has assets abroad and in real estate and in commodities that are going to make sure that all the clients' money will be able to be returned.

So he wasn't out there sort of ripping and robbing and stealing as you might think of it. He is guilty? 1,000 percent. No question about it. There is no way we could have a trial in this matter. He is absolutely guilty. He was living in a universe creating fake trade tickets and creating fake trade blotters. It is the way you did things. It was okay because Bernie was going to take care of it. Don't worry, Bernie will take care of it. That is how he went to sleep at night. That was the universe he was living in for 25 years, 20 years.

On December 11th he came to my office shaking, crying out of that universe. He stepped out of that universe and stepped into the real kind of world, the world that Ms. Siegman lives in, I live in, you live in. The world where you cannot create a fake trade ticket and say, Don't worry it is okay because no one is going to get hurt here. He realizes now, he is out of that universe. He is in a universe with laws and rules and regulations and oaths and promises and trusts.

I am happy to tell you that we had to knock hard on

Plea

98B6DIP

the door of the U.S. Attorney's Office to get Mr. DiPascali in there because they originally have the same -- I don't want to speak for them. I would imagine prosecutors in the real world have the same degree of scepticism. The guy was a fraudster for 25 years. How could I trust him? How can I put him on the witness stand? Well, you know what? He earned their trust. He now I think has their trust. Because he stepped out of the universe, the one that you are focusing on, the one that said you have wanton disregard for these victims and you have a 20-year history of fraud.

He is in a new world where he talks to the FBI agents almost every day. They were at his house yesterday. He goes to the U.S. Attorney's Office at his job. It is going be his full-time job. Never has never missed an appearance. He comes early. He stays late. He goes outside to smoke and gets a class of water. Otherwise he is in there looking at records, explaining history.

So you are right there was a world that he is going get punished for. He doesn't live in that world anymore. Now he is in this world. Now he is in the world where he has got to live up to what he says and he has to tell the truth or he is going to go right back to that world where the only other inhabitant is Bernie Madoff spending 150 years in prison.

THE COURT: I understand the arguments and the sincerity of what you are saying and what Mr. Litt is saying

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plea

that you have each reached a conclusion that Mr. DiPascali would do that. Perhaps you know him better than I. I don't think I can overlook the conduct that he admitted to today, which I think coupled with the seriousness of the penalties that he is looking at I think provides ample incentive to flee if cooperation doesn't look like it is going to pan out the way he thought, or as he gets closer to the day of sentencing that the harsh realities of a sentence for this conduct starts staring him in the face. I think in light of all facts and all the conduct in this case and in light that Mr. DiPascali has made false statements to the SEC and to others and in light of the fact for decades he made false statements to people that entrusted him with their life savings -- I am not going to trust him with his life savings. I am just hoping he shows up to court. People entrusted him with the life savings. unpersuaded respectfully.

MR. MUKASEY: Perhaps if we can add some heft to the bail package, something such as home detention.

THE COURT: Well, look, I don't rule out the possibility of the parties to make another motion. Based on what is before me today and based on the proposal that has been made jointly by the parties for bail, I am going to deny that request. I am going to remand Mr. DiPascali. It not designed to be punitive. Time for sentencing is later. It is designed really I think to meet the objectives of the statute in light

Plea

98B6DIP

1 | of the facts as I understand them.

Mr. DiPascali, it may not be what you wanted or expected today but --

MR. MUKASEY: May I have one moment to discuss one matter with the government?

THE COURT: Certainly.

(Pause)

MR. LITT: Your Honor, if I could at the risk of trotting over ground that we've covered, first with respect to the brief that your Honor mentioned that, as your Honor knows, was in a case following three and a half years of intense litigation and a nine-week trial, not a cooperator.

THE COURT: A man whose fraud, total fraud was tiny in comparison to this defendant's, right.

MR. LITT: Yes. Absolutely, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't want anyone to be in the dark here. I am referring to Mr. Litt's submission in the case of United States v. Alberto Villar, 05 CR 621.

MR. LITT: That's right. I guess what I am having difficulty articulating is it is essential I think is that we believe that Mr. DiPascali's cooperation, what he wants to do, what he says he wants to do will be hampered if we --

THE COURT: You have to take him out in order to bring him to the FBI. Look, we are all experienced with cooperators. We all know there are many cooperators who are in custody who

Plea

98B6DIP

are able to cooperate and meet with law enforcement officials and engage in cooperation. He is not doing anything activity. He is not wearing a wire and going out. I am not sure I am persuaded that he needs to be out to be able to effectively cooperate.

MR. LITT: The documents involved in this case fill a half a floor of a New York office building and about 6,000 boxes in a warehouse and a computer server that was dedicated in large part to the investment advisory activities, a computer that Mr. DiPascali has a certain amount of specialized knowledge about, a server that has proprietary software, that is ancient by modern standards in terms of technology and the operating system and the like. The records and the unraveling of what happened in this case over decades requires looking through exactly what I just described, a half of a floor of an office building.

In going through this process, and I will just speak in hypotheticals, to present a document to a witness that triggers a recollection of something else. It is one thing to be able to walk across the room or pull a file and present that file in realtime and through that process get to the bottom of what happened and a very small piece of what happened in this case then it would be to do that process through the cumbersome circumstance of Mr. DiPascali's being incarcerated.

I think it will just be a lot more efficient, the

Plea

government will be able to get Mr. DiPascali's cooperation much more fully, completely, efficiently, and quicker if he is out.

I would just urge the Court --

THE COURT: Let's me interrupt you, though. That is not really the consideration of 3143. It doesn't say or if the government thinks it would be more convenient to have a person out. So clearly the government has reached a conclusion. And I don't mean this disrespectfully. Clearly you reached a determination that bail is appropriate. I understand that. I am not persuaded by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. DiPascali is going to be here at the time of sentencing given the monumental sentence he is facing and given the amount of cooperation that is going to be needed to put a dent into that sentence.

So, look, I don't think there is much more that you folks can say today that is going to persuade me. If you want to make another submission, I will consider it. But on the basis of the facts as I have laid them out, I am not prepared to agree to the bail package that you have all proposed.

MR. MUKASEY: Understood that the current bail package on the table needs to be withdrawn and obviously I am told needs some more energy and some more heft. Judge, we were obviously surprised by your Honor's take on this and what I would propose is to allow us to brief this issue and or -- I quess the law is pretty clear. I am not sure how much briefing

Plea

there is going to be, but Mr. DiPascali's family is completely unprepared for this. He is completely unprepared for this. He is the financial provider to his family. I frankly didn't recognize --

THE COURT: Is he working, though?

MR. MUKASEY: No. But I think he is certainly taking care of his family, the four kids and the girl in law school and the boys that are home for the summer. I think that we can probably work out a package if your Honor were to give us 48 hours, 72 hours that was strict, that satisfied your Honor of his ties to the community.

I agree that cooperation is not one of the 3142, 43 prongs. However, I think it bears some thinking about really how he will be able to cooperator or not cooperate if he is remanded, and probably satisfy your Honor with a package that includes home detention, strict Pretrial Services reporting, perhaps less travel, and a lot more for those he loves to lose.

THE COURT: I am reacting to what I have in front of me now. The statute is pretty clear that unless I make the finding that I am not prepared to make, Mr. DiPascali is remanded. So I am going to order his remand without prejudice to renewing a motion whenever you see fit with whatever submissions you think appropriate.

Mr. DiPascali, we have not set a sentencing date for you. That is because it has been represented to me that your

Plea

cooperation will continue for some time. We have a control date in May. Then perhaps we will have more information as to when we will go forward with sentencing. I want to make sure you understand about sentencing, however. As I said before, the Probation Department will prepare a report, a presentence report, that will be quite extensive. They will interview a number of people, including the government to get more information about the offenses that are in the information, and also interview you, among others. So I would ask that you be cooperative with the Probation Department as they prepare that report.

Mr. Mukasey, I assume you wish to be present for any interview?

MR. MUKASEY: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: I will direct that no interview is to take place unless Mr. Mukasey is present. So if they show up to interview you and Mr. Mukasey is not there, you remind them that I told you not to go forward. If Mr. Mukasey directs you not for answer certain questions, listen to him, but don't make any false statements to the Probation Department. If you were to do that, it could be a separate offense or an enhancement for obstruction of justice. So I think that would serve no one's interest. Be as cooperative as you can be.

Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: I am going to back to the bail issue. I

think that it might persuade your Honor what Mr. DiPascali is looking forward to in terms of his cooperation and the ties that he has to his family and the community and the cooperation that he has already given to the government is perhaps if I were allowed to ask the FBI Agent Keith Kelley some questions that --

THE COURT: Asking here in open court, you mean?

MR. MUKASEY: Yeah. I don't want to do anything that will put anybody on the spot, but I think there is a relationship of trust here that can be considered a tie to the community, in addition with the FBI and the government, which I think Special Agent Kelley would shed some light on, in addition to the very, very close ties Mr. DiPascali has with his family.

THE COURT: I am not sure what you are asking me. Are you asking me to put Mr. Kelley on the stand and let you examine him?

(Pause)

THE COURT: Counsel.

Mr. Mukasey.

MR. MUKASEY: I am going to withdraw that application.

THE COURT: If you folks want to renew the application, you can do so. I think I've explained what my concerns are and what the burden is.

Is there anything else we need to cover today,

Plea 98B6DIP Mr. Litt? MR. LITT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey? MR. MUKASEY: If I can have just one moment? (Pause) MR. MUKASEY: Nothing further, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you all. I will hear from you May 15th if not before then. I appreciate all your time. Thank you to the court reporter, the marshals, and all the victims who came as well. 

## Exhibit 5

Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT

```
1
      9C3AAFRI P
                                   PI ea
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                                    09 CR 700 (AKH)
                   ٧.
 4
 5
      DAVID FRIEHLING,
                        Defendant.
 6778899
       ----X
                                                    New York, N.Y.
November 3, 2009
                                                    10:30 a.m.
10
      Before:
10
11
                            HON. ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN,
11
12
12
                                                    District Judge
13
13
                                    APPEARANCES
14
14
      PREET BHARARA
15
            United States Attorney for the
15
            Southern District of New York
16
      LISA BARONI
16
      MARC LITT
17
            Assistant United States Attorneys
17
18
      ANDREW M. LANKLER
18
19
            Attorney for Defendant Friehling
20
21
22
23
24
25
                        SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                               2
      9C3AAFRI P
                                   PI ea
                 (Case called)
                 MS. BARONI: Good morning, your Honor.
 2
3
4
                Lisa Baroni, for the government.
With me at counsel table is a Marc Litt from the U.S.
      Attorney's Office, Special Agent Denice Lamond from the Internal Revenue Service, Special Agent Patrick Duffy from the
 5
 6
      FBI and a Pretrial Services officer just arrived, Margaret
 8
      Smusz.
 9
                 THE COURT: Good morning, all.
                 MR. LANKLER: Good morning, your Honor.
10
                 I am Andrew Lankler. I represent David Friehling.
11
                 THE COURT: Good to see, Mr. Lankler.
12
                 Good morning, Mr. Friehling.
13
                                    Page 1
```

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
                     I understand, Mr. Friehling, that you want to change
15
        your plea of not guilty to the indictment to a plea of guilty
        to a several count information; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: We have to go through several
16
17
18
                                     We have to go through several steps.
        First, Ms. Jones will arraign you and you will plead to the information. Then we'll put you under oath and then I have to ask you a number of questions under oath so you have to tell me
19
20
21
        the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. If you
        fail to do that you expose yourself to additional penalties.

I have to make sure that what you are doing is voluntary.

If you know what you are doing and no one's SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
23
24
25
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                  3
        9C3AAFRI P
                                            PI ea
        promised you anything, no one's threatened you in any way, what
        you are doing is because you want to do it and this is after
 3
        you received your advice from Mr. Lankler and you are satisfied that you tell me you ar satisfied with your services. Then
        give through the constitutional rights that you have in every matter a defendant has for a speedy and public trial. I need to make sure even though I'm sure that Mr. Lankler's covered that thoroughly that you understand your rights that you are giving up. And lastly you will tell me what you did to make
 5
 8
        you guilty because I have to find that there is an independent
10
11
        basis in fact to sustain a plea and I have no interests in
        having a man who is innocent plead guilty to a crime.
So we go through all of that and you have to tell me
12
13
        the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. Do you understand?
14
15
                     THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
17
                     THE COURT: Do you wish me to proceed in this fashion?
                     THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: First we'll arraign you to the
18
19
20
        information.
                     COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please rise. You are David
21
        Friehling.
22
23
24
                     THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
                     COURTROOM DEPUTY: Have you signed this waiver ever
25
        indictment?
                              SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                  4
        9C3AAFRI P
                                            PI ea
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes, I have.
                     COURTROOM DEPUTY:
                                               Before you signed it did you
        discuss it with your attorney? THE DEFENDANT: Yes,
                     THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
COURTROOM DEPUTY: Did he explain it to you?
 5
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes, he did.
                     COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you understand you are under no
 7
 8
9
        obligation to waive indictment?
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes, I do.
                     COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you understand that if you do
10
        not waive indictment if the government wants to prosecute you
11
        they will have to present this case to a grand jury which may or may not indict you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
12
13
14
                     COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you realize that by signing this
15
        waiver of indictment you have given up your right to have this
16
17
        case presented to a grand jury?
                     THE DEFENDANT:
18
                                            Yes.
```

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
                         COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you understand what a grand jury
        20
              is?
        21
22
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                          Yes.
                         COURTROOM DEPUTY: Have you seen a copy of the
        23
               information?
                         THE DEFENDANT:
        24
                                          Yes.
                         COURTROOM DEPUTY:
                                ROOM DEPUTY: Would you like me to read it to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
        25
                                           (212) 805-0300
2
                                                                                       5
               9C3AAFRI P
                                           PI ea
         1
               you?
                         THE DEFENDANT: No. COURTROOM DEPUTY: How do you plead?
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Guilty.
                         THE COURT:
                                      All right.
                                                    Before I can accept that plea
               we have to go through a number of different factors.
               that at rest for a few minutes and we'll examine you.
                         Now Ms. Jones is going to put you under oath. (Defendant David Friehling sworn)
         8
        10
                         COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please, state you full name for the
        11
               record.
                         THE DEFENDANT: David Gary Friehling.
        12
                         THE COURT: How old are you, Mr. Friehling?
        13
        14
                         THE DEFENDANT: 49.
                         THE COURT:
                                      Where were you born?
        15
                         THE DEFENDANT: Miami Beach Florida.
        16
        17
                         THE COURT: Where did you receive your education? How
               far did you go, through college?
        18
                         THE DEFENDANT:
THE COURT: What
                                           Through graduate school.
        19
                                      What college did you go to?
NT: Cornell University.
        20
        21
                         THE DEFENDANT:
        22
                         THE COURT: And which part of Cornell?
                                           School of Industrial and Labor
        23
                         THE DEFENDANT:
              Relations.
        24
                         THE COURT: When did you receive your degree? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
        25
                                           (212) 805-0300
                                                                                       6
               9C3AAFRI P
                                           PI ea
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           May of 1981.
         2
                         THE COURT: Was it a degree of Bachelor of Arts or a
         3
               Bachelor of Science?
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Bachelor of Science in Industrial and
               Labor Relations
         6
7
                         THE COURT:
                                      Then you say you went on to graduate
               school?
                         THE DEFENDANT:
         8
                                           Yes.
         9
                         THE COURT: Where did, do you work? THE DEFENDANT: Baruch College of New York City.
        10
        11
                         THE COURT: Received a Masters in Business
        12
               Administration?
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           I never received my degree.
        13
        14
               for my MBA in accounting.
                         THE COURT:
        15
                                      How many years did you go?
                         THE DEFENDANT: Approximately two and a half.
        16
                         THE COURT: The THE DEFENDANT:
                                      Then did you take the test for a CPA?
NT: Yes, I took --
        17
        18
                                      Pass the test?
        19
                         THE COURT:
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes, I did.
        20
                                      When did you receive the certificate?
        21
                         THE COURT:
                         THE DEFENDANT:
        22
                                           July of 1987.
        23
                         THE COURT: That was a certificate to practice as a
                                             Page 3
```

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
        24
              CPA?
        25
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes.
                                SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                           (212) 805-0300
                                           PI ea
              9C3AAFRI P
                         THE COURT:
                                     In New York state?
         2
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                          Yes.
                         THE COURT:
                                      Tell me about a little bit about your
              personal life.
                                Are you married?
         5
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes, I am.
                         THE COURT:
                                      How I ong?
                         THE DEFENDANT: 27 years this past August 29.
THE COURT: Any children?
                         THE COURT: Any THE DEFENDANT:
         8
                                      NT: Yes, three.
How many?
        10
                         THE COURT:
        11
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Three.
                         THE COURT:
        12
                                      How old are they?
        13
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           24, 20 and 17.
                                      What do they do?
NT: My older son is a actor in California.
        14
                         THE COURT:
        15
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                         THE COURT: He THE DEFENDANT:
        16
                                      He is a what?
        17
                                           An actor.
                         THE COURT:
                                      Did he go to college?
        18
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he did. North University. THE COURT: School of Theater Arts?
        19
        20
                         THE DEFENDANT:
        21
                                           Yes.
        22
                         THE COURT:
                                      And the 20 year old?
        23
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                          He is a junior at Duke University.
                         THE COURT: What is he studying?
        24
                         THE DEFENDANT:
        25
                                           Premed.
                                SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                           (212) 805-0300
                                                                                       8
               9C3AAFRI P
                                           PI ea
                         THE COURT: And the 17 year old?
         2
3
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           She is a senior in high school.
                         THE COURT: Where does she go to high school?
         4
5
                         THE DEFENDANT: Clarkstown North High School in New
              City, New York.
                         THE COURT:
                                      Does your wife work?
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, she does.
         8
                         THE COURT: What does she do?
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                          Account manager for the New York Blood
        10
              Servi ce.
        11
                         THE COURT: I missed the company.
                         THE DEFENDANT: New York Blood Service.
        12
                         THE COURT: Wha
                                     What is that?
NT: New York Blood Service.
        13
        14
              THE COURT: Coming in to today, Mr. Friehling, have you had any medicine or alcohol or narcotics that could blur
        15
        16
              your thinking?
        17
                         THE DEFENDANT:
        18
                                           No.
        19
                         THE COURT:
                                      Are you clear minded?
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
        20
        21
                         THE COURT: Have you discussed the case thoroughly
                         Lankl er?
        22
              with Mr.
        23
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes, I have.
                         THE COURT: And his staff?
        24
        25
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes
                                SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                           (212) 805-0300
                                                                                       9
2
```

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
      9C3AAFRI P
                                 PI ea
               THE COURT:
                            Have you told them everything that you
      know about your case?
THE DEFENDANT:
 2
3
4
                                Yes.
               THE COURT:
                            Have you held any information away from
5
      hi m?
6
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                 No.
               THE COURT:
                            Are you satisfied about the advise he is
8
      gi vi ng?
9
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes.
10
               THE COURT:
                           Are you offering to plead guilty because
11
      you think that's the best think thing for you in this
12
      circumstances?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
               THE COURT:
                            Your own decision, your voluntary act?
14
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes
16
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: Has anyone threatened you in any
17
      way to cause to make this decision?
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                No.
18
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: Has anyone promised anything to
19
20
      make this decision?
21
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                No.
22
               COURTROOM DEPUTY:
                                   You are doing it because you think
      that's what you want to do?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes
23
24
                                Yes.
25
               COURTROOM DEPUTY:
                                   Let me discuss your constitutional
                      SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                 (212) 805-0300
                                                                         10
      9C3AAFRI P
                                 PI ea
      rights.
               Do you understand that you have a right to a speedy
      and public trial?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes.
               COURTROOM DEPUTY:
                                   That you are entitled to have a
 56
      presumption of innocence?
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes.
7
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: As the case with every citizen of
8
      the United States?
9
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes.
               COURTROOM DEPUTY:
10
                                    That means that you can be found
      quilty of any crime unless the crime is charged and proved
11
12
      beyond a reasonable doubt to the satisfaction of a unanimous
13
      j ury?
14
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes.
               COURTROOM DEPUTY:
15
                                   That your entitled to an attorney
      to guide you and represent you in every step of these criminal
16
      pročeedi ngs?
17
18
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Yes.
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: If you cannot afford a lawyer the
19
      government will provide a lawyer free of charge; you are aware
20
21
      of that?
               THE DEFENDANT:
22
                                Yes.
23
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: And alone or through the lawyer you
24
      can confront every witness against you and cross examine that
      witness and require any witness having favorable information to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                 (212) 805-0300
                                                                         11
                                 PI ea
      9C3AAFRI P
      come to the trial and testify whether that witness wishes to do
2
      so or not?
3
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                 Yes.
               COURTROOM DEPUTY:
                                   Do you understand also that you
                                  Page 5
```

우

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
      would have the right to testify if you wished to testify but if
      you didn't wish to you wouldn't have to and no inference could
 6
      be drawn against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: But by pleading guilty you waive these rights and you give me the authority to sentence you the same way I could if the jury brought in a verdict of guilty
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
      agai nst you?
13
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                   Yes.
                 COURTROOM DEPUTY: You are pleading guilty to the
14
      knowledge of all of those rights that you are waiving?
15
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
16
                 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Now, Ms. Baroni, I have a letter
17
      agreement that is before me of six pages. 
 {\sf MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. I} have the executed
18
19
20
      for, you Honor
21
                 THE COURT: May I see the executed copy.
                                                                   We'll mark
22
      all these pages with the executed copy as Court Exhibit 1.
23
                 (Pause)
                 THE COURT: I am looking at the 6th page of the
24
25
      original; is that your signature on this, Mr. Friehling? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                    (212) 805-0300
                                                                                 12
                                    PI ea
      9C3AAFRI P
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
                 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mr. Lankler, is that yours?
 234567
                 MR. LANKLER:
                                 Yes, it is, your Honor.
                 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Both signed November 2.
                 MR. LANKLER: We signed them last night, judge.
                                                                           Last
      night was the 2nd.
      THE COURT: Today is the 3rd. Ms. Baroni, I am returning this to you, please, and I'll work with the copy.

Ms. Baroni, will you summarize the several counts of
 8
10
       the information to which Mr. Friehling will be pleading.
                 MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.
11
                 Count One of the superseding information charges the
12
13
      defendant with securities fraud.
                 Count Two of the superseding information charges him
14
      with investment advisor fraud.

Counts Three through Six charge making false filings
15
16
      with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
17
18
                 Counts Seven, Eight and Nine charge the defendant with
19
      obstructing or impeding the administration of the Internal
20
      Revenue Laws.
21
                 THE COURT: Would you tell Mr. Friehling and me what
      it is that the government has to prove if the case went to
22
      trial on each of those counts.
MS. BARONI: The elem
23
                                The elements of the offenses, your Honor?
24
                               Yes
25
                 THE COURT:
                        SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                    (212) 805-0300
                                                                                 13
       9C3AAFRI P
                                    PI ea
                 MS. BARONI: Sure. With respect to Count One,
      securities fraud, in order to prove this crime the government
 2
 3
4
      would have to establish the following elements beyond a
      reasonable doubt:
 5
                 First, that in connection with the purchase or sale of
      a security the defendant did any one or more of the following:
 6
                 Émployed a device scheme or artifice to defraud or
 8
      made an untrue statement of material fact or omitted to state a
      material fact which made what was said under the circumstances
```

우

우

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
10
        misleading, or engaged in an act, practice or course of
11
        business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit
       upon the purchaser or a seller.
Second, that the defendant acted knowingly, willfully
12
13
       or with the intent to defraud.

And third, that the defendant knowingly used or caused
14
15
        to be used any means or instruments of transportation or
16
17
        communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in
        furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.
18
       And the government can also prove this through aiding and abetting liability, your Honor.

With respect to Count Two --
19
20
21
22
                    THE COURT:
                                   In other words, the government can prove
       Mr. Friehling's guilt either as a principal or as a person who aided and abetted a principal.

MS. BARONI: That right, judge.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
23
24
25
                                          (212) 805-0300
                                                                                            14
        9C3AAFRI P
                                         PI ea
                    With respect to Count Two, investment advisor fraud,
 2
3
        in order to prove this crime the government must show beyond a
        reasonable doubt the following four essential elements. First, that the defendant -- this also could be proven through aiding
        and abetting liability -- that there was an investment advisor,
        that either the defendant was investment advisor ar aided and
        abetted an investment advisor.
 8
                    Second, that the defendant either employed a device,
        scheme or artifice to defraud clients or perspective clients,
       that the defendant engaged in a transaction, practice or course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon those
10
       clients and perspective clients or that the defendant engaged in an act, practice or course of business that was fraudulent,
13
       deceptive and manipulative.
14
15
                    Third, that the defendant devised or participated in
        such alleged device, scheme or artifice to defraud or engaged
16
       in such alleged transaction, practice or course of business knowingly, willfully and with the intent to defraud.

Forth that the defendant employed such alleged device, scheme or artifice to defraud or engaged in such alleged transaction, practice or course of business by use of the mails or other instrumentality of interstate commerce.

With respect to Counts Three through Six making false
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
                    With respect to Counts Three through Six, making false
        filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the
24
25
        government to prove this offense would have to prove beyond a
                            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                          (212) 805-0300
                                                                                            15
        9C3AAFRI P
                                         PI ea
        reasonable doubt the following four elements:
 2
                    That the defendant was required to file an
        application, report or document with the SEC under the
 3
4
5
6
7
        Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations
        there under.
                    Second, that the application report or document filed
        with the SEC contained false or misleading statements.
 8
                    Third, that the false or misleading statements were
 9
       material.
                    Fourth that the defendant acted knowingly and
10
       willfully.

THE COURT: Again, this can be proved either as a
11
12
13
        principal or by aiding and abetting?
```

Yes, your Honor. Page 7

MS. BÁRONI:

우

2

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
                  THE COURT:
                                And finally with respect to Count Seven
16
       through Nine which charges the defendant with obstructing or
17
       impeding the administration of the Internal Revenue laws, to
       prove this offense the government would have to show beyond a
18
       reasonable doubt first, that the defendant acted or endeavored corruptly, acted with corrupt intent.
19
20
21
                  And second, that the defendant acted to obstruct or
       impede the administration of the Internal Revenue laws.
22
23
                  THE COURT: Now, with regard to aiding and abetting --
       don't sit down yet, Ms. Baroni -- with regard to aiding and
24
       abet what is it that the government has to prove in each SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                   16
       9C3AAFRI P
       instance? I can help you. I think there has to have been
 2
       substantial help given by the defendant with knowledge that he
       was giving the help to assist another in committing a
       particular crime and investing enough interest in that as to
 5
       make it his own.
 6
       \, MS. BARONI: Right, your Honor. Under the statute we would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he aided,
 8
       abetted, counseled, commanded, induced or procured the
       commission of a crime.
                  THE COURT:
10
                                It's not just helping. It's helping with
       the intent that he is helping another commit a particular crime
11
       and in such a way is not being indifferent to it but making his
       own in interest in his part to assist.

MS. BARONI: That's right.
13
14
                                That in each of the Counts One, Two, Three
15
                  THE COURT:
       through \operatorname{Six}, Seven through \operatorname{Nine} charges \operatorname{Mr}. Friehling as a
16
       principal; is that right?
MS. BARONI: Tha
17
18
                                  That's right, judge.
                  THE COURT:
                                Okay. And if you prove aiding and
19
       abetting -- you are still not finished Ms. Baroni.
20
                                                                      You'll sit
                  And if you prove aiding and abetting it's the same
21
22
       for purposes of sentencing as if you were to prove the
23
       principal itself.
24
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 That's right, your Honor. The statutory
25
       maximums are the same.
                         SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                   17
       9C3AAFRI P
                                     PI ea
                  THE COURT: Now, let's discuss the statutory maximum
       with regard to each of these counts.
       MS. BARONI: Yes, judge. With respect to Count One, the securities fraud count, the maximum penalties are 20 years
       imprisonment, three years supervised release, a fine of the greatest of five million dollars or twice the gross gain or loss from the offense, a mandatory $100 special assessment and
 7
                       With respect to --
 8
       restitution.
                  THE COURT:
                               And with regard to supervised release in
10
       that count and others, there are conditions that come with it.
       A violation of the condition leads to a hearing and if
11
      appropriate the judge can sentence among other things the defendant to jail even though he has finished his jail term.

MS. BARONI: That's right, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.
12
13
14
15
                  MS. BARONI: With respect to Count Two, investment
16
       advisor fraud, the maximum penalties are five years
17
       imprisonment, three years supervised release, a fine of the
18
19
       greatest of $10,000 or twice the gross gain or loss from the
```

7

20

21

5

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

23

24

25

3

7

8

10 11 12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23 24

우

Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT offense, a mandatory \$100 special assessment and restitution. With respect to Counts Three through Six, making a false filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, each count carries a maximum penalty of 20 years imprisonment, three years supervised release, a fine of the greatest of \$5,000,000 or twice the gross gain or loss from the offense, a mandatory SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 18 9C3AAFRI P PI ea \$100 special assessment on each count and restitution. Finally, with respect to Counts Seven, Eight ad Nine, the obstructing or impeding the administration of the Internal Revenue laws, each count, the maximum penalties for each count are three years imprisonment, one year supervised release, a fine of the greatest of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or loss, a mandatory \$100 special assessment on each count and restitution. And also with respect to Count One, your Honor, that the securities fraud count also requires a forfeiture which is al I eged. THE COURT: Is the forfeiture only relevant to the securities fraud count?
MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You haven't mentioned forfeiture before. Does the government have funds that have been forfeited or the claims to be forfeited? MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. We would ask that your Honor allocute the defendant on the forfeiture count just so that he admits it. And the government anticipates providing to the Court in short order after today's appearance preliminary order of forfeiture and a stipulation and order of interlocutory sale of the defendant's properties.

THE COURT: And what are those properties And what are those properties? MS. BARONI: Two pieces of real estate, your Honor. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 19 PI ea 9C3AAFRI P THE COURT: Do you have the value estimates? MS. BARONI: No, the government doesn't have the value at this time, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. With regard to this punishment that you've read out the Court has discretion in many instances and under various quidelines to sentence on a concurrent basis or consecutive basis, meaning, that the sentences under each count can be given at the same time to be served or they can be added. MS. BARONI: That's right. And the statutory maximum total of incarceration that could be imposed on the defendant if they all were consecutive would be 114 years imprisonment but your Honor has the discretion as you said. THE COURT: Under various considerations and gui del i nes. Ms. Baroni. Thank you very much. You can be seated. Mr. Friehling, there's a number of points I wanted to make you are aware of. I've not studied these and I don't know to what extent I would find considerations for sentencing in other ways but I can sentence up to the statutory maximum. you become disappointed in what I do when I sentence or in any

other respect, once I accept your plea of guilty you are bound by it. You will not be able to withdraw it just because you are disappointed in what I do. Do you understand?

Page 9

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
25
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                        Yes.
                           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                          20
       9C3AAFRI P
                                        PI ea
                   THE COURT: I don't know what I'm going to do at
        sentence and that will be an exercise in itself.
                                                                        So if I don't
        know what it is I am going to do, no one can tell you what I am
                        And any estimates of what I can do is false
       going to do.
        because I have not thought about it at all. Are you aware that
       I have this ability and power to sentence you under the law as I think appropriate at the time of sentencing.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
 7
       THE COURT: To the extent you are disappointed in what I do will not be a basis for withdrawing from your guilty plea once I accept it. Are you aware of that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
11
12
                                  All right.
                                                 Is there another agreement,
13
                   THE COURT:
       Ms. Baroni, that I should be considering today as well?

MS. BARONI: Your Honor, the only agreement is the
14
15
        agreement that we handed up to your Honor dated November 3rd
16
17
        the plea agreement.
                   THE COURT:
18
                                   That's the one that was signed?
19
                   MS. BARONI:
                                   Yes.
                   THE COURT: All right. Have you discussed it with
20
                        this plea agreement?
21
       Mr. Lankler,
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                        Yes, I have.
                   THE COURT: Have you gone over it very thoroughly? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
23
24
25
                   THE COURT: Do you understand that you have an SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                         21
       9C3AAFRI P
                                        PI ea
       obligation expressed on page three of this letter truthfully
       and completely to disclose all information with respect to your
       activities and the activities of others that you know are aware
       of concerning all matters about which the U.S. Attorney's
 4
5
6
       Office and a live investigating officer's inquire of you and
        that this information can be used for any purpose; you are
       aware of that?
 8
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
                   THE COURT: You promise to cooperate fully with the
       Office of the US Attorneys, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Internal Revenue Service and any other law
10
11
       enforcement agency designated by the U.S. Attorney's Office of the Southern District of New York?
12
13
                   THE DEFENDANT:
THE COURT: You
                                        Yes, I have.
                                  You promise to attend all meetings at
15
       which the U.S. Attorney's Office requests your presence? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
                   THE COURT:
18
                                  To provide to the office upon request any
19
       document, record or other tangible evidence relating to matters
20
       about which the U.S. Attorney's Office or any other designated
       law enforcement agency require of you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21
22
       THE COURT: You testified truthful before a grand jury and at any trial and any other court proceeding with respect to any matters about which the U.S. Attorney's Office may request SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
23
24
25
                                        (212) 805-0300
```

9C3AAFRI P

우

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
        further testimony?
                     THE DEFÉNDANT:
 2
                                            Yes.
 3
                                     And to bring to the office of the U.S.
                     THE COURT:
        Attorney all crimes that you have committed and all administrative civil or criminal proceedings investigations or prosecutions, prosecutions in which you have been a subject, a
 5
        target, a party or a witness?
                     THE DÉFENDANT:
 8
                                           Yes.
                     THE COURT:
                                     You promised that you will commit no
10
        further crimes what ever, right? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
12
                     THE COURT:
                                     And that you will provide notice to the
        U.S. Attorney's Office prior to discussing the conduct covered by the information in each of its nine counts with anyone other than the U.S. Attorney's Office, law enforcement agencies designated by the U.S. Attorney's Office and your own attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
15
16
17
18
                     THE COURT:
                                     The next paragraph at the bottom of page
        three going onto page four provides that if you fully complied with all of the obligations on your part to be performed under
19
20
        this agreement you will not be further prosecuted criminally by the Office of the U.S. Attorney of the Southern District of New York and with respect to the particular tax offenses in the information the Tax Division Department of Justice and
21
22
23
24
25
        Department of Justice for crimes that are specified in this
                             SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P. C.
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                  23
        9C3AAFRI P
                                            PI ea
        letter?
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                            Yes.
                     THE COURT: Do you understand the agreement does not
        bind any federal, state or local prosecuting authority other
than the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of
 5
 6
7
        New York and to the extent mentioned, the tax division and the
        Department of Justice?
 8
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                            Yes.
                     THE COURT: In the middle of page four you expressed
        the understanding which we've just discussed that the sentence that will eventually be imposed is within the sole discretion
10
11
        of the judge of the court, either myself or some other judge; you understand that?
12
13
                     THE DEFENDANT:
14
                                            Yes.
15
                     THE COURT:
                                     And the U.S. Attorney's Office does not
        make any promise and can't any make any promise or
16
17
        representation as to what sentence the Court will give.
                     THE DEFENDANT:
18
                                            Yes.
19
                     THE COURT:
                                     And that it will not recommend a specific
20
        sentence to the Court.
                     THE DEFENDANT:
21
                                            Yes.
22
                     THE COURT:
                                    But it will inform the Court of your
23
        cooperation.
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes.
                             OURT: And if all goes well and according to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                     THE COURT:
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                  24
        9C3AAFRI P
                                            PI ea
 1
        understanding write a letter to me under Section 5K1.1 of the
 2
        sentencing guidelines
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                            Yes.
                     THE COURT: Is it your understanding that under such a
        letter you will want some special consideration from me or
```

우

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
        another judge with regard to your sentence?
                     THĒ DEFENDANŤ:
                                          Yes.
                     THE COURT: You are aware, however, that you could be ited. The government may feel that you are not
 8
 9
        di sappoi nted.
        cooperating sufficiently and refuse to write the letter? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
11
12
                     THE COURT:
                                     Do you understand that?
13
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes.
14
                     THE COURT: The letter will be written only if you
15
        comply in the government's opinion with all the conditions
        expressed in this document.
16
17
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                          Yes.
        THE COURT: Even if the government doesn't write its letter you've committed yourself to a plea of guilty. You cannot withdraw. You understand that?
19
20
21
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes.
22
                     THE COURT:
                                     On page 5 the letter provides a commitment
23
        on your part not to commit any further crimes, not to testify
        falsely, incompletely and or any misleading information and not
24
        to give information to the government that is false, incomplete SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                 25
        9C3AAFRI P
                                            PI ea
        or misleading.
                             You are aware of that?
                     THE DEFENDANT:
 2
                                          Yes, I am.
                     THE COURT: And that if you violate you will not be
        able to get a 5K1 letter.
 5
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Yes, sir.
       THE COURT: As I said before, when it comes to sentence I will be looking at the sentencing guidelines. I will be looking at considerations of a more general nature having to do with what is just punishment. And to the extent of your cooperation I will be looking at the extent of your
 6
7
 8
10
        cooperation and devising a just sentence for you; you
11
12
        understand that?
                     THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
13
                     THE COURT: And since I've not studied any of this, I
14
        don't know what I am going to do, how I will sentence you. A I said before, even if you are disappointed in what I do you will not be able to withdraw from your guilty plea.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15
16
17
18
19
                     THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, tell us how -- you are back on
20
        your feet -- how you are going to prove all of these nine
21
        different offenses and the forfeiture.
                     MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.
If this case had gone to trial the government would
22
23
        prove through testimony and documentary evidence beyond a reasonable doubt all of the factors.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
25
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                 26
        9C3AAFRI P
                                            PI ea
                     THE COURT:
                                     All of the facts set forth in the
 2
        superseding information.
                     MŠ. BARONI:
                                      Specifically, the government would prove
        with respect to the first six counts that beginning as early, at least as early as 1991 from 2008, that Mr. Friehling was a license certified public accountant, that he was a sole
 5
 7
        practitioner at Friehling and Horowitz, CPAs.
                                     For that period of time?
 8
                     THE COURT:
                     MS. BARONI:
                                      Yes, your Honor.
                                      17 years, practiced alone?
10
                     THE COURT:
```

우

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
                   MS. BARONI:
                                   Yes, your Honor.
                   THE COURT:
12
                                  No staff?
13
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                       No.
14
                   MS. BARONI:
                                   No staff. His father-in-law had started
       the firm but he took it over when his father-in-law retired.
15
                   THE COURT: When did his father-in-law retired?
16
17
                   THE DEFENDANT: Formally retired in 1998.
                   THE COURT:
                                  So the first seven years you worked with
18
19
       hi m?
20
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                      Yes.
                   THE COURT:
21
                                  Then alone?
22
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                   MS. BARONI: The government would further prove that
       Friehling and Horowitz was an accounting firm that was retained by Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities to audit its SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
25
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        27
       9C3AAFRI P
                                       PI ea
       financial statements that were filed with the SEC.
                   THE COURT:
                                  When was he engaged? When was the firm
 2
3
4
       engaged by Mr. Madoff?
       MS. BARONI: From at least 1991 to the present, actually, even earlier when Mr. Horowitz was at the firm.
THE COURT: Throughout that 17 year period?
 5
 6
 7
                   MS. BARONI:
                                  Yes, your Honor.
 8
                   THE COURT:
                                  With yearly engagement letters,
 9
       Ms. Baroni?
10
                   MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. I don't know about
       engagement letters but they were retained every year and paid a monthly retainer every month throughout those years. These financial statements were filed with the Securities and
11
13
14
       Exchange Commission and were also available to clients, your
       Honor. And during that time Mr. Friehling, the government would prove beyond a reasonable doubt, that Mr. Friehling did
15
16
       not conduct a meaningful audit of Bernard L. Madoff Investment
17
18
       Securities, that his audits were not performed in accordance
       with the required standards which are GAAP and GAAS.
19
       THE COURT: GAAS is a shortening term for the Generally Accepted Auditing Standard and GAAP is a shortening
20
21
       for Generally Accepted Accounting Principals.

MS. BARONI: That's right, your Honor.

And that Mr. Friehling merely took the information
22
23
24
       given to him by Bernard Madoff and others and did not take SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        28
       9C3AAFRI P
                                       PI ea
       steps to conduct any independent verification of that
       information.
 3
                   THE COURT: In other words, just put his own imperator
       as an accountant on financial information given him by
       Mr. Madoff.
                   MS. BARONI:
                                   That's right, your Honor.
 7
                   THE COURT:
                                  And expressed an opinion which recited
 8
       that he had conducted an audit according to go Generally
       Accepted Auditing Standards and in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
10
                   MS. BARONI: Yes.
THE COURT: And that in the opinion of the accounting
11
12
       firm of the statement presented fairly the conditions of the
13
       company is that each year end and that the income that was said
14
       to be earned was a true indication of the operating profits of
15
```

2

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
       that company.
                  MS. BARONI: That's right, the income and reliability as done on a fiscal year. Also, that the financial
17
18
       but it was done on a fiscal year.
19
       statements certified that he was independent but the government
       would prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Friehling was not an independent auditor and that he and his family had investment advisory accounts at Bernard L. Madoff Investment
20
21
22
23
       Securities and those accounts were held in the name of his wife
       and others.
25
                  THE COURT: Is there a disclosure of that in the
                         SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                      (212) 805-0300
                                                                                    29
                                     PI ea
       9C3AAFRI P
       accounting statement?
 2
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 No, your Honor.
                  The government would also prove beyond a reasonable
       doubt that Mr. Friehling knew at the time that he certified the
 5
       financial statements, that they were materially false and that
       at the time that they were, that he caused them to be filed
       with Securities and Exchange Commission that he knew that they
 8
       were materially false
 9
                  THE COURT:
                                So he actually knew that the statements
10
       were false?
11
                  MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.
                  THE COURT:
                                But nevertheless gave an opinion that
12
       stated that they were fair and accurate.
14
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 Right. He knew that he did not perform
       any independent auditing function.
15
                  The government would also prove that these criminal
16
17
       acts were conducted in Manhattan as well as in Rockland County.
                  THE COURT:
                                 Both within the Southern District of New
19
       York.
20
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 That's right, your Honor.
21
                  THE COURT:
                                 So venue is satisfied.
22
                  MS. BARONI:
                                  Yes.
                                        With respect to Counts Seven
23
       through Nine -
       THE COURT: So these proofs would show that he aided and abetted a device, scheme or artifice to defraud, that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
25
                                      (212) 805-0300
                                                                                    30
       9C3AAFRI P
                                     PI ea
       untrue statements of material facts were being made, that the
       business itself was operating as a fraud or a deceit upon
       purchasers and sellers, and that all this was done willfully, knowingly and with the intent to defraud and that the mails and
 3
       instruments of transportation and communication and interstate
 5
       commerce were used.
       MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. The financial statements were filed with the SEC by mail by US mail many of
 8
       the years.
10
                  THE COURT:
                                 Now, we're up to the investment advisor
11
       fraud.
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 Your Honor, that factual proffer covers
12
       the securities fraud, the investment advisor fraud and the
13
       making false filings with the SEC is all the same.

THE COURT: Who was the investment advisor?

MS. BARONI: Mr. Bernard L. Madoff Investment
14
15
16
17
       Securi ti es.
                  So the government would prove beyond a reasonable
18
19
       doubt that the defendant knew that Bernard L. Madoff Investment
20
       Securities was a broker/dealer and also was an investment
```

우

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
        advi sor.
22
                      THE COURT: And were the certified statements used by
23
        Mr. Madoff in a fraudulent way in connection with his
        functioning as an investment advisor?

MS. BARONI: In connection with both sides of the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
25
                                               (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                         31
         9C3AAFRI P
                                               PI ea
        business, yes, your Honor. They were available to all client
THE COURT: That takes you us through Three through
                                                  They were available to all clients.
 2
 3
        Six because these financial statements were filed with the SEC
        and defendant was aware they were being filed with the SEC.

MS. BARONI: That's right.

THE COURT: He was aware as well that they were being
 5
        used to induce continuing activities and purchase sales by the customers of Mr. Madoff's business; is that right?
 7
8
 9
        MS. BARONI: Well, yes, your Honor, he knew they were going to be filed with the SEC and he knew that they were
10
        available to certain clients upon request.
11
12
                      THE COURT: And that they were actually --
        MS. BARONI: Right.
THE COURT: Now Seven through Nine, this is obstructing or impeding the administration of the Internal
13
14
15
16
        Revenues Laws.
        \, MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. If this case had gone trial the government would prove beyond a reasonable doubt that
17
18
        from 1991 through 2008, Mr. Friehling obstructed the administration of the Internal Revenue laws by assisting in the
19
20
        preparation of numerous false tax returns including individual income tax returns which are forms 1040 as well as --
THE COURT: His own?
MS. BARONI: No, your Honor.
21
                                        No, your Honor.
Madoff's? Whose tax return?
                      THE COURT:
25
                               SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                               (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                         32
        9C3AAFRI P
                                               PI ea
                      MS. BARONI:
THE COURT:
                                         Numerous individuals, your Honor.
        THE COURT: Connected with anything in particular?

MS. BARONI: No, your Honor. As I said, individual tax returns as well as tax returns for estates and trusts and
 4
5
6
7
        that --
        THE COURT: In general or in connection with any kind of kind of specific activity? This also focused on the Madoff
        activity or things that you are going to prove that
 8
        Mr. Friehling did in his own in a general way conducting his
10
        busi ness.
        MS. BARONI: They were Mr. Madoff's individual tax returns as well as others' individual tax return and trust
11
12
13
        returns.
                                         Connected with Mr. Madoff in some fashion?
14
                      THE COURT:
15
                      MS. BARONI:
                                          Just others, your Honor. At this time
16
         the government will proffer that much.
                      THE COURT:
                                        0kay.
17
                                          The government would also prove that
18
                      MS. BARONI:
        these tax returns were filed with the IRS and that
Mr. Friehling acted with corrupt intent when he assisted in the
preparation and the filing of them.

THE COURT: In other words, knowing that they were
19
20
21
22
        being filed to fool and deceive the IRS.
23
                      MS. BARONI:
                                         Ri ght.
25
                      THE COURT:
                                        And to pay a lower tax than that which we
```

Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT

```
SOUTHERN DI STRI CT REPORTERS, P. C.
                                      (212) 805-0300
                                                                                     33
       9C3AAFRI P
                                      PI ea
       fairly do.
 2
                  MS.
                      BARONI: Right, your Honor.
 3
                  THE COURT: And thereby placing the burden on
       everybody el se.
 5
                  MS. BARONI: And that, that's right that he acted with
 6
7
       corrupt intent and that he knew the returns were false at the
       time that they were filed.
 8
                  Also, the government would prove venue with respect to
       this that the criminal acts relating to these counts occurred in Manhattan and Rockland County in the Southern District of
 9
10
11
       New York.
12
                  THE COURT:
                                 Thank you, Ms. Baroni. And do you approve
13
       this set of fact the acts how? How would you prove it?
                  MS. BARONI:
14
                                  The tax counts we would prove through
15
       testimony and documentary evidence.
                  THE COURT: Mr. Lankler, have you reviewed the proofs
16
                  Baroni recites?
17
       that Ms.
                  MR. LANKLER: I have indeed, your Honor.
THE COURT: Are you satisfied that Ms. Baroni could
18
19
       prove a prima facie case with respect to each of those nine
20
21
       counts?
22
                  MR. LANKLER:
                                  I am, your Honor.
                  THE COURT:
                                 Do you know of any defenses that could
23
24
       trump these proofs?
25
                  MR. LANKLER:
                                   Not beyond a reasonable doubt, your
                          SOUTHERN DISTRÍCT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                      (212) 805-0300
                                                                                     34
       9C3AAFRI P
                                      PI ea
 1
       Honor.
                  THE COURT: Mr. Friehling, are you offering to plead
 2
3
4
       guilty because you believe that you are, in fact, guilty of
       each of these nine counts?
 5
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
       THE COURT: I am going to ask you to tell me what you did to make you guilty. And I suspect that Mr. Lankler's
 6
7
 8
       helped you prepare a statement.
                  THE DEFENDANT: I have written a statement with the
       assistance of my attorney.
10
                  THE COURT: You may read the statement but I will be
11
       asking you questions throughout. Proceed Loudly.
12
       THE DEFENDANT: My name is David Friehling. I appear before your Honor today to take responsibility for my conduct
13
14
       in connection with my role as the auditor of BLMIS and a tax preparer for Bernard Madoff and others.

THE COURT: BLMIS is short for?
15
17
                  THE DEFENDANT: Bernard L. Madoff Investment
18
19
       Securi ti es.
20
                  It is my understanding that I must now detail the
21
       specifics of the conduct and I will do so in connection with
       this statement. However, before I begin my formal allocution I wish to make your Honor aware of several important facts.
22
23
       First and foremost, it is critical for your Honor to be aware that at no time was I ever aware that Bernard Madoff SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
25
                                      (212) 805-0300
                                                                                     35
```

PI ea

Page 16

In fact, I placed all of my

was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

2

우

Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT savings and the savings of my wife and children with BLMIS. also established a bona fide pension fund with BLMIS into which I placed all of my retirement contributions.

I was a member of the accounting firm of Friehling and Horowitz from 1989 to 2008. Friehling and Horowitz was the auditor of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities. Prior to my joining the firm my father-in-law Jerome Horowitz acted as the auditor for Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities. I assumed the responsibility as auditor of BLMIS in or around 1991 when my father-in-law retired.

THE COURT: So it's 1991 that he retired?

THE DEFENDANT: He didn't fully retire until 1998. He flew up and helped me and assisted me in the conduct of the audi t.

THE COURT: Did he do the field work for the audit? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Well, the two of us together

would.

3

8

10 11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

2

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 22 23

3

5

우

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: With the exception of my family members and some of the Madoff investors that I performed tax work for, I never had contact with Madoff investors and never acted as a feeder for BLMIS, solicited any investors for BLMIS or received any compensation from BLMIS other than the audit an tax preparation services I performed.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

36

37

9C3AAFRI P

PI ea

In what is surely the biggest mistake of my life I placed my trust in Bernard Madoff. While I am amongst thousands people who now make the same claim, I wish for your Honor to understand how I came to make not only this mistake but also how I came to suspend my judgment in committing crimes with which I am charged.

I was introduced to Bernard Madoff by my My father-in-law was asked to perform work for father-in-law. BLMIS in 1963 by his then boss Saul Alpern who is Ruth Madoff's I have known my father-in-law since the summer of 1972 when his daughter, my future wife and I, first met. We began to date when we were 14, attended the same college and married when we were 22. When I was a senior in college I decide to I pursue a career in accounting and received my CPA license in 1987.

I am prepared to accept responsibility for my conduct and do whatever I must to mitigate the impact it has had. the first day that the federal prosecutors and investigators were ready to ask me questions I have made may myself available and have endeavored to answer every question put to me truthfully and fully to the best of my ability. I am here today first to take responsibility for my mistakes and second to apologize to the Madoff victims for the role that I now know that I played in Bernard Madoff' massive and devastating fraud.

I am truly sorry for the suffering of all the victims. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

9C3AAFRI P PI ea I would also like to apologize once more to my father who lost his retirement sayings which is in our family investment account, to my children who lost their entire savings which we had worked so long and so hard to amass for their education and futures, and most especially to my wife who has lost everything that we have worked for over 27 years of marriage, not the

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
       least of which is our good name.
 8
                   Thank you, your Honor for the opportunity to address
       the Court and to make a public apology to the Madoff victims. I would now like to specifically address the details of my
10
11
       conduct.
12
                   With respect to the first six counts the information
13
       charging me with securities fraud, aiding and abetting,
       investment adviser fraud and making false filings with the
14
15
       Securities and Exchange Commission, I committed these crimes in
       my capacity as an independent auditor of BLMIS and did so within the Southern District of New York, specifically, in
17
18
       Manhattan and Rockland County.
       Through my accounting firm Friehling and Horowitz I served as the auditor of BLMIS, a broker/dealer and investment adviser, as the individual who certified that BLMIS financial
19
20
21
       statements, I was obligated to maintain independence from BLMIS
22
       and to audit the books and records of BLMIS consistent with
23
       generally accepted auditing standards and generally accepted
24
25
                                      I was not independent of BLMIS inasmuch
       accounting principals.
                          SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        38
       9C3AAFRI P
                                       PI ea
       as my entire savings and retirement account and the savings of
       my wife and children were invested in BLMIS. My investments at
       BLMIS exceeded $500,000.
                   With respect to conduct to conducting GAAS and GAAP
 5
       compliant audits, instead of following these standards and the standards set out by the ALCPA of which I was a member, I did
       not conduct independent verification of BLMIS assets, review material sources of BLMIS revenue, rigorously examine the BLMIS bank accounts for which the BLMIS client funds flowed or verified the purchase and custody of securities by BLMIS.

Instead I relied on the financial information provided by
10
11
       Bernard Madoff and other employees of BLMIS which I took at
12
       face value and used the information to prepare the BLMIS
13
14
       financial statements.
15
                   I certified that those statements were accurate and
       that GAAS and GAAP standards and did so knowing that they would
16
       be filed with the SEC and disseminated with investors THE COURT: Stop for a moment. Go ahead. F
17
                   THE COURT:
18
                                                                          Fi ni sh
19
       that.
20
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                      Indeed I was aware that the financial
21
       statements I certified were then sent to the SEC by the United
       States Postal Service and filed with the SEC on December 14,
22
23
       2004, December 30, 2005, December 22, 2006 and December 20,
24
       2007.
                   THE COURT: The question I wanted to put to you is SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        39
       9C3AAFRI P
                                       PI ea
       whether you knew at the time you prepared the financial
       statements that you were providing no independent verification
 3
       of that which GAAS required you to independently verify?
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                       Yes.
 56
                   THE COURT: YOU THE DEFENDANT:
                                  You were aware of that?
                                       Yes.
 7
                   THE COURT:
                                  You were aware that as a CPA you could not
 8
       just put on an opinion, you had to do an independent
       verification of assets and liability?
                   THE DEFENDANT:
10
                                       Yes.
11
                   THE COURT:
                                  Ri ght?
                                        Page 18
```

2

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
12
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                       Yes.
13
                   THE COURT: And that meant looking at all the accounts
14
       that were given to you and making sure that the assets were
15
       there?
16
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                       Yes.
                   THE COURT: Did these statements report customers'
17
                     They may not. I am just asking a question.
18
       accounts?
                   MR. LÁNKLÉR: Your Honor, it's a little difficult to
19
20
       answer that question.
                   THE COURT:
                                  There was a line due from customers, was
21
22
       there not?
       MR. LANKLER: From broker/dealers, your Honor.
THE COURT: From broker/dealers on accounts of
customers? I want to know what you knew at the time regarding
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
23
24
25
                                       (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        40
       9C3AAFRI P
                                       PI ea
 1
       what were owed to customers and what were to be received from
 2
       customers.
 3
                   (Pause)
                   MR. LANKLER:
                                   Your Honor, I think it would be helpful
       if rather than answer that question exactly the way you've put it because it deals sort of with the securities side of BLMIS
       that Mr. Friehling was not directly involved in in the same way
 8
       that he was with respect to the general financial statements.
                   THE COURT: 'I don't know what to ask specifically.
10
       don't have these reports in front of me and I can't remember on
       my own and from the basis of my own experience exactly what
11
12
       these reports reported.
                   So let me just give you the general question, ling. You knew that under the generally accepted
13
14
       Mr. Friehling.
15
       auditing standards that had to inform your work there was an
       obligation on the part of your firm and on your part to make
16
17
       sure that all that was reported on these statements were fair
18
       and accurate, right?
                   THE DEFENDANT:
19
                                       Yes.
                                 You had a number of tests that were
20
                   THE COURT:
       ordinarily to be performed regarding that proposition? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21
22
23
       THE COURT: You could not express an opinion as to the fairness and accuracy of the financial condition of the company
24
       and of its operating profits without going through all of these SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                       (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        41
       9C3AAFRI P
                                       PI ea
       independent verifications?
THE DEFENDANT: Y
                                       Yes.
       THE COURT: Didn't you put your signature on there just as if you had done all of these verifications?
 4
5
                   THÉ DEFENDANT:
                                       Yes.
 6
7
       THE COURT: By saying that you were signing statements that conformed with GAAS you were representing to anyone who
       would read these that you had done all of the independent verifications that a CPA is required to do?
 8
                   THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: So
10
                                      Yes.
                                 So you knew that when you put on your
11
       opinion it was a false opinion?
12
                   THE DEFENDANT:
13
                                       Yes.
                   THE COURT:
                                 And you knew that people would rely on
14
15
       that opinion?
16
```

2

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Page 19

9

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
17
                  THE COURT:
                                And you knew that these statements would
18
       be filed with the SEC with your false opinion on it?
                 THE DEFENDANT:
19
                                    Yes.
20
                 THE COURT:
                               Ms. Baroni, you want me to pursue anything
21
       el se?
22
                 MR. LANKLER: Your Honor, we haven't concluded the
23
       allocution.
                 MS. BARONI: I think that's sufficient, your Honor.
24
                 THE COURT: Go on, Mr. Friehling.
                         SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                  42
       9C3AAFRI P
                                     PI ea
                  THE DEFENDANT:
                                    With respect to Count Seven to Nine
 2
       charging me with obstructing or impeding the administration of
       the Internal Revenue laws, in addition to acting as the auditor for BLMIS I also prepared tax filings for Bernard Madoff and
 3
 5
                 In that capacity I prepared personal tax returns that
       contained information I knew that was not accurate and assisted
 7
       in the filing of those returns with the Internal Revenue
                 Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, anything more you want me to I do need to ask questions on forfeiture.
 8
       Servi ce.
10
       pursue?
                 MS. BARONI:
                                I think that's sufficient.
11
                                                                  Just venue on
12
       the Count Seven through Nine.
                 THE COURT: Where were you working? Your office was
13
14
       in Rockland County, was it not?
                 THE DEFENDANT:
15
                                    Yes, it was.
                 THE COURT: And Rockland County is where you issued
16
       some of these false statements, right?
17
                  THE DEFENDANT:
                                     Yes.
                  THE COURT:
19
                               And also the obstruction of the IRS was
       from Rockland County?
20
21
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
                 MR. LANKLER:
                                  Your Honor, it was also in Manhattan as
22
23
       well if your Honor wishes to inquire.
                  THE COURT:
24
                               And also in Manhattan as well?
25
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                     Yes
                         SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                  43
                                     PI ea
       9C3AAFRI P
                 THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, what shall I ask about
       forfei ture?
                 MS. BARONI: Just simply whether the defendant admits
       to the forfeiture allegation in the superseding information.
 5
                 THE COURT:
                                Set out on page 13.
 6
7
                 MS. BARONI:
THE COURT:
                                That's right, 13 and 14, your Honor.
Paragraph 27 alleges that as a result of
       committing the offenses constituting specified, unlawful
 8
      activity -- I'll skip the statutory reference -- alleged in Count One of the information. You shall forfeit to the United
10
       States all property real and personal that constitutes or is
11
12
       derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of the
      offenses; do you so agree?
THE DEFENDANT: Y
13
14
                                    Yes.
       THE COURT: And if any of the above described forfeitable property is a result of any act or omission from
15
16
       you, can't be located or has been transferred or sold through a
17
      deposit with someone else or place beyond the jurisdiction of
the court or been substantially diminished in value or
18
19
      commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty, this property also can be forfeited or a
20
21
```

우

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
        reasonable equivalent of such property.
23
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                          Yes.
24
                    THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Baroni? MS. BARONI: No, your Honor.
                             RONI: No, your Honor.
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                           (212) 805-0300
                                                                                               44
        9C3AAFRI P
                                           PI ea
                     THE COURT:
                                     Before I make my findings, anything else I
        should inquire about?
 3
                    MS. BARONI:
                                      No, your Honor. I think that's
        suffi ci ent.
       MR. LANKLER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Friehling, I find you guilty of each of these nine counts. I find that your plea of guilty is --

Let's not rush out, please. Sit down. Sit down. Sit down till this is finished then you can all leave. No one is
 5
 6
7
 8
10
        to leave until this is finished.
        I find you guilty of each of these nine counts. I find that your plea is voluntarily made, is made understanding the consequence of such a plea and that there an independent
11
12
13
14
        basis of fact to sustain your plea of guilty to each of these
15
        nine counts.
                    Accordingly, I instruct the clerk to enter a plea of
16
        guilty in place of your previously plea of not guilty.
17
                    Do we have a control date for sentencing, Ms. Baroni?
18
                    COURTROOM DEPUTY: February 26, 2010 at 11 a.m.
19
                    MS. BARONI: That's fine with the government, your
20
21
        Honor.
22
                    THE COURT: I instruct that Mr. Lankler or a member of
23
        his staff be given an opportunity to be present for any
        interview with Mr. Friehling to be conducted by the probation department. And I instruct Ms. Baroni to obtain a copy of this SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
25
                                           (212) 805-0300
                                                                                               45
        9C3AAFRI P
                                           PI ea
 1
        transcript and furnish it to the probation department for any
 23
        such interview to assist in the interview.
                    With regard to the forfeiture clause, Ms. Baroni, what
        is next step?
 5
                    MS. BARONI: Your Honor, the government will deliver
 6
        to your Honor's chambers this afternoon the preliminary order
        of forfeiture and the stipulation and order for the
        interlocutory sale of the defendant's properties.
 8
 9
                    THE COURT:
                                     Can that be done before 1:30?
                    MS. BARONI:
THE COURT:
10
                                      Sure.
        THE COURT: Anything else I need to deal with before I finished up these proceedings? There one thing more, right?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. The parties would jointly request the bail conditions be continued and actually the parties baye a joint proceed realization.
11
13
14
        actually the parties have a joint proposed package for your
15
        Honor that increases the current bail conditions.
16
17
                    THE COURT:
                                     Please recite them.
                                     It would be $2.5 million personal
                    MS. BARONI:
18
        recognizance bond cosigned by eight financially responsible
19
        people. The bond would be secured by approximately $1 million to $1.2 million of equity in five different properties, the
20
21
        properties owned by the cosigners.

THE COURT: This is all stated in your letter of
22
23
        November 2, is it not?
24
                                      The defendant's letter.
                    MS. BARONI:
                             SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

우

7

2

for you to call.

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                   46
       9C3AAFRI P
                                     PI ea
                              R: My letter, judge.
Have you read that letter?
Yes, your Honor.
 1
                  MR. LANKLER:
 2
                  THE COURT:
                  MS. BARONI:
                 THE COURT: Mr. Lankler, may it be filed?
MR. LANKLER: Yes, your Honor. In addition I have my
 4
5
6
7
       client's wife's travel documents which we're prepared to turn
       them over to Pretrial Services.
                  THE COURT:
 8
                                Fi ne.
                                        And, Ms. Smusz, you have reviewed
 9
       this as well?
10
                  MS. SMUSZ:
                                No, your Honor, but I have spoken to
       defense counsel on several occasions and they have recited to
11
      me what they intend to do with modifying the defendant's bail.

THE COURT: So, it's a $2,500,000 personal
12
13
14
       recognizance bond to be signed by the defendant and eight
15
       financially responsible persons.
                  MS. BARONI:
16
                                 That's correct.
17
                  THE COURT:
                                Have you very reviewed who these are?
       MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. The government through the FBI 'interviewed all of them and we find them to be
18
19
20
       financially responsible.
21
                  THE COURT:
                                And there are eight properties?
22
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 There are five properties.
                  THE COURT: And the aggregate estimated net equity in
23
24
       those five properties are between a million dollars and a
25
       million two hundred thousand dollars?
                         SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                   47
       9C3AAFRI P
                                 That's correct, judge.
                  MS. BARONI:
                  THE COURT:
                                Are any of them homes?
 2
3
4
5
6
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 Yes.
                  THE COURT:
                                Residents in which people reside?
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 That's right.
       THE COURT: So people are pledging their peoples to assure that there is no danger to the security of the people, the places or the government and that there is responsibility to attend all court dates and on the part of Mr. Friehling?
 8
 9
                  MS. BARONI: Yes.
10
11
                  THE COURT: Travel is restricted to the Southern
12
       District of New York, the Eastern District of New York and
       District of New Jersey.
13
                  MS. BARONI:
                                 That's right. And the defendant has
14
       surrendered his passport and his wife will now surrender her
15
       passport to Pretrial Services.
16
                  THE COURT:
                                And will make no new applications.
17
                                That's right.
There will be regular Pretrial Services
                  MS. BARONI:
18
                  THE COURT:
19
       supervision; what does that mean?
20
21
                  MS. SMUSZ:
                                Your Honor, as the defendant is reporting
22
       now he appears in person once monthly and telephones weekly.
                  THE COURT:
23
                                Is he required to keep a land line phone.
24
                  MS. SMUSZ:
                                No.
                                     That is not a requirement of that
25
       supervi si on.
                         SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                   48
       9C3AAFRI P
                                     PI ea
                  THE COURT:
                                I'd like to impose it to make it easier
```

```
Transcript - Friehling Plea Hearing held 11-3-2009. TXT
                   MS. SMUSZ:
                                  That will be fine, your Honor.
 4
                                  Do you have a land line phone?
                   THE COURT:
 5
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. You will maintain it
       throughout so that when you are called on a land line phone that's where you will answer as required by the probation
 6
7
 8
       office.
                   Anything else, Ms. Muse?
                                  No, your Honor.
                   MS. SMUŠZ:
10
11
                   THE COURT:
                                  Ms. Baroni?
                   MS. BARONI:
                                  No, your Honor. You consent to the continuation of these
12
13
                   THE COURT:
14
       bail conditions?
                                  The government does, your Honor. And urges me to accept them?
15
                   MS. BARONI:
                   THE COURT: And urges includes the MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.
MR LANKLER: I urge you to accept it as well, your
17
18
19
       Honor.
20
                   THE COURT:
                                  Before I make my findings I'd like to ask
       if there is anyone in the audience who wishes to address me?
21
22
       This is your chance, folks. If you want to write letters, the
23
       fact that you are here enables me to consider anything.
                   All right, there is no one that wants to add anything.

I order the continuation of bail with the enhanced

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
25
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        49
       9C3AAFRI P
                                        PI ea
       terms that have been expressed in court and found by me.
                   Anything further?
 3
                   MS. BARONI:
                                  That is all from the government, your
                  Thank you.
MR. LANKLER:
       Honor.
                                  No, your Honor.
Ms. Muse?
 5
6
7
                                                           Thank you very much.
                   THE COURT:
                                  No, your Honor.
The proceedings are then recessed.
                   MS. SMUSZ:
 8
                   THE COURT:
                                                                                 Anyone
 9
       wishing to leave can now leave.
10
                                             000
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Ť

## **Exhibit 6**

## In The Matter Of:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. ERIC S. LIPKIN,

June 6, 2011

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS
500 PEARL STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10007
212 805-0330

Original File 166DLIPP.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

## This Page Intentionally Left Blank

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. ERIC S. LIPKIN,  June 6, 2011		
166	dlipp Page 1	166dlipp Page 3
PFE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	PLEA
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	THE COURT: I will now explain certain rights that you
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y.	2 have under the Constitution of the United States.
4	v. S3 10 Cr. 228 (LTS)	You have the right to remain silent. You need not
5	ERIC S. LIPKIN,	4 make any statement. Even if you have already made statements
6	Defendant.	5 to the authorities, you need not make any additional
		6 statements. Any statements that you do make can be used
7	x	7 against you.
8	June 6, 2011	8 Do you understand these rights?
9	11:11 a.m.	9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
10	- 6	THE COURT: You have the right to be released either
11	Before:	11 conditionally or unconditionally pending trial unless I find
12	HON. LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN,	12 that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your
13	District Judge	13 presence at future court hearings and the safety of the
		14 community. If the government were to ask me to detain you
14	APPEARANCES	15 pending trial, you are entitled to a prompt hearing on whether
15	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the	16 such conditions exist.
16	Southern District of New York BY: JULIAN MOORE	Do you understand this right?
17	LISA A. BARONI	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
18	MATTHEW SCHWARTZ Assistant United States Attorneys	THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?
19	JAMES K. FILAN	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
20	Attorney for Defendant	THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an
21 22	- also present -	22 attorney today and at all future proceedings in this case, and
	SA Paul Takla, FBI SA Greg Hagarty, FBI	23 if you are unable to afford an attorney, I will appoint an
23 24 25	Natasha Ramesar, Pretrial Services Officer	24 attorney to represent you. Do you understand these rights?
25		THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
166 PLE	dlipp Page 2	166dlipp Page 4
1	THE CLERK: I call the case, United States of America	1 THE COURT: Do you wish to have and are you able to
_	versus Eric Lipkin.	2 obtain and afford counsel on your own?
3	MR. MOORE: Good morning, your Honor. Julian Moore	3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
	for the government. With me are my colleagues Lisa Baroni and	THE COURT: Have you retained Mr. Filan to represent
	Matthew Schwartz, of the U.S. Attorney's office, along with	5 you?
	Special Agents Greg Hagarty and Paul Takla, of the FBI, and	6 THE DEFENDANT: I have.
	Natasha Ramesar of the United States Pretrial Services.	7 THE COURT: And it is your desire to proceed in these
8	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Moore, Ms. Baroni and	8 matters with Mr. Filan as your retained counsel?
	Mr. Schwartz, Agent Hagarty, Agent Takla, and Officer Ramesar.	9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	MR. FILAN: Good morning, your Honor. James K. Filan,	THE COURT: And I am informed that Mr. Filan
		11 informed that Mr. Lipkin has an application to waive indictment
	counsel on behalf of Mr. Lipkin, who is seated at the table next to me.	12 and enter a guilty plea to the six-count Superseding
13	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Filan. Good morning, Mr. Lipkin.	13 Information that is labeled S3 10 Criminal 228.  14 Is that correct?
15	And, again, good morning all of you who have attended today.	MR. FILAN: That is correct, your Honor.
	today.	THE COURT: And this plea is pursuant to the agreement
17	Is this Mr. Lipkin's first appearance?	17 that has been marked as Government Exhibit 1, and is at defense
18	MR. FILAN: Yes, it is, your Honor.	18 table now?

19 THE COURT: All right. Well, then, let's deal with

20 the advice of rights matters first.

Mr. Lipkin, would you please stand. 21

22 Please state your full name.

THE DEFENDANT: Eric Lipkin. 23

THE COURT: How old are you, sir? 24

THE DEFENDANT: 37. 25

MR. FILAN: That is correct, your Honor. 19

THE COURT: And you and Mr. Lipkin have also reviewed 20 21 and signed the advice of rights form that has been marked as

22 Court Exhibit 1.

23 MR. FILAN: We have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. I have a question for

25 Mr. Moore at this point.

Page 8

June 6, 2011 166dlipp PLEA Page 5 166dlipp PLEA Mr. Moore, would you please make a statement for the 1 answer any of my questions falsely, your false or untrue 2 record as to the government's victim notification activities in 2 answers may later be used against you in another prosecution 3 connection with this matter. I note that the courtroom is full for perjury or making a false statement? 4 and that I signed an order requiring certain information be THE DEFENDANT: I do. 5 posted on the website, but perhaps you can bring us up to date. THE COURT: You can be seated for the next portion of 5 MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. the proceeding. 6 Last week we issued a letter to your attention 7 You indicated that your age is 37, is that correct? 7 8 requesting that we make public that this proceeding will be THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? 9 occurring today, namely, that Mr. Lipkin will be pleading to a 9 10 superseding S3 Information to the counts, which we will go THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from Ramapo College. 10 THE COURT: In what field of study? 11 through today. We also requested that we be able to post this 11 announcement on the U.S. Attorney's Madoff website. THE DEFENDANT: Economics. I also have an associates 12 12 Your Honor kindly ordered -- so ordered that letter on degree in finance. 13 13 14 Thursday, and those conditions did happen as of that date. THE COURT: Are you able to speak, read and understand THE COURT: Thank you. 15 15 the English language well? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Now, I had received a call indicating that there was 16 16 17 one victim who wished to be heard today. This morning we THE COURT: And you are a citizen of the United 17 received a further message that that person is unable to attend 18 States? THE DEFENDANT: I am. court today. 19 19 20 Is the government aware of any victims who are here THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 20 present today who wish to be heard? under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 21 MR. MOORE: We are not, your Honor. However, we are THE DEFENDANT: No. 22 22 aware that your deputy, Ms. Ng, did make a sign-up sheet THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized 24 available, but we do not believe anyone signed that sheet. 24 for any mental illness or for any type of addiction, including THE COURT: It's my understanding that no one has 25 25 drug or alcohol addiction? 166dlipp Page 6 166dlipp **PLEA PLEA** 1 signed on the sheet. THE DEFENDANT: No. 1 Is there anyone here who does wish to be heard? THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to any drugs 2 (Pause) or alcohol? 3 All right. We will proceed, then. THE DEFENDANT: No. 4 4 Mr. Lipkin, before I accept your Waiver of Indictment THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any 5 5 6 and your guilty plea, there are a number of questions that I drugs, medicine, or pills, or have you drunk any alcohol? 7 must ask you while you are under oath to assure that it is a THE DEFENDANT: No. 8 valid waiver and plea. At times I may cover a point more than THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 8 9 once, and I may cover matters that were also addressed in the 9 THE DEFENDANT: It is. THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today? 10 advice of rights form that you have seen. But if I do so, that 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 is because it is very important that you understand what is 11 THE COURT: Are you represented by a lawyer here 12 happening here today. 12 If you don't understand something that I ask you, 13 today? 13 14 please say so and I will reword the question or you may speak THE DEFENDANT: I am. 14 with your attorney. Do you understand that? THE COURT: What is your attorney's name? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE DEFENDANT: James Filan. 16 16 THE COURT: Ms. Ng, would you please administer the THE COURT: Mr. Filan, Mr. Moore, does either of you 17 17 have any doubt as to Mr. Lipkin's competence to waive **18** oath. THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. indictment and enter a plea at this time? 19 (The defendant was sworn) MR. MOORE: No, your Honor. 20 20 THE COURT: Would you please state your full name for MR. FILAN: I have no knowledge. He should be able 21 21 22 to, yes, your Honor. 22 the record.

THE DEFENDANT: Eric Scott Lipkin.

25 have solemnly promised to tell the truth, and that if you

THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, do you understand that you

23

23

25

24 reason for me --

THE COURT: Thank you. You have no knowledge of any

MR. FILAN: I have no reason to believe that he is

ERIC S. LIPKIN,

June 6, 2011

166dlipp

PLEA' 1 unable to enter a plea. I'm sorry.

2 THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Lipkin, your attorney has informed me that you wish to waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to an finformation. Do you wish to waive indictment and enter a guilty place?

guilty plea?

166dlipp

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with your attorney, including the charges to which you intend to plead guilty and any possible defenses you may have to those charges?

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: Have you and your attorney also discussed the consequences of entering a guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we have.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorney and

17 his representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: I am.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Lipkin's responses to

20 my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that21 he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time

22 and to waive indictment.

Before I accept your plea, sir, I am going to ask you many so more questions. These questions are intended to

25 satisfy the Court that you wish to plead guilty because you are

PLEA THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, and at all stages

Page 11

3 leading up to it, you would have the right to be represented by

4 an attorney, and if you could not afford one, an attorney would

5 be provided to you free of cost. Do you understand that?

6 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

7 THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the 8 right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your

9 attorney could cross-examine them. You would also have the

10 right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence

11 and offer evidence on your behalf, if you so desired. In

12 addition, you would have the right to have witnesses required

13 to come to court to testify in your defense, and you would have

14 the right to testify yourself but you would not be required to

15 testify.

Do you understand all of that?

17 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference

20 could be drawn against you based on your decision not to

21 testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that

25 verdict?

166dlipp Page 10 PLEA

1 in fact guilty and that you fully understand your rights and

2 the consequences of your plea. I am now going to describe to

3 you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and

4 laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights

5 if you enter a guilty plea. Please listen carefully. If you

6 do not understand something that I'm saying or describing, stop

7 me and I or your attorney will explain it more fully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on

to the charges against you that are set out in the Information.

Do you understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right

14 to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty?

15 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be

17 presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove18 you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt.

19 You would not have to prove that you were innocent at a trial.

20 Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of

23 12 people selected from this district would have to agree

24 unanimously in order to find you guilty. Do you understand

**25** that?

166dlipp Page 12 PLEA

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of

3 these rights that I've asked you about?

4 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of these rights?

7 THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not.

8 THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a

9 guilty plea today you will be giving up each and every one of

10 these rights?

11 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be

13 giving up any possible claim that your constitutional rights

14 may have been violated?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: And do you understand that you will have no trial if you plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a

20 guilty plea, you will also have to give up your right not to

21 incriminate yourself because I will ask you questions about

22 what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as

23 charged and you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your

Filed 01/26/12 Page 7 of 27 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. ERIC S. LIPKIN,

166dlipp PLEA 166dlipp Page 13 Page 15 **PLEA** 1 mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty? You do 1 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney? 2 not have to enter this plea if you do not want to for any THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we have. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you reason. Do you understand this fully? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. that are detailed in the Information? THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty? THE DEFENDANT: I do. 5 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: If you would like, I can read the 6 6 7 THE COURT: The document that contains the charges to 7 Information out loud now here in court to you. Do you want me to read it out loud to you? 8 which you've indicated you wish to plead guilty is called an 9 information. It has been issued by the United States Attorney. 9 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: I find that Mr. Lipkin's Waiver of You have a constitutional right to be charged by an indictment 10 rather than an information. An indictment would be a charge 11 Indictment is knowing and voluntary. I accept it and I so issued from the grand jury. Do you understand that? 12 12 order it. THE DEFENDANT: I do. Mr. Lipkin, do you understand that Count One of the 13 13 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show 14 Superseding Information charges you with violating Section 371 14 15 Mr. Lipkin the Waiver of Indictment form. 15 of Title 18 of the United States Code by participating in a (Pause) conspiracy to, one, violate 15 United States Code, Sections 16 Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this Waiver of Indictment? 78q(a) and 78ff and Title 17 of the Code of Federal 17 THE DEFENDANT: I have. **18** Regulations, Section 240.17a-3 by falsifying books and records 18 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 19 of a broker-dealer, as well as conspiring to violate Title 15 19 THE DEFENDANT: I did. 20 20 of the United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17 and 17 THE COURT: You did discuss it with your attorney 21 21 C.F.R., Section 275.204-2 by falsifying books and records of an before you signed it? 22 investment advisor, and also conspiring to violate Title 18 of 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 23 the United States Code, Sections 1027 and 2 by falsifying THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed 24 statements to facilitate the theft concerning ERISA, that being 24 25 it? 25 the Employee Retirement Income Security Act? 166dlipp Page 14 166dlipp Page 16 **PLEA** PLEA THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 1 1 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you do not waive THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Two of the 2 3 indictment, if the government wished to prosecute you on these 3 Superseding Information charges you with violating Title 18 of 4 particular charges that are in the Information, the government 4 the United States Code, Section 371 by participating in a 5 would have to present the case to the grand jury, which might 5 conspiracy to violate Title 18, Section 1344, by defrauding a 6 or might not indict you on those charges? 6 financial institution, the deposits of which were then insured THE DEFENDANT: Yes. by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation? 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 8 9 obligation to waive indictment? THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Three of the THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 10 Superseding Information charges you with falsifying books and THE COURT: Do you understand that by waiving 11 records of a broker-dealer, in violation of 15 United States 11 indictment, you are giving up your right to have the case Code, Sections 78q(a) and 78ff; 17 of the Code of Federal 12 presented to the grand jury? Regulations, Section 240.17a-3; and Title 18 of the United THE DEFENDANT: Yes. States Code, Section 2? 14 THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Four of the 16 THE COURT: Did anyone make any threats or promises to Superseding Information charges you with falsifying books and 17 get you to waive indictment? 18 records of an investment advisor, in violation of Title 15 of THE DEFENDANT: No, they did not. 19 the United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17; 17 Code of THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the Third 20 Federal Regulations, Section 275.204-2; and Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2? 21 Superseding Information that has the word "Information" at the 21 top and the number S3 10 Cr. 228 on it? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: I did. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Five of the THE COURT: Have you read it? 24 Superseding Information charges you with making false 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 25 statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, in violation

Case 1:11-cv-03605-JSR Document 89-6 Filed 01/26/12 Page 8 of 27 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v.

ERIC S. LIPKIN, June 6, 2011 166dlipp Page 17 166dlipp Page 19 **PLEA PLEA** 1 of 18 United States Code, Sections 1027 and 2? 1 rules and regulations; third, that the defendant aided and THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 2 abetted BLMIS' failure to make and keep accurate records; 3 THE COURT: And do you understand that Count Six of fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully; and, 4 the Superseding Information charges you with committing bank 4 fifth, the offense involved the use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce. 5 fraud with respect to a federally insured bank, in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Sections 1344 and 2? 6 With regard to Count Five, falsifying statements to 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, in order to prove this 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that the government crime the government must demonstrate beyond a reasonable doubt 9 would have to prove each and every part or element of each of that, first, that at the time of the alleged offense, the these charges beyond a reasonable doubt at trial if you did not defendant made a false statement; second, that the defendant 11 plead guilty? knew the statement to be false; and, third, that the defendant THE DEFENDANT: I do. 12 made a false statement in a document required by ERISA. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, would you please explain for 13 Finally, your Honor, with regard to Count Six, bank 14 the record the elements that the government would have to prove 14 fraud, in order to prove this crime beyond a reasonable doubt, 15 if you were to go to trial on these charges? the government must demonstrate, first, that at the time of the 16 MR. MOORE: Certainly, your Honor. alleged offense the defendant executed or attempted execute a 17 With regard to Counts One and Two, the conspiracy scheme or artifice to defraud a bank, or that the defendant counts, in order to prove the crime of conspiracy, the execute or attempted to execute a scheme or artifice to obtain government must establish each of the following elements beyond money owned by or under the custody or control of that bank by 20 a reasonable doubt: means of false or fraudulent pretense, representations or 21 First, that the conspiracy charged in the Information promises; second, your Honor, the defendant engaged in a scheme existed, in other words, that there was in fact an agreement or or artifice knowingly and willfully and with the specific 22 understanding to violate the laws of the United States; intent to defraud the bank; and, third, that the bank involved was a federally chartered or insured financial institution. 24 Second, your Honor, that the defendant knowingly,

25

166dlipp

Page 18 **PLEA** 

25 willingly and voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy

And, third, that any one of the conspirators, not 3 necessarily the defendant, knowingly committed at least one 4 overt act in the Southern District of New York in furtherance

of the conspiracy during the life of that conspiracy.

With regard to Count Three, your Honor, falsifying broker-dealer books and records, in order to prove this crime, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the

9 following elements:

1 charged;

10 First, that at the time of the alleged offense,

Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, otherwise known as "BLMIS," was a registered broker; 12

Second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain 13 14 accurate records, as required under the SEC's rules and regulations;

Third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS' 16 failure to make and keep accurate records; and 17

Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and 18 19 willfully.

20 With regard to Count Four, your Honor, falsifying books and records of an investment advisor, the government must 22 prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

23 First, that at the time of the alleged offense BLMIS 24 was an investment advisor; second, that BLMIS failed to make

25 and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's

166dlipp Page 20 **PLEA** 

Mr. Lipkin, do you understand the matters that the government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum

THE COURT: Thank you.

possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count One is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment? 12

THE DEFENDANT: I do. 13

possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Two is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus 21 three years of supervised release after your term of 22 imprisonment?

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum

23 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum 25 possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in 166dlipp Page 21 PLEA

- 1 Count Three is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the 2 greatest of \$5 million, twice the gain resulting from the
- 3 offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the
- 4 offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution
- 5 to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct,
- 6 plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment? 7
- THE DEFENDANT: I do. 8
- 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum 10 possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in
- 11 Count Four is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the
- greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense
- or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all
- persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus
- three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment? 17
- 18 THE DEFENDANT: I do.
- THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum 19
- 20 possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in
- Count Five is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the
- greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense 23 or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense,
- plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all
- 25 persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus
  - Page 22
- 1 three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?
- THE DEFENDANT: I do. 3
- THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum
- 5 possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in
- 6 Count Six is 30 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the
- greatest of \$1 million, twice the gain resulting from the
- 8 offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the
- 9 offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution
- to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct,
- plus five years of supervised release after your term of
- imprisonment? 12

166dlipp

**PLEA** 

- THE DEFENDANT: I do. 13
- THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum 14
- possible combined penalty for the six crimes with which you are
- charged is 70 years of imprisonment plus a fine of \$7 million
- or, if greater, the sums of the relevant gains and losses and
- statutory amounts relating to your offenses, plus full
- restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct,
- plus a \$600 mandatory special assessment, plus supervised
- release for five years after your term of imprisonment? 21
  - THE DEFENDANT: I do.
- THE COURT: I will now give you some information to 23
- 24 verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of
- 25 the potential penalty.

- 166dlipp PLEA Page 23
- "Supervised release" means that you will be subject to
- 2 monitoring when you are released from prison. Terms and
- 3 conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set
- 4 terms and conditions, you can be reimprisoned without a jury
- 6 If you were on supervised release and do not comply
- 7 with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be returned to
- prison for the remainder of the term of supervised release, you
- 9 will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison
- as a result of your sentence and no credit for any time spent
- 11 on post-release supervision. So, for example, if you received
- 12 a prison term and then a three-year term of supervised release
- and after you left prison you lived up to the terms of
- 14 supervised release for two years but then you violated some
- term of the supervised release, you could be returned to prison
- for three full years.
- Do you understand that? 17
- 18 THE DEFENDANT: I do.
- THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept 19
- 20 your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may
- deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as the right to
- vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?
- THE DEFENDANT: I do. 24
- 25 THE COURT: Do you understand that there are
- 166dlipp Page 24 PLEA
- determining your sentence?
- THE DEFENDANT: I do. 3
- THE COURT: Has your attorney discussed the Sentencing

1 Sentencing Guidelines that the Court must consider in

- Guidelines with you?
- 6 THE DEFENDANT: He has.
- THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining your
- sentence, the Court has an obligation to calculate the
- 9 applicable Sentencing Guidelines' range and to consider that
- 10 range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and
- other sentencing factors under Title 18 of the United States
- Code, Section 3553(a)? 12
- 13 THE DEFENDANT: I do.
- THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or 15 anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your
- sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong?
  - THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if
- 19 your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone
- else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you
- expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea and you
- will not be allowed to withdraw your plea of guilty?
- 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be
- 25 imposed will be determined solely by the Court and that I can

22

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v.

ERIC S. LIPKIN, June 6, 2011 166dlipp PLEA Page 25 166dlipp Page 27 PLEA 1 only determine the sentence after the Probation Department 1 THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about 2 prepares a presentence report? your plea, cooperation and sentence covered in this agreement? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has 4 THE COURT: Has anything been left out? THE DEFENDANT: I don't believe so. 5 discretion, while taking into account the specific provisions 5 6 6 and policy statements in the guidelines, to sentence you to any THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you other number of years imprisonment between zero and the combined than what is set out in that agreement or threatened you or statutory maximums of 70 years? forced you to plead guilty or to enter into this agreement? 9 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 9 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the 10 10 sentence, or are you being prosecuted for any other crime? 11 11 government does not oppose or take a position on what your 12 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not. 12 attorney will ask as a sentence, I am free to impose whatever THE COURT: Do you understand that the Superseding 13 13 sentence I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and 14 Information also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the 14 the applicable law and you will have no right to withdraw your government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the 15 plea? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 United States any and all property constituting and derived THE COURT: Do you understand that this agreement from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the crimes 17 charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Six, including up to provides that prior to the date of sentencing, you must file approximately \$143.2 billion as to Counts One and Three and accurate amended tax returns for the years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 20 approximately \$700,000, including your interest in certain real 2009, and pay or enter into an agreement to pay past taxes due property, as to each of Counts Two and Six? and owing by you to the Internal Revenue Service, including any MR. FILAN: Your Honor, Mr. Lipkin understands that he applicable penalties? 22 has to admit to the forfeiture allegation regarding the bank THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement 24 fraud crimes in Two and Six, but he is not admitting today to 25 Count One and Three. 25 provides that you must cooperate fully with the office of the 166dlipp Page 26 166dlipp Page 28 **PLEA PLEA** THE COURT: Yes. In this section of the allocution, I 1 United States Attorney, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, am confirming his understanding that there is a forfeiture and any other law enforcement agency designated by the United allegation. We will get to the admission issues later. States Attorney? MR. FILAN: Absolutely, your Honor. I just wanted --THE DEFENDANT: I do. 4 he showed some confusion there, and I wanted to make sure he 5 THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement does other than the United States Attorney? THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show Mr. Lipkin the agreement, Government Exhibit 1. THE DEFENDANT: I do. 8 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement 10 MR. FILAN: Yes, your Honor. 10 provides that if the United States Attorney determines that you THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this 11 agreement? 12 THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Did you fully discuss it with your you in light of the factors set forth in subdivision (a)(1) 16 attorney before you signed it? through (5) of that Section of the guidelines? 17 17

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we did. 18

19 THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you 20 signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21

22 THE COURT: Does the agreement accurately reflect your

complete and total understanding of the entire agreement

between the government, your attorney and you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25

not bind any federal, state or local prosecuting authority

11 have provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution, and if you have fully complied with the 13 understandings specified in the agreement, that the United 14 States Attorney will file a motion pursuant to Section 5K1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines requesting that the Court sentence

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 18

19 THE COURT: Do you understand that the factors that the Court may consider under Section 5K1.1 include the significance and usefulness of your assistance, taking into account the government's evaluation of your assistance; the truthfulness, completeness and reliability of any information

or testimony you provided; the nature and extent of your

25 assistance; any injuries suffered or any danger or risk of

June 6, 2011

166dlipp

**PLEA** 1 injury to you on your family as a result of your assistance; 2 and the timeliness of your assistance?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 3

4 THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the United 5 States Attorney files such a motion, the sentence to be imposed on you remains within the sole discretion of the Court?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your plea if the Court denies the motion? 10

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

11 THE COURT: Do you understand that if United States Attorney determines that you have not provide substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution or that you have violated any provision of the agreement, the United States

15 Attorney is not obligated to file a motion under Section 5K1.1?

16 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be 17 entitled to withdraw your guilty plea even if the United States Attorney has not filed a motion?

20 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

21 THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes or if it is determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading 24 testimony or information, or that you otherwise violated any 25 provision of the agreement, you will be subject to prosecution

166dlipp PLEA Page 31

1 against you in the total amount of \$1.4 million in United

2 States currency, and that you will forfeit all of your interest

3 in certain real property in Ridgewood, New Jersey and in

4 certain accounts held in the names of or for the benefit of

you, your wife and minor children at Fidelity Investments?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Filan, is that a correct statement?

8 MR. FILAN: Absolutely, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, do you still wish to plead

guilty pursuant to this agreement? 10 11

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, do you know of any valid reason

why Mr. Lipkin would prevail at trial? 13

MR. FILAN: I do not, your Honor. 14

15 THE COURT: Do you know any reason why he should not

be permitted to plead guilty?

MR. FILAN: I do not. 17

THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, would you please stand at this 18 time and tell me what you did that makes you guilty of each of the crimes charged in the third Superseding Information.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to first 21 22 apologize to my family, my friends, and all the victims in this

case. I'm very sorry for my conduct.

I now want to address my actions as they relate to the 24 25 charges against me.

166dlipp Page 30 PLEA<sup>®</sup>

1 for any federal violations of which the United States Attorney 2 has knowledge, including perjury and obstruction of justice?

THE DEFENDANT: I do. 3

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement 5 provides that if you commit any further crimes, or if it is 6 determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading 7 testimony or information, or that you otherwise violated any 8 provision of this cooperation agreement, all statements made by 9 you to the United States Attorney or other designated law 10 enforcement agents and any testimony given by you before a grand jury or other tribunal may be admissible in evidence in any criminal proceedings against you? 12

13 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement also 14 provides that you may not assert a claim that such statements should be suppressed from evidence and that you are waiving 17 your right to claim that such statements should be suppressed?

18 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

19 THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 3, this agreement also includes your agreement to forfeit to the United States any and all property constituting and derived from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Six in an amount to be determined by 24 the Court as to Counts One and Three, and as to Counts Two and 25 Six, that you are agreeing to the entry of a money judgment 166dlipp **PLEA** 

With regard to the conspiracy charged in Count One and 2 also charged in Counts Three, Four and Five, I worked with

3 Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities' employees to deceive

others. I created fake DTC reports in New York City.

5 I created these documents knowingly and willingly. I 6 knew that these documents were fake because they were created

7 by me and not by the DTC. I created them to match documents

8 given to me by other BLMIS employees. My understanding was

9 that the fake DTC reports that were prepared were being given

to the auditors to mislead them.

Also as part of the conspiracy charged in Count One and the charges in Count Three, Four and Five, it was my job to prepare the BLMIS payroll documents and records. As part of my 14 job from at least 1996 in New York City, I created fake, false payroll records and also submitted to the Department of Labor inaccurate form 5500s. These forms falsely showed that a number of people were employees of BLMIS when in fact I knew they were not working for BLMIS.

For instance, sometime in 2008, Daniel Bonventre 20 instructed me to include one of his sons as an employee when I 21 knew he wasn't working there, and I agreed to do it; and I created BLMIS payroll records to reflect that he worked there.

23 Further, beginning in 2007, in New York City, I

24 knowingly certified on the Form 5500 that there were people who

25 worked at BLMIS when in fact they did not. I also understood

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. ERIC S. LIPKIN, June 6, 2011

166dlipp PLEA Page 33 1 that the Form 5500 contained a certification that all

2 information on the form was accurate, and I signed it knowing

- 3 it was not accurate and then I submitted it to the Department 4 of Labor using Federal Express.
- Counts Two and Six.
- Regarding Count Two, conspiracy to commit bank fraud, 7 and Count Six, bank fraud, I was attempting to get a
- construction loan. In order to ensure I received the loan, I
- went to Frank DiPiscali to create a new BLMIS account in my
- name that falsely said my account value was greater than it
- was. I knew I could ask Frank DiPiscali to do this for me
- because I knew it had been done previously for other BLMIS 13 employees.
- That account statement was created in New York at 14
- 15 BLMIS in November of 2008. Once I got the fake statement, I
- sent it from New York City to a bank in Florida. I knew that
- the account did not have the money in it that the statement
- said it did and that it was wrong to mislead the lender to get the loan. 19
- 20 THE COURT: Would you remain standing. I have a few 21 more questions for you.
- You indicated that the fake DTC reports that you were 22
- making were going to be given to the auditors in order to
- deceive auditors. What did you understand the auditors were
- 25 going to do with that information?

## 166dlipp PLEA

1 illegal?

- THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2
- THE COURT: Mr. Moore, does the government wish any 3

Page 35

- further factual matters to be addressed in the plea allocution?
- MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor. 5
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, would you please summarize the
- government's evidence against Mr. Lipkin. 7
- And, Mr. Lipkin, you can be seated, please.
- 9 MR. MOORE: Certainly, your Honor.
- Had this case proceeded to trial, the government would 10
- 11 have proven, through testimony and evidence, beyond a
- 12 reasonable doubt the facts set forth in the Superseding
- Information. Specifically, the government would have proven
- 14 with respect to Counts One, Three, Four and Five of the
- 15 Information a conspiracy to falsify books and records of a
- 16 broker-dealer and investment advisor and conspiracy to falsify
- statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, along with
- the corresponding substantive charges: That Mr. Lipkin was
- employed by BLMIS from in or about the mid-1980s through at
- least on or about December 11, 2008, when BLMIS collapsed. In
- or about 1996, Mr. Lipkin began working with his
- co-conspirators in falsifying the books and records at BLMIS.
- For instance, Mr. Lipkin, working with other
- 24 co-conspirators, created fraudulent account statements
- 25 detailing the account values of several investment advisory, or

## 166dlipp Page 34 **PLEA**

- THE DEFENDANT: It was to confirm positions at several investment advisory accounts that we were reporting at.
- THE COURT: And to what persons or entities were the 3
- 4 reports going to be made?
- THE DEFENDANT: The SEC. 5
- 6 THE COURT: And you use the term "DTC," what does that 7 mean?
- 8 THE DEFENDANT: Depository Trust Company.
- 9 THE COURT: You used the term "5500" reports. What are those? 10
- THE DEFENDANT: Those are reports that certify monies 11 12 that are in a 401(k) plan.
- THE COURT: And is the 401(k) plan a type of plan 13
- 14 that's governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act? 15 THE DEFENDANT: It is.
- THE COURT: And to what agency, if any, of the 16 government are the 5500s submitted? 17
- THE DEFENDANT: The federal government, the IRS -- the 18 19 Department of Labor. I'm sorry.
- 20 THE COURT: I notice that you were looking at some notes as you were speaking. Do those notes accurately reflect
- 22 truthful information and actions that you yourself took?
- 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they do.
- 24 THE COURT: When you did these things that you have
- 25 described, did know that what you were doing was wrong and

166dlipp Page 36 **PLEA** 

- 1 "IA", accounts at BLMIS. In furtherance of this fraud, your Honor, Mr. Lipkin prepared letters and statements setting out
- fake holdings purportedly held in multiple BLMIS IA accounts.
- Further, in connection with reviews by the SEC and a
- European accounting firm, Mr. Lipkin and other co-conspirators
- created false and fraudulent BLMIS books and records as well as
- false documents purportedly obtained from third parties in the
- ordinary course of business at BLMIS. For example, Mr. Lipkin
- and others created fake reports purportedly obtained by the
- 10 Depository Trust Company, or "DTC." These fake DTC reports
- purported to show the securities holdings of BLMIS IA clients,
- which in fact did not exist. Mr. Lipkin knew the purpose of
- these fake DTC reports was to mislead the auditors.
- During his tenure at BLMIS, and at the direction of other co-conspirators, Mr. Lipkin also created false BLMIS
- books and records reflecting individuals who did not actually
- work at BLMIS. Mr. Lipkin was responsible for processing the
- payroll and administering the 401(k) plans at BLMIS, and in
- 19 this capacity, your Honor, Mr. Lipkin was responsible for
- 20 preparing and maintaining internal BLMIS payroll records. He
- 21 was aware that there were individuals on BLMIS's payroll who
- did not work for the firm but who nevertheless received
- salaries and benefits. Mr. Lipkin created false internal BLMIS
- 24 payroll records reflecting that these individuals worked at
- 25 BLMIS. Furthermore, he included a number of fake employees in

June 6, 2011 ERIC S. LIPKIN, 166dlipp Page 37 166dlipp PLEA Page 39 PLEA 1 the total number of employees that he reported to the 1 concerning ERISA. THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, is Mr. Moore's summary of the 2 Department of Labor. With respect to Counts Two and Six, conspiracy to 3 nature and significance in terms of entitlement to benefits 4 commit bank fraud and bank fraud, your Honor, the government 4 under an ERISA government plan accurate with respect to your own activities in falsifying the 401(k) plan records? 5 would prove that in or about 2008, Mr. Lipkin prepared and THE DEFENDANT: It is, your Honor. 6 submitted a loan application to a lending institution insured 6 THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, would you please stand again. 7 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or "FDIC," which 8 purported to represent accurately his personal and financial 8 Mr. Lipkin, how do you now plead to the charge against 9 information. However, he fraudulently improved his you in Count One of the third Superseding Information, not 10 creditworthiness by falsifying his personal and financial guilty or guilty? 11 information in a manner that was material to the lending 11 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 12 institution in making its lending decision. Specifically, 12 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 13 Mr. Lipkin, working with others, prepared and submitted false you in Count Two of the third Superseding Information, not and misleading information concerning his assets. guilty or guilty? 15 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, before you sit down, or I will 15 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 16 ask you to stand back up, would you just map for me a little 16 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against more precisely the nature of the violation of the you in Count Three of the third Superseding Information, not 18 ERISA-specific charge, which we've referred to as facilitating guilty or guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 19 false statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, the 19 elements had been recited, and the allocution here deals with 20 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against the falsification of plan financial reports by including people you in Count Four of the third Superseding Information, not who were not employees. guilty or guilty? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 23 Is there also a specific theft element? Is there a 23 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 24 necessity to show intent that plan assets were actually to be 24 25 given to someone who wasn't entitled to them? I just don't 25 you in Count Five of the third Superseding Information, not 166dlipp Page 38 166dlipp Page 40 PLEA<sup>®</sup> PLEA 1 understand the nexus between the theft concept and the false 1 guilty or guilty? statements to my satisfaction. THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. MR. MOORE: Sure. Your Honor, I don't believe that is 3 THE COURT: And how do you now plead to the charge 4 an essential element of the crime, but we have alleged that and 4 against you in Count Six of the third Superseding Information, 5 I believe defendant has admitted to that nevertheless. not guilty or guilty? 6 It is our understanding -- and we would prove this 6 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty to each of these 7 beyond a reasonable doubt should this case go to trial -- that charges because you are in fact guilty of the conduct charged 8 Mr. Lipkin submitted the Form 5500, which is a reporting form 9 that goes to the Department of Labor, which essentially lists in each count? 10 the number of employees at the employer's institution. In this 10 THE DEFENDANT: I am. THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of 11 case, Mr. Lipkin inflated that number, therefore misreporting 11 12 your own free will? 12 the number of employees who were working at BLMIS, when he in 13 fact knew that there were fewer employees working at that 13 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 14 institution. As a result of doing so, he also put them on the THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show 14 Mr. Lipkin Court Exhibit 1, the advice of rights form. internal payroll records at BLMIS, thus giving those employees who in fact never worked at BLMIS 401(k) plans and other Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this form? 16 salaries and benefits. 17 THE DEFENDANT: I have. 17 Can you hold on one second, your Honor? THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 18 18 THE DEFENDANT: I did. 19 19 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney 20 Right. Entitling them to benefits provided under the 20

21 Social Security Administration as well as under a 401(k) plan,

22 your Honor. As a result of that, your Honor, the Form 5500

24 therefore, we believe Mr. Lipkin has met all the three elements

25 for Count Five, falsifying statements to facilitate a theft

that goes to the Department of Labor does concern ERISA, and,

22

23

25

24 it?

21 before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: We did.

THE DEFENDANT: I did.

THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed

ERIC S. LIPKIN, June 6, 2011

Page 41

166dlipp PLEA 1 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, did you also review and sign **2** Court Exhibit 1?

- THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Mr. Filan, are there any other questions that you believe I should ask Mr. Lipkin in connection with this plea? 6
- 7 MR. FILAN: No, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, are there any other questions 9 that you believe I should ask Mr. Lipkin in connection with this plea? 10
- 11 MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: And are there any victims who wish to speak in connection with this matter? 13
- (Pause) 14
- 15 I note that no one has raised their hand.
- Mr. Lipkin, you have acknowledged that you are guilty 16 as charged in the Information. I find that you know your 17 rights and that you are waiving them voluntarily.
- Because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily 19 20 and is supported by an independent basis in fact containing
- each of the essential elements of each of the offenses, I 22 accept your guilty plea, and I adjudge you guilty of the
- offenses charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five and Six
- 24 of the third Superseding Information in this case, which is
- 25 numbered 10 Criminal 228.

166dlipp Page 43 PLEA

- 1 MR. MOORE: We will, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you. 2
- 3 When it is time for sentencing, counsel, I just wish 4 to ask and to remind you to be prompt in getting any objections
- or additional information to Probation after the draft report
- is disclosed, and any 5K1.1 letter or letter indicating an
- intention to make a motion pursuant to 5K1.1 must be prepared
- and submitted to the Court and the Probation Department before
- the probation report is completed so that I can have the
- benefit of the Probation Department's thinking in that regard 11 as well.

12 Sentencing submissions are governed by my sentencing submission procedures, which are available on the court's website and also here in hard copy in the courtroom. 15 Mr. Lipkin, the Probation Office will be preparing a

presentence report at some point to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the Probation Office. It is

- 18 important that the information that you give to the probation 19 officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in
- 20 my decision as to what your sentence will be. You and your
- 21 attorney have a right and will have an opportunity to examine
- 22 the report, to challenge or comment on it, and to speak on your
- behalf before sentencing.
- Failing to be truthful with the Probation Office and 24 25 the Court may have an adverse effect on your sentence and may

166dlipp Page 42 **PLEA** 

- You can be seated now. Thank you. 1
- THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 2
- 3 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, do you wish to be present for
- 4 any interview of Mr. Lipkin in connection with the preparation
- of the presentence report?
- 6 MR. FILAN: I do, your Honor.
- THE COURT: I will make that direction. 7
- 8 MR. FILAN: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Mr. Moore, what is the parties' request with respect to the setting of a sentencing date or a control 11 date?
- 12 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, at this time, we request that 13 we have six months for a sentencing control date.
- THE COURT: And that a presentence report not be 14 ordered at this point?
- MR. MOORE: That is correct, your Honor. 16
- THE COURT: Ms. Ng, may we have a control date six 17 months out? 18
- 19 THE CLERK: Thursday, December 15, 2011, at 11 a.m.
- 20 THE COURT: The sentencing control date is set for
- 21 December 15, 2011, at 11 in the morning. 22 In advance of that date, Mr. Moore, will the
- government inform the Court as to whether it is appropriate to
- 24 commence the preparation of the presentence report or to
- 25 further extend the control date?

166dlipp Page 44 **PLEA** 

- subject you to prosecution.
- Do you understand that?
- THE DEFENDANT: I do. 3
- THE COURT: Thank you. 4
- Now, give me just one moment here. 5
- 6 (Pause)
- 7 Section 3143 of Title 18 provides that the Court is to
- order a person who has been found guilty of an offense and who
- is awaiting sentencing as a general matter to be detained
- unless the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing
- 11 evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger 12 to the safety of any other person or the community if released.

Do the parties have a proposal with respect to 14 detention or release, and would the government set forth its

position as to the 3143 factors? MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. In light of Mr. Lipkin's

cooperation and his full disclosure of his financial assets, 18 his dealings at BLMIS, we are confident that he will be 19 following the rules as set in our proposed bail package that we would like to present to the Court; namely, that his bail be secured by a \$2.5 million personal recognizance bond, secured by \$800,000 in cash or property.

THE COURT: And that would be property other than the 24 residential property that has been mentioned in connection with 25 the --

166dlipp Page 45 **PLEA** 

- 1 MR. MOORE: Absolutely, your Honor.
- We also request that he be subject to strict pretrial
- 3 supervision; that his travel be restricted to the Southern and
- 4 Eastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jersey,
- where he resides, and the District of Connecticut, where his
- attorney practice.
- 7 Your Honor, we also request that he surrender all of
- his travel documents and make new no travel applications. 8
- 9 Your Honor, we would request that these conditions be met no later than this Friday. 10
- THE COURT: And have you had sufficient dealings up to 11
- now with Mr. Lipkin to have a view as to his reliability in
- keeping appointments, his ability to be trusted at his word in
- such relevant matters?
- 15 MR. MOORE: We have, your Honor, as well as the
- agency, the FBI, has been working with him. 16
- In addition, your Honor, to ensure our confidence in 17
- 18 Mr. Lipkin, we have already interviewed a number of cosigners that have volunteered to serve as suriters for his bond.
- Specifically, we've interviewed seven cosigners that he has
- proposed, and at this time we are prepared to approve all of
- 22 them.
- 23 THE COURT: And is there anything that you wish to say
- 24 to me with respect to community or family ties and the
- 25 significance of those matters in terms of risk of flight?

- 166dlipp PLEA Page 47
- 1 truthful disclosure about his own financial assets and the
- 2 whereabouts of those assets.
- THE COURT: Given the termination of operations of
- 4 BLMIS, does the government have a view as to potential danger
- 5 to the community? Is that an issue here?
- MR. MOORE: We do not believe it is an issue in this
- case, your Honor. No.
- THE COURT: And I understand that Mr. Lipkin is
- 9 recently unemployed. What is the government's position as to
- the significance of that status with respect to risk of flight?
- 11 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, Mr. Lipkin, from our
- 12 understanding, will be assisting his wife with the children.
- His wife is a full-time employee and is fully employed. And we
- 14 believe, in light of those conditions, your Honor, he is not a
- risk of flight, particularly if, as we have requested, the
- conditions are assigned for strict pretrial supervision and the security that he is willing to post, including the \$800,000 of
- cash which is coming from close family members of the
- defendant. As well, your Honor, a number of the cosigners we
- do believe have a significant moral suasion over the defendant
- in light of their close relationship to him.
- 22 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 23 Officer Ramesar, I've reviewed your report, for which
- 24 I thank you.
- 25 MS. RAMESAR: You are welcome.

166dlipp Page 46 **PLEA** 

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor, which was a factor in our

- 2 determination. Mr. Lipkin is a long-time resident of the New
- 3 York/New Jersey area. He resides in New Jersey with his wife
- 4 and children along with several other family members, your
- 5 Honor. And as a result we are satisfied that he is not a risk
- 6 of flight, that he does not pose a danger to the community, so
- 7 long as the conditions that we had proposed are also assigned with his release.
- 9
- THE COURT: Are you confident of your assessment in 10 terms of the potential availability of assets to facilitate
- flight? 11
- 12 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, as a part of this process, and
- one of the reasons why we have expressed some confidence in Mr. Lipkin, is that he has fully disclosed his assets to us.
- He has filled out financial affidavits with our office fully
- disclosing the whereabouts of all of his funds. And I believe,
- as Mr. Filan will attest, he has also agreed to allow us to
- immediately start forfeiting those proceeds. 18
- 19 THE COURT: And you and your colleagues or investigators have traced monies that to your knowledge went
- from BLMIS to Mr. Lipkin and have mapped his disclosures
- against known assets? 22
- 23 MR. MOORE: That's correct, your Honor, which also
- 24 proves some confidence in Mr. Lipkin, that our own independent 25 evaluation matched up with what proved to be Mr. Lipkin's

- 166dlipp Page 48 PLEA
- THE COURT: Is there anything in particular or in addition to or different from what Mr. Moore has argued that
- you wish to bring to my attention at this point?
- MS. RAMESAR: No, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you. 5
- 6 Mr. Filan, did you wish to be heard?
- MR. FILAN: Just to say, your Honor, that we have
- Mr. Lipkin's passport, which we will surrender today to
- **9** Pretrial Services, as the government requests. And we also
- 10 have two cosigners here with us today, who will execute the
- 11 appearance bond today before they leave the courthouse to begin
- 12 that process.
- And we urge the Court to release Mr. Lipkin for the 13
- 14 reasons that Mr. Moore covered. I have really nothing further
- to add to that.
- THE COURT: Thank you. 16
- 17 MR. FILAN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, the summary of the proposed
- conditions that you've provided deals with the surrender of
- Mr. Lipkin's travel documents. Is there a reason why there is no provision for the surrender of the travel documents of
- immediate family members?
- MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we have no objection to the
- 24 wife keeping her passport. In fact, it is our understanding
- 25 that she will be taking herself and her children to a

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. ERIC S. LIPKIN, June 6, 2011

166dlipp

10

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

166dlipp PLEA Page 49

1 destination this summer.

However, if the Court feels that she should surrender 3 her travel passports, we would have no objection to that condition being added.

THE COURT: It seems to me prudent to do that, given the magnitude of the exposure.

So I will direct that the travel documents of the wife 8 and children also be surrendered without prejudice to specific 9 application, on notice, for return in connection with specific 10 travel approved by the Pretrial Services Department to which

11 there is no other objection. If there is an objection, I will 12 hear it.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor. 13

THE COURT: Mr. Filan. 14

15 MR. FILAN: That is fine, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. 16

Having carefully considered all that I have heard here 17 today, including the admissions of criminal activity, the result of the investigation of Pretrial Services, the

government's extensive account of its activities and evaluation

21 with respect to risk of flight and potential for danger to the 22 community presented by Mr. Lipkin, I find that there is clear

23 and convincing evidence that with the imposition of the

24 proposed conditions Mr. Lipkin is not likely to flee or pose a

25 danger to the safety of any other person or the community.

166dlipp Page 50 **PLEA** 

Accordingly, I will grant him release on the 2 conditions that have been proposed, with the modification that 3 we just discussed concerning the surrender of family travel 4 documents.

I am now going to prepare a bail disposition sheet 5 6 enumerating these conditions, and then I will distribute that 7 in draft to the parties so that we can be sure that I've gotten 8 everything correct. So bear with me as I type this up, please.

9 (Pause)

What I've written is this: "\$2.5 million PRB secured 10 11 by \$800,000 cash and property, and 7 FRPs. Travel restricted 12 to DNJ, D Conn, E.D.N.Y. and S.D.N.Y. All travel documents of

13 Defendant, wife and children to be surrendered, with no new

14 applications (without prejudice to application for return of

15 documents of wife and children for particular preauthorized

travel). Strict pretrial supervision. All conditions must be

met by 4:00 p.m. on June 10, 2011." 17

Does that cover everything accurately? 18

19 MR. MOORE: That is our understanding. Thank you, 20 your Honor.

MR. FILAN: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. 21

THE COURT: I will fix one typo and then I will sign. 22

23 How many copies are needed?

THE CLERK: Six. 24

THE COURT: OK. 25

PLEA 1 (Pause)

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Moore and Ms. Baroni, can you 2

Page 51

shepherd things through the Magistrate Clerk's office here, or

whatever section of the Clerk's Office --

MR. MOORE: We will, your Honor. Thank you. 5

6 THE COURT: And, also, Mr. Filan and Mr. Lipkin will

need to go over to the Probation Department as well to get

paper work set up and get any specifics as to what needs to be

done there today that will be carried out.

MR. FILAN: Thank you, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anything further that

we need to take up together this afternoon? 12

MR. MOORE: Can you give us one moment, your Honor? 13

THE COURT: Yes. 14

MR. MOORE: Nothing further on the government's part.

Thank you, your Honor. 16

MR. FILAN: Nothing from the defendant, your Honor. 17

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We are adjourned.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. 19

THE CLERK: All rise.

## **This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

209-j19;21:4,14,24; 229-j19;21:4,14,24; 230-j19;21:4,14,24; 241:25:19					,
\$1 (1)		27:19:32:23		11:12:45:17:48:2	agree (1)
\$\frac{\fr	¢		o		
\$1(1)	<b>D</b>		o		
22:7					
\$\frac{\frac					
Silon   Silo	22:7		15:20;16:19		
15:20;16:19	<b>\$1.4</b> (1)		80b-4 (2)		
\$\frac{1}{229} \cdot \frac{1}{229} \cdot \frac{1}{220} \cdot \fr			15:20:16:19		
209,19;214,14,24; 228 (3)		42:19,21;50:17		adjourned (1)	4:16;17:22;26:8,12,
28.5   24   24   15   28   28   28   28   29   28   29   29		228 (3)	Δ	51:18	22,23;27:2,7,8,17,20,24;
\$\frac{\frac			A		28:5,9,13;29:14,21,25;
25:19			a1 (1)		
\$2.5 (2)				*	
14:21:50:10   275:204-2 (2)   15:21;16:20   24:15:11;7:14;8:21   3   3he (4)   41:15:11;7:14;8:21   30:19   30:19   22:6   3hilly (1)   42:216   30:19   22:6   44:22:47:17;50:11   22:16   37 (2)   25:20   3143 (2)   44:7.15   50:1   30:19   22:57:7   353a (1)   22:57:7   371 (2)   15:14;16:4   37 (2)   15:14;16:4   37 (2)   15:14;16:4   36:18   34:23:61:3   3aminister (1)   30:19   30:19   30:19   22:6   41:22   34:35:31   3aministration (1)   38:21   30:10   30:11   30:1					
\$250,000 (4) 207,17;21:12,22 \$5 (1) 21:2 \$ 3 \$					
15:21;16:20					
20:7,17;21:12,22   55:00 (1)   3 (1)   3 (1)   3 (2)   22:16   3 (3) (2)   25:20   3 (4) (2)   25:20   3 (4) (2)   25:20   3 (1)   22:16   30:19   30:19   30:19   30:19   30:19   30:19   30:19   30:19   30:19   30:11   3	\$250,000 (4)		ability (1)		
Section   Sect	20:7,17;21:12,22	15:21;16:20			
21:2   3 (1)				36:18	25:14,23;26:3
\$600 (1) 22:20 3 (1) 30:19 30:19 30:19 30:19 30:10 30:19 30:10 30:19 30:10 30:19 30:10 30:19 30:10 30:19 30:10 30:19 30:10 30:19 30:10 30:11 30:10 30:13 30:10 30:13 30:10 30:13 30:10 30:13 30:10 30:13 30:10 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:13 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:11 30:11 30:10 30:10 30:11 30:10 30:10 4(:12 22:32:32:33:1) 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:19 40:18		3		Administration (1)	alleged (5)
22:20					18:10,23;19:9,16;38:4
\$7 (1) 22:16 30 (1) 6:5:9:23;15:11;23:19; 44:7,15 50:1  1 24:12 25:20 37 (2) 22:5;7:7 37 (2) 22:5;7:7 37 (2) 31:4;13:22;42:5;43:13 4:17,22;26:8;40:15; 41:2 10 (4) 41:12 10 (4) 41:12 10 (27 (2) 15:23;17:1 11 (3) 35:24;123;17:1 11 (3) 35:24;123;17:1 11 (3) 35:24;123;17:1 11 (3) 35:20;42:19,21 12 (1) 10:23 15:16;16;16;16;11,18; 42:19,21 15:15,7;20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,7;20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,7;20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,7;20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,7;20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,7;20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24;11;44:7  2  7 (1) 30:11 6:5;9:23;15:11;23:19; 44:00 (1) 22:5;38:22;33:9,10, 14,17;35;24,25;49:20 admitt (2) 31:4;34:2;26:1,3 admitt (2) 12:23 advance (1) 12:23 advance (1) 12:23 advance (1) 11:19;43:25 23:16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 admitt (2) 22:18 admit (2) 22:23 admitt (2) 11:19;43:25 23:24:43:13:23 acknowledged (1) 41:16 42:19:21 11:19;43:25 23:16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 34:21:23:25 32:16:38:11;34:9;38:8, 42:19:21 17 (4) 15:15,7;20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,7;20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;163,5,13,20; 15:15,32;16;35,13,20; 15:17,6;24;11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21 7  2  7 (1) 50:11 30:11 30:11 26:3 30:11 admission (1) 26:3 30:11 30:11 26:3 30:11 30:11 26:3 30:11 30:11 26:3 30:11 24:22 admit (2) 38:5 34mit (2) 12:23;25:23 22:12:32:12:32 22:18 admit (2) 38:5 34mit (2) 12:23;25:21 30:18 31:41,7;25;19:2; 21:19;33:23;39:4;43:19 22:18 admit (2) 31:43:42:23:23 22:18 admit (2) 25:24 admit (2) 25:24 admit (2) 25:24 admit (2) 25:24 admit (1) 25:24 admit (2) 25:24 admit (2) 25:24 admit (2) 25:24 admit (1) 25:24 advance (1) 22:18 advanc		3(1)			
22:16					
\$700,000 (1) 25:20 \$800,000 (3) 44:7,15 3143 (2) 44:22;47:17;50:11  1 314(2) 312 24:12 24:12 25:5,28:22;33:9,10, 14,17;35:24,25;49:20 4:12,23 371 (2) 15:14;16:4 36:5 41:2 10 (4) 4:13;14:22;41:25; 50:17 1027 (2) 115:23;17:1 11 (3) 35:20;42:19,21 12 (1) 10:27 12 (2) 15:14;16:4 35:20;42:19,21 12 (1) 10:28 35:20;42:19,21 12 (1) 10:29 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  7  2 (7 (1) 50:11 44:22 Accordingly (1) 55:1 account (9) 14,17;35:24,25;49:20 accounts (4) 38:5 27:19 36:3 admissions (1) 49:18 admit (2) 12:23;25:23 admitting (1) 38:5 32:23;25:23 admitting (1) 38:5 34mitting (1) 22:3;25:25:33 admitting (1) 38:5 32:23;25:23 admitting (1) 38:5 27:19 36:0 admitting (1) 38:5 21:22;32;5:24 admitting (1) 38:5 27:19 36:0 admitting (1) 38:5 34mitting (1) 32:23;25:23 admitting (1) 38:5 34mitting (1) 32:3;25:23 25:35:17;46:4 amount (2) 30:23:31:1 amount (2) 211:43:22 adverse (2) 11:19:43:22 advice (4) 2:20;4:21;6:10;40:15 admitting (1) 38:5 34mitting (1) 32:3;25:17:46:4 amended (1) 38:5 30:22:3;31:12:43:22 adverse (2) 11:19:43:25 advisor (2) 31:24:34:21 35:16 admitting (1) 38:5 34mitting (1) 38:5 34mitting (1) 32:3;25:17:46:4 amended (1) 38:5 34:22 32:23;33:9,14,3:19 22:18:43:21 34(si.2) 34:22 34verse (2) 11:19:43:25 34visor (2) 34:22:2 34verse (2) 11:19:43:25 35:16 admitting (1) 38:5 34mitted (1) 38:5 34:222 34verse (2) 11:19:43:25 35:16 34visor (2) 34:221:43:21 35:16 34visor (2) 34:221:43:21 35:16 34visor (2) 34:221:43:21 35:16 34visor (3) 35:22;4:31:32 35:16 34visor (3) 35:22;4:31:32 35					
25:20					
\$800,000 (3)			41:22		
1	25:20	` ′	Accordingly (1)		
Addition	\$800,000 (3)		50:1		
24:12   37 (2)   25:5;28:22;33:9,10,   14,17;35:24,25;49:20   admitted (1)   38:5   admitted (1)   37:14   38:5   admitted (1)   38:5   admitted (1)   27:19   38:5   admitted (1)   38:5   admitted (1)   38:5   admitted (1)   27:19   38:5   admitted (1)   38:5   admitted (1)   27:19   38:5   admitted (1)   27:19   38:5   admitted (1)   38:5   admitt					
1 (5)		24:12		12:23;25:23	2:5;35:17;46:4
2:25;7:7 371 (2) 4:17,22;26:8;40:15; 41:2 10 (4) 4:13;14:22;41:25; 50:17 50:17 401k (6) 35:20;42:19,21 12 (1) 10:23 1344 (2) 16:5;17:6 15 (6) 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:15,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  2 7 (1) 50:17  2 7 (1) 50:17  2 7 (1) 50:17  2 7 (1) 50:17  2 7 (1) 50:18 2 (1) 3 (2) 3 (1) 3 (2) 3	1	37 (2)		admitted (1)	amended (1)
1 (5)					
4:17,22;26:8;40:15; 41:2  10 (4) 4:13;14:22;41:25; 50:17 50:17 401k (6) 35:20;42:19,21 51:43;36:18;38:16, 21;39:5  5 (1) 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:35,5,13,20; 17:16;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  15:14;16:4  4 (2) 4 (31:4;34:2;36:1,3 accounts (4) 31:4;34:2;36:1,3 accounts (4) 31:4;34:2;36:1,3 accounts (9) 18:14,17,25;19:2; 27:19;33:2,3;39:4;43:19 accurately (4) 26:22;34:21;37:8; 50:18 acknowledge (1) 41:16 Act (3) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisor (5) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisory (2) 34:235:25 advisor (5) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisory (2) 34:235:25 advisor (5) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisory (2) 34:235:25 apploagize (1) 11:24 application (4) 4:11;37:6;49:9;5(applications (2) 45:8;50:14 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriments (1) 45:13 accountat (9) 11:19;43:25 advise (2) 11:19;43:25 advise (3) 5:12;36:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisory (2) 34:18;41:2,13;36:18;38:16, 60:18 acknowledge (1) 41:16 Act (3) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisory (2) 34:22 applea (1) 11:24 application (4) 45:13 appropriments (1) 45:13 approprime (2) 27:13;42:23 approprime (2) 27:13;42:23 approprime (2) 27:13;42:23 approprime (2) 27:13;42:23 approprime (1)	1 (5)				
4:17,22,20,8,40.15, 4:17,22,20,8,40.15, 4:17,22,20,8,40.15, 4:19,10 (4) 4:13;14:22;41:25; 50:17 50:17 50:17 401k (6) 15:23;17:1 11 (3) 35:20;42:19,21 10:23 1344 (2) 10:23 1344 (2) 16:5;17:6 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:16;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21   2 7 (1) 50:11  4:00 (1) 50:17 401k (6) 18:14,17,25;19:2; 27:19;33:23;39:4;43:19 adverse (2) 11:19;43:25 advisor (3) 11:19;43:25 advisor (4) 22:218 amounts (1) 22:18 amounts (1) 22:18 amounts (1) 22:18 announcement (1) 5:12 advisor (2) 31:22 appeal (1) 11:24 appearance (2) 21:7;48:11 applicable (3) 24:9;27:14,22 application (4) 4:11,37:6;49:9;56 applications (2) 4:18 atvance (1) 42:22 adverse (2) 11:19;43:25 advisor (5) 15:22;16:10;40:15 advisor (2) 35:16 advisor (2) 31:22 appeal (1) 11:24 application (4) 4:11,37:6;49:9;56 applications (2) 4:16:5 3:23:4;34:22 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23					
10 (4)		13.14,10.4			
4:13;14:22;41:25; 50:17 1027 (2) 15:23;17:1 11 (3) 35:20;42:19,21 21 (1) 10:23 1344 (2) 16:5;17:6 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:16;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  2 7 (1) 35:11  4:00 (1) 50:17 401k (6) 34:12,13;36:18;38:16, 50:18 acknowledge (1) 12:23 acknowledge (1) 12:23 acknowledge (1) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisor (5) 15:22;16:10;40:15 advisor (5) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisory (2) 34:2:35:25 advisor (5) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisory (2) 34:2:35:25 appearance (2) 11:19;43:25 advisor (5) 15:22;16:10;40:15 advisory (2) 34:2:35:25 affidavits (1) 401k (6) 50:18 acknowledge (1) 15:22;16:18;18:21,24; 35:16 advisory (2) 31:22 appoligize (1) 11:24 appearance (2) 2:17;48:11 applicable (3) 24:9;27:14,22 application (4) 4:11;37:6;49:9;50 applications (2) 31:24;34:22 again (2) 2:15;39:7 against (17) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:18 activity (1) 49:18 activity (1) 49:18 activity (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropre (1)		4			
10.27 (2)	10 (4)	4			
1027 (2)	4:13;14:22;41:25;		18:14,17,25;19:2;		
1027 (2)       50:17       accurately (4)       26:22;34:21;37:8;       advice (4)       2:20;4:21;6:10;40:15       announcement (1)         11 (3)       34:12,13;36:18;38:16,       32:23;39:5       acknowledge (1)       15:22;16:18;18:21,24;       applogize (1)         12 (1)       5       acknowledged (1)       acknowledged (1)       advisor (5)       appleal (1)         10:23       5       acknowledged (1)       41:16       advisory (2)       34:2;35:25       appearance (2)         15:16,19;16:11,18;       500 (5)       42:19,21       5500 (5)       acted (2)       affidavits (1)       application (4)         17 (4)       522       5500s (2)       31:24;34:22       actions (2)       51:12       application (4)         18 (10)       32:16;34:17       5K1.1 (5)       52:39:5;49:20       activites (3)       51:12       applications (2)       45:8;50:14       appoint (1)         1996 (2)       7       49:18       activity (1)       3:77:2;10:10;11:8,20;       appointments (1)         2       7       49:18       activity (1)       3:77:2;10:10;11:8,20;       45:13       appointments (1)         5:13,30:12;31:1,25;       35:13       35:14       35:12       35:14       35:12       35:14       35:12       35:12	50:17		27:19;33:2,3;39:4;43:19		
15:23;17:1 11 (3) 35:20;42:19,21 12 (1) 10:23 1344 (2) 16:5;17:6 15 (6) 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  2		50:17			
11 (3)       34:12,13;36:18;38:16, 21;39:5       50:18 acknowledge (1)       35:20;42:19,21       advisor (5)       apologize (1)         12 (1)       5       acknowledged (1)       15:22; 16:18;18:21,24; 35:16       advisory (2)       appeal (1)         1344 (2)       5 (1)       Act (3)       affidavits (1)       2:17;48:11       appearance (2)         15 (6)       28:17       5500 (5)       Act (3)       affidavits (1)       2:17;48:11       applicable (3)         42:19,21       32:24;33:1;34:9;38:8, 42:19,21       22       actions (2)       31:24;34:22 application (4)       actions (2)       31:24;34:22 application (4)       actions (2)       51:12 applications (2)       applications (2)       45:8;50:14 appointments (1)         18 (10)       5500s (2)       32:16;34:17       55:2;39:5;49:20 activity (1)       activity (1)       against (17)       3:23       applications (2)       45:8;50:14 appointments (1)         1996 (2)       7       49:18 actually (2)       35:7;2:10:10:11:18,20; appropriate (2)       37:7:2:10:10:11:8,20; appropriate (2)       45:13 appropriate (2)         20:11       48:15       46:15       appropriate (2)       45:13 appropriate (2)         31:22       36:16;37:24       49:18       35:7;2;10:10:10:11:8,20; appropriate (2)       45:13       37:13;42:23		401k (6)		2:20;4:21;6:10;40:15	5:12
35:20;42:19,21   21;39:5   acknowledge (1)   15:22;16:18;18:21,24;   35:16   advisory (2)   34:2;35:25   appeal (1)   11:24   appearance (2)   34:2;35:25   appearance (2)   34:16   Act (3)   15:25;18:4;34:14   acted (2)   afford (3)   3:23;4:2;11:4   applicable (3)   24:9;27:14,22   application (4)   3:17;48:11   actions (2)   actions (2)   31:24;34:22   applications (2)   applications (3)   applications (2)   applications (3)   applications (4)   applications (3)   applications (3)   applications (4)   applications (3)   applications (4)   applications (3)   applications (3)   applications (4)		34:12,13;36:18;38:16,		advisor (5)	apologize (1)
12 (1) 10:23 1344 (2) 16:5;17:6 15 (6) 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21   5 (1) 11:23 acknowledged (1) 41:16 Act (3) 15:25;18:4;34:14 acted (2) 18:18;19:3 actions (2) 31:24;34:22 actions (2) 31:24;34:22 application (4) 4:11,37:6;49:9;50 application (4) 4:11,37:6;49:9;50 application (4) 4:11,37:6;49:9;50 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 32:23 acknowledged (1) 41:16 Act (3) 15:25;18:4;34:14 acted (2) 18:18;19:3 actions (2) 31:24;34:22 application (4) 4:11;37:6;49:9;50 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 approve (1)					
10:23 1344 (2) 16:5;17:6 15 (6) 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:35,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  2					appeal (1)
1344 (2) 16:5;17:6 15 (6) 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  2 7 (1) 50:11 28:17 41:16 Act (3) 15:25;18:4;34:14 acted (2) 18:18;19:3 actions (2) 31:24;34:22 activities (3) 5:2;39:5;49:20 activity (1) 49:18 actually (2) 32:14;35:21  2 7 (1) 50:11 34:16 46:15 affidavits (1) 46:15 afford (3) 32:24;9;27:14,22 application (4) 4:11;37:6;49:9;50 activities (3) 5:2;39:5;49:20 activity (1) 49:18 actually (2) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15  34:2;35:25 affidavits (1) 46:15 applicable (3) 24:9;27:14,22 application (4) 4:11;37:6;49:9;50 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2)		5			
16:5;17:6 15 (6) 28:17 5500 (5) 32:24;33:1;34:9;38:8, 42:19,21 5500 (2) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21   2		3			
15 (6) 15:16,19;16:11,18; 42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  7  28:17 5500 (5) 32:24;33:1;34:9;38:8, 22 5500s (2) 31:24;34:22 32:16;34:17 5500 (5) 32:24;33:1;34:9;38:8, 22 5500s (2) 31:24;34:22 32:16;34:17 551:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  7  28:17 15:25;18:4;34:14 acted (2) 18:18;19:3 3:23;4:2;11:4 afternoon (1) 51:12 again (2) 2:15;39:7 against (17) 3:23 appointments (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 approve (1)		<b>7</b> (1)			
15:16,19;16:11,18;   42:19,21   32:24;33:1;34:9;38:8,   22   18:18;19:3   acted (2)   18:18;19:3   actions (2)   31:24;34:22   again (2)   45:8;50:14   applications (2)   32:14;35:21   7   2   32:14;35:21   7   2   32:14;35:21   7   32:14;35:21   36:16;37:24   36:16;3				` '	
32:24;33:1;34:9;38:8,   22   18:18;19:3   actions (2)   31:24;34:22   again (2)   32:16;34:17   32:14;35:21   7   (1)   32:14;35:21   7   (1)   32:14;35:21   7   (1)   32:14;35:21   7   (1)   32:16;34:17   32:14;35:21   7   (1)   32:16;37:24   add (1)   32:16;37:24   add (1)   48:15   32:34;42;11:4   application (4)   4:11;37:6;49:9;50   4:11;37:6;49:9;50   activities (3)   31:24;34:22   again (2)   45:8;50:14   applications (2)   45:8;50:1	15 (6)		15:25;18:4;34:14		
42:19,21 17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  7  2  7 (1) 50:11  18:18;19:3 actions (2) 31:24;34:22 activities (3) 5:2;39:5;49:20 activity (1) 49:18 actually (2) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15  18:18;19:3 actions (2) 4:11;37:6;49:9;50 application (4) 4:11;37:6;49:9;50 applications (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 approve (1)	15:16,19;16:11,18;		acted (2)		
17 (4) 15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  22 5500s (2) 31:24;34:22 activities (3) 55:2;39:5;49:20 activity (1) 49:18 actually (2) 32:14;35:21  7 (1) 50:11  22 activities (3) 5:2;39:5;49:20 activity (1) 49:18 actually (2) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15  3fternoon (1) 51:12 applications (2) 4:11;37:6;49:9;5( applications (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 approintments (1) 45:13 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 approve (1)		32:24;33:1;34:9;38:8,	18:18:19:3		
15:17,20;16:12,19 18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  7  10) 15:17,20;16:12,19 32:16;34:17 5K1.1 (5) 28:14,20;29:15;43:6,7 28:14,20;29:15;43:6,7  7  20 7 (1) 50:11  5500s (2) 31:24;34:22 activities (3) 5:2;39:5;49:20 activity (1) 49:18 actually (2) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15  51:12 again (2) 2:15;39:7 against (17) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appointments (1) 45:13 appointments (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appointments (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appointments (2) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 45:13 a		22	· ·	afternoon (1)	4:11;37:6;49:9;50:14
18 (10) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7 1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  7  7 (1) 50:11  32:16;34:17 5K1.1 (5) 52:39:5;49:20 activities (3) 52:39:5;49:20 activity (1) 49:18 actually (2) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15  32:14;45:21  32:16;34:17 55:2;39:5;49:20 activities (3) 52:15;39:7 against (17) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:8;50:14 appoint (1) 3:23 appointments (1) 45:13 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 approve (1)		5500s (2)		51:12	applications (2)
5K1.1 (5) 15:15,22;16:3,5,13,20; 17:1,6;24:11;44:7  1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  7  7  10  20  7 (1) 50:11  5K1.1 (5) 5:2;39:5;49:20 activity (1) 49:18 actually (2) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15  2:15;39:7 against (17) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 45:13 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 4:31 appoint (1) 3:23 appoint (1) 3:24 appoi			· ·	again (2)	
28:14,20;29:15;43:6,7  1996 (2)  32:14;35:21  7  28:14,20;29:15;43:6,7  49:18  activity (1)  49:18  actually (2)  36:16;37:24  add (1)  50:11  48:15  against (17)  3:23  appointments (1)  45:13  appropriate (2)  27:13;42:23  approve (1)					
1996 (2) 32:14;35:21  7  2  7 (1) 50:11  7 (24:11,44.7) 49:18 actually (2) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15  3:7;7:2;10:10;11:8,20; 15:3;30:12;31:1,25; 35:7;39:8,12,16,20,24; 40:4;46:22 40:4;46:22 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 appropriate (1)					
32:14;35:21  7  actually (2) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15  15:3;30:12;31:1,25; 35:7;39:8,12,16,20,24; 40:4;46:22 27:13;42:23 appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 approve (1)		28.14,20,29.13,43.0,7			
2 7 (1) 36:16;37:24 add (1) 48:15 35:7;39:8,12,16,20,24; appropriate (2) 27:13;42:23 approve (1)	, ,	7			
2 7 (1) add (1) 40:4;46:22 age (1) 27:13;42:23 approve (1)	32:14;35:21	/			
50:11 age (1) approve (1)	-		36:16;37:24		
50:11 48:15 age (1) approve (1)	2		add (1)		
			* *		
2 (5)   70 (2)   added (1)   7:7   45:21	2 (5)	70 (2)		7:7	45:21
15:23;16:14,21;17:1,6 22:16;25:8 added (1) 49:4 agency (3) approved (1)				agency (3)	approved (1)
13.23,10.14,21,17.1,0					
20 (1) addicted (1)					
21.1					
2000 (1) addiction (2)				4.7.7	4.7.17.40
27.17	2006 (1)				-
2007 (2) addition (3) 2:6;30:10 46:3	<b>2006 (1)</b> 27:19	15:17;16:12	7:24,25	Agents (2)	area (1)

June 0, 2011	T.			,
argued (1) 48:2	aware (3) 5:20,23;36:21	bound (1) 24:21	18:1;20:5,15,25;21:10, 20;22:5,16;25:18;30:22;	community (7) 3:14;44:12;45:24;
	3.20,23,30.21			
artifice (3)		bring (2)	31:20;32:1,2,11;40:8;	46:6;47:5;49:22,25
19:17,18,22	В	5:5;48:3	41:17,23	Company (2)
aspect (1)		broker (1)	charges (19)	34:8;36:10
22:24	back (1)	18:12	9:9,11;10:10;13:7;	competence (1)
assert (1)	37:16	broker-dealer (4)	14:4,6;15:3,14;16:3,10,	8:18
30:15	bail (3)	15:19;16:11;18:7;	17,24;17:4,10,15;31:25;	competent (2)
asserts (1)	44:19,20;50:5	35:16	32:12;35:18;40:8	9:21:10:18
25:15	bank (13)	Bureau (1)	chartered (1)	complete (1)
assessment (8)	17:4,5;19:13,17,19,23,	28:1	19:24	26:23
20:9,19;21:4,14,24;	23;25:23;33:6,7,16;37:4,	business (1)	children (7)	completed (1)
22:9,20;46:9	4	36:8	31:5;46:4;47:12;	43:9
assets (8)	Baroni (3)	30.8	48:25;49:8;50:13,15	completeness (1)
37:14,24;44:17;46:10,		C	circumstances (1)	28:23
	2:4,8;51:2	C		
14,22;47:1,2	based (1)	1 1 4 (1)	27:13	complied (1)
assigned (2)	11:20	calculate (1)	citizen (2)	28:12
46:7;47:16	basis (2)	24:8	3:19;7:17	comply (1)
assist (1)	9:19;41:20	call (2)	City (4)	23:6
43:16	bear (1)	2:1;5:16	32:4,14,23;33:16	composed (1)
assistance (7)	50:8	called (1)	civil (1)	10:22
28:11,21,22,25;29:1,2,	became (1)	13:8	23:21	concept (1)
13	17:25	can (15)	claim (3)	38:1
assisting (1)	began (1)	3:6;5:5;7:5;12:25;	12:13;30:15,17	concern (1)
47:12	35:21	15:6;23:4,7;24:25;35:8;	clear (3)	38:23
associates (1)	begin (1)	38:18;42:1;43:9;50:7;	8:8;44:10;49:22	concerning (8)
7:12	48:11	51:2,13	CLERK (5)	15:24;16:25;19:7;
assure (2)	beginning (1)	capacity (1)	2:1;6:19;42:19;50:24;	35:17;37:14,19;39:1;
3:12;6:7	32:23	36:19	51:20	50:3
attempted (3)	behalf (3)	care (1)	Clerk's (2)	condition (1)
19:16,18;24:15	2:11;11:11;43:23	7:21	51:3,4	49:4
attempting (1)	benefit (2)	carefully (2)	clients (1)	conditionally (1)
33:7	31:4;43:10	10:5;49:17	36:11	3:11
attend (1)	benefits (4)	carried (1)	close (2)	conditions (15)
5:18	36:23;38:17,20;39:3	51:9	47:18,21	3:12,16;5:14;23:3,4,7;
attended (1)	Bernard (2)	case (11)	co-conspirators (4)	45:9;46:7;47:14,16;
2:15	18:11;32:3	2:1;3:22;9:8;14:5,12;	35:22,24;36:5,15	48:19;49:24;50:2,6,16
attention (2)	beyond (9)	31:23;35:10;38:7,11;	Code (15)	conduct (9)
5:7;48:3	10:18;17:10,19;18:8,	41:24;47:7	15:15,16,17,20,23;	20:10,20;21:5,15,25;
attest (1)	22;19:8,14;35:11;38:7	cash (3)	16:4,12,12,14,19,19,21;	22:10,19;31:23;40:8
46:17		44:22;47:18;50:11	17:1,6;24:12	confidence (3)
attorney (36)	<b>billion (1)</b> 25:19	certain (8)	collapsed (1)	45:17;46:13,24
3:22,23,24;6:15;9:3,9,	bind (1)	3:1;5:4;10:3;18:13,25;	35:20	confident (2)
13,16;10:7;11:4,4,9,10;	28:6	25:20;31:3,4	colleagues (2)	44:18;46:9
13:9,21;15:1;24:4,14,19;	BLMIS (34)	Certainly (2)	2:4;46:19	confirm (1)
	18:12,13,23,24;32:8,	17:16;35:9	College (1)	34:1
26:17,24;27:12;28:1,3,7,		certification (1)		
10,14;29:5,12,15,19;	13,17,18,22,25;33:9,12,		7:10	confirming (1)
30:1,9;40:20;43:21;45:6	15;35:19,20,22;36:1,3,6,	33:1	combined (2)	26:2
Attorney's (3)	8,11,14,15,17,18,20,23,	certified (1)	22:15;25:7	confusion (1)
2:5;5:12;8:15	25;38:12,15,16;44:18;	32:24	coming (1)	26:5
auditors (5)	46:21;47:4	certify (1)	47:18	Conn (1)
32:10;33:23,24,24;	<b>BLMIS'</b> (2)	34:11	commence (1)	50:12
36:13	18:16;19:2	CFR (1)	42:24	Connecticut (1)
authorities (1)	BLMIS's (1)	15:21	comment (1)	45:5
3:5	36:21	challenge (1)	43:22	connection (8)
authority (1)	bond (3)	43:22	commerce (1)	5:3;36:4;41:5,9,13;
28:6	44:21;45:19;48:11	change (1)	19:5	42:4;44:24;49:9
availability (1)	Bonventre (1)	12:25	commit (4)	consequences (2)
46:10	32:19	charge (8)	29:22;30:5;33:6;37:4	9:14;10:2
available (2)	books (10)	13:11;37:18;39:8,12,	committed (1)	consider (3)
5:24;43:13	15:18,21;16:10,17;	16,20,24;40:3	18:3	24:1,9;28:20
awaiting (1)	18:7,21;35:15,22;36:6,	charged (20)	committing (1)	considered (1)
44:9	16	12:23;13:10;17:21;	17:4	49:17
	Í.	l .	Ì	ĺ

crocc-avamina (1)

ERIC S. LIPKIN,
conspiracy (14) 15:16;16:5;17:17,18, 21,25;18:5,5;32:1,11; 33:6;35:15,16;37:3 conspirators (1) 18:2
conspiring (2) 15:19,22 constituting (2)
25:16;30:21 <b>Constitution (3)</b> 3:2;10:3,8
constitutional (2) 12:13;13:10 construction (1)
33:8 contained (1) 33:1
containing (1) 41:20 contains (1) 13:7
continue (1) 10:14 control (6)
19:19;42:10,13,17,20, 25 <b>convicted (1)</b> 11:24
convincing (2) 44:10;49:23 cooperate (1)
27:25 <b>cooperation (3)</b> 27:2;30:8;44:17
copies (1) 50:23 copy (2)
14:20;43:14 <b>Corporation (2)</b> 16:7;37:7
corresponding (1) 35:18 cosigners (4)
45:18,20;47:19;48:10 <b>cost (1)</b> 11:5
counsel (4) 2:11;4:2,8;43:3 Count (30)
15:13;16:2,9,16,23; 17:3;18:6,20;19:6,13; 20:6,16;21:1,11,21;22:6; 25:25;32:1,11,12;33:6,7; 38:25;39:9,13,17,21,25; 40:4,9
counts (14) 5:10;17:17,18;25:18, 19,21;30:23,24,24;32:2; 33:5;35:14;37:3;41:23 course (1)
36:8 COURT (208)

MERICA, V.
2:8,13,19,24;3:1,10, 13,19,21;4:1,4,7,10,16, 20,22,24;5:15,19,25; 6:17,21,24;7:5,9,11,14, 17,20,23;8:2,5,8,10,12, 15,17,23;9:2,8,13,16,19, 25;10:13,16,22;11:2,7,
13,18,23;12:2,5,8,12,16, 19,25;13:5,7,14,19,21, 24;14:2,8,11,15,17,20,
24;15:1,3,6,7,10;16:2,9, 16,23;17:3,8,13;19:25; 20:4,14,24;21:9,19;22:4, 14,23;23:19,25;24:1,4,7,
8,14,18,24,25;25:4,4,10, 13;26:1,7,11,14,16,19, 22;27:1,4,6,10,17,24; 28:5,9,15,19,20;29:4,6,8,
9,11,17,21;30:4,14,19, 24;31:7,9,12,15,18; 33:20;34:3,6,9,13,16,20, 24;35:3,6;37:15;39:2,7,
12,16,20,24;40:3,7,11, 14,15,18,20,23;41:1,2,4, 8,12;42:3,7,9,14,17,20,
23;43:2,8,25;44:4,7,20, 23;45:11,23;46:9,19; 47:3,8,22;48:1,5,13,16, 18;49:2,5,14,16;50:22,
25;51:2,6,11,14,18 <b>courthouse (1)</b> 48:11
courtroom (2) 5:3;43:14 court's (1)
43:13 <b>cover (3)</b> 6:8,9;50:18
covered (2) 27:2;48:14 Cr (1) 14:22
create (1) 33:9
created (12) 32:4,5,6,7,14,22; 33:14;35:24;36:6,9,15, 23
credit (2) 23:9,10 creditworthiness (1)
37:10 <b>crime (12)</b> 17:18;18:7;19:8,14; 20:5,15,25;21:10,20;
22:5;25:11;38:4 <b>crimes (7)</b> 22:15;25:17,24;29:22; 30:5,22;31:20
Criminal (11)

4:13:20:10.20:21:5.

41:25;49:18

15,25;22:10,19;30:12;

11:9
currency (1)
31:2
custody (1)
19:19
D
danger (6) 28:25;44:11;46:6;
47:4;49:21,25
Daniel (1)
32:19
date (10)
5:5,14;27:18;42:10,
11,13,17,20,22,25
deal (1)
2:19
dealings (2)
44:18;45:11
deals (2)
37:20;48:19
deceive (2) 32:3;33:24
December (3)
35:20;42:19,21
decided (1)
11:19
decision (3)
11:20;37:12;43:20
DEFENDANT (152)
2:23,25;3:9,18,20,25;
4:3,6,9;6:16,20,23;7:4,8
10,12,16,19,22;8:1,4,7,9
11,14,16;9:7,12,15,18 10:12,15,21;11:1,6,17
22;12:1,4,7,11,15,18,24
13:4,6,13,18,20,23;14:1
7,10,14,16,19,23,25;
15:2,5,9;16:1,8,15,22;
17:2,7,12,24;18:3,16,18
19:1,3,10,10,11,16,17,
21;20:3,13,23;21:8,18;
22:3,13,22;23:18,24;
24:3,6,13,17,23;25:3,9
12;26:13,15,18,21,25;
27:3,5,9,16,23;28:4,8,
18;29:3,7,10,16,20;30:3 13,18;31:6,11,21;34:1,5
8,11,15,18,23;35:2;38:5
39:6,11,15,19,23;40:2,6
10,13,17,19,22,25;41:3
42:2;44:3;47:19,20;
50:13;51:17,19
defense (2)
4:17;11:13
defenses (1)
9:10 defraud (2)
<b>defraud (2)</b> 19:17,23
defrauding (1)

```
degree (1)
  7:13
demeanor (1)
  9:20
demonstrate (2)
                             49:7
  19:8,15
denies (1)
  29:9
Department (10)
  25:1:32:15;33:3;
  34:19;37:2;38:9,23;
                             46:16
  43:8;49:10;51:7
Department's (1)
  43:10
departures (1)
                             46:21
  24:10
Deposit (2)
  16:7;37:7
Depository (2)
  34:8;36:10
deposits (1)
  16:6
deprive (1)
                             50:5
  23:21
deputy (1)
                             50:6
  5:23
derived (2)
  25:16;30:21
describe (1)
                             45:4
  10:2
                          DNJ (1)
described (1)
                             50:12
  34:25
describing (1)
                             7:21
  10:6
designated (2)
  28:2;30:9
desire (1)
  4:7
desired (1)
                             15
  11:11
                          done (2)
destination (1)
  49:1
detailed (1)
  15:4
detailing (1)
                             38:7
  35:25
                          down (1)
detain (1)
                             37:15
  3:14
                          draft (2)
detained (1)
  44:9
detention (1)
                             11:20
  44:14
                          drug (1)
determination (1)
                             7:25
  46:2
determine (1)
                             8:2,6
  25:1
determined (4)
                             8:6
  24:25;29:23;30:6,23
                          DTC (8)
determines (2)
  28:10;29:12
determining (2)
                          due (1)
  24:2,7
                             27:20
different (3)
                          during (2)
```

```
June 6, 2011
  24:19,20;48:2
DiPiscali (2)
  33:9,11
direct (1)
direction (2)
  36:14;42:7
disclosed (2)
  43:6;46:14
disclosing (1)
disclosure (2)
  44:17;47:1
disclosures (1)
discretion (2)
  25:5;29:6
discuss (3)
  13:21;26:16;40:20
discussed (5)
  9:8,13;15:1;24:4;50:3
disposition (1)
distribute (1)
district (4)
  10:23;18:4;45:4,5
Districts (1)
doctor (1)
document (2)
  13:7;19:12
documents (12)
  32:5,6,7,13;36:7;45:8;
  48:20,21;49:7;50:4,12,
  33:12;51:9
doubt (10)
  8:18;10:18;17:10,20;
  18:8,22;19:8,14;35:12;
  43:5;50:7
drawn (1)
drugs (2)
drunk (1)
  32:4,7,9;33:22;34:6;
  36:10,10,13
```

16:5

18:5;36:14	ERISA (9)	37:18	7:11	forfeiture (3)
-	15:24;16:25;19:7,12;	fact (10)	fifth (1)	25:14,23;26:2
${f E}$	35:17;37:19;38:23;39:1,	10:1;17:22;32:17,25;	19:4	form (12)
	4	36:12;38:13,16;40:8;	FILAN (41)	4:21;6:10;13:15;
Eastern (1)	ERISA-specific (1)	41:20;48:24	2:10,10,13,18;4:4,8,	32:16,24;33:1,2;38:8,8,
45:4	37:18	factor (1)	10,15,19,23;8:16,17,21,	22;40:15,16
Economics (1)	essential (2)	46:1	25;13:14;25:22;26:4,7,	forms (1)
7:12	38:4;41:21	factors (4)	10;31:7,8,12,14,17;	32:16
EDNY (1)	essentially (1)	24:11;28:16,19;44:15	40:14;41:1,4,7;42:3,6,8;	forth (3)
50:12	38:9	facts (1)	46:17;48:6,7,17;49:14,	28:16;35:12;44:14
effect (1)	establish (1)	35:12	15;50:21;51:6,10,17	found (1)
43:25	17:19	factual (1)	file (3)	44:8
either (2)	estimate (2)	35:4	27:18;28:14;29:15	Four (8)
3:10;8:17	24:15,16	failed (2)	filed (1)	16:16;18:20;21:11;
element (3)	European (1)	18:13,24	29:19	32:2,12;35:14;39:21;
17:9;37:23;38:4	36:5	Failing (1)	files (1)	41:23
elements (6)	evaluation (3)	43:24	29:5	Fourth (2)
17:14,19;18:9;37:20;	28:22;46:25;49:20	failure (2)	filled (1)	18:18;19:3
38:24;41:21	Even (5)	18:17;19:2	46:15	Frank (2)
else (2)	3:4;24:18;27:10;29:4,	fake (11)	Finally (1)	33:9,11
24:15,20	18	32:4,6,9,14;33:15,22;	19:13	fraud (8)
employed (2)	evidence (9)	36:3,9,10,13,25	finance (1)	17:5;19:14;25:24;
35:19;47:13	10:18;11:10,11;30:11,	false (17)	7:13	33:6,7;36:1;37:4,4
Employee (4)	16;35:7,11;44:11;49:23	7:1,3;16:24;19:10,11,	financial (8)	fraudulent (3)
15:25;32:20;34:14;	examine (1)	12,20;29:23;30:6;32:14;	16:6;19:24;37:8,10,	19:20;35:24;36:6
47:13	43:21	36:6,7,15,23;37:13,19;	21;44:17;46:15;47:1	fraudulently (1)
employees (11)	example (2)	38:1	find (6)	37:9
32:3,8,17;33:13;	23:11;36:8	falsely (3)	3:11;9:20;10:24;	free (3)
36:25;37:1,22;38:10,12,	execute (4)	7:1;32:16;33:10	15:10;41:17;49:22	11:5;27:12;40:12
13,15	19:16,18,18;48:10	falsification (1)	finds (1)	Friday (1)
employer's (1)	executed (1)	37:21	44:10	45:10
38:10	19:16	falsify (2)	fine (8)	friends (1)
enforcement (2)	Exhibit (5)	35:15,16	20:6,16;21:1,11,21;	31:22
28:2;30:10	4:17,22;26:8;40:15;	falsifying (12)	22:6,16;49:15	FRPs (1)
engaged (1)	41:2	15:18,21,23;16:10,17;	firearm (1)	50:11
19:21	exist (2)	18:6,20;19:6;35:22;	23:23	full (12)
English (1)	3:16;36:12	37:10;38:25;39:5	firm (2)	2:22;5:3;6:21;20:9,19;
7:15	existed (1)	family (7)	36:5,22	21:4,14,24;22:9,18;
ensure (2)	17:22	29:1;31:22;45:24;	first (8)	23:16;44:17
33:8;45:17	expect (1)	46:4;47:18;48:22;50:3	2:17,20;17:21;18:10,	full-time (1)
enter (11)	24:21	far (1)	23;19:9,15;31:21	47:13
4:12;8:19;9:1,4,5,21;	explain (3)	7:9	Five (15)	fully (13)
10:5;13:1,2;27:8,20	3:1;10:7;17:13	FBI (2)	16:23;19:6;20:6,16;	9:8,21;10:1,7;13:3;
entered (1)	exposure (1)	2:6;45:16	21:11,21,21;22:11,21;	24:18;26:16,19;27:25;
41:19	49:6	FDIC (1)	32:2,12;35:14;38:25;	28:12;46:14,15;47:13
entering (3)	Express (1)	37:7	39:25;41:23	funds (1)
9:14;12:8,19	33:4	Federal (11)	fix (1)	46:16
entire (1)	expressed (1)	15:17;16:7,12,20;	50:22	further (10)
26:23	46:13	25:10;28:1,6;30:1;33:4;	flee (2)	5:18;29:22;30:5;
entities (1)	extend (1)	34:18;37:7	44:11;49:24	32:23;35:4;36:4;42:25;
34:3	42:25	federally (2)	flight (6)	48:14;51:11,15
entitled (4)	extensive (1)	17:5;19:24	45:25;46:6,11;47:10,	furtherance (2)
3:15;29:9,18;37:25	49:20	feeling (1)	15;49:21	18:4;36:1
entitlement (1)	extent (1)	8:10	Florida (1)	Furthermore (1)
39:3	28:24	feels (1)	33:16	36:25
Entitling (1)	177	49:2	following (3)	<b>future</b> (2)
38:20	F	few (1)	17:19;18:9;44:19	3:13,22
entry (1)		33:20	forced (1)	
		fewer (1)	27:8	G
30:25	facilitate (7)		0 0 14 (2)	
enumerating (1)	15:24;16:25;19:7;	38:13	forfeit (3)	
enumerating (1) 50:6	15:24;16:25;19:7; 35:17;37:19;38:25;	38:13 <b>Fidelity (1)</b>	25:15;30:20;31:2	gain (6)
enumerating (1)	15:24;16:25;19:7;	38:13		gain (6) 20:7,17;21:2,12,22; 22:7

gains (1)	Hagarty (2)	imposition (1)	29:1	32:12,14
22:17	2:6,9	49:23	innocent (2)	judgment (1)
gave (2)	hand (2)	imprisonment (15)	10:17,19	30:25
29:23;30:6	6:19;41:15	20:6,12,16,22;21:1,7,	instance (2)	judicial (1)
general (1)	happen (1)	11,17,21;22:2,6,12,16,	32:19;35:23	44:10
44:9	5:14	21;25:7	institution (6)	Julian (1)
given (8)	happening (1)	improved (1)	16:6;19:24;37:6,12;	2:3
23:9;30:10;32:8,9;	6:12	37:9	38:10,14	June (1)
33:23;37:25;47:3;49:5	hard (1)	inaccurate (1)	instructed (1)	50:17
giving (5)	43:14	32:16	32:20	jury (9)
10:4;12:9,13;14:12;	hear (2)	include (2)	instrumentalities (1)	10:9,22;13:12;14:5,
38:15	11:8;49:12	28:20;32:20	19:5	13,15;23:4,23;30:11
goes (2)	heard (5)	included (1)	Insurance (2)	justice (1)
38:9,23	5:17,21;6:2;48:6;	36:25	16:7;37:7	30:2
Good (6) 2:3,8,10,13,13,15	49:17 hearing (1)	includes (2) 25:14;30:20	insured (4) 16:6;17:5;19:24;37:6	K
governed (2)	3:15	including (9)	intend (1)	IX.
34:14;43:12	hearings (1)	7:24;9:9;25:18,20;	9:9	keep (4)
government (30)	3:13	27:21;30:2;37:21;47:17;	intended (1)	18:13,17,25;19:2
2:4;3:14;4:17;5:20;	held (2)	49:18	9:24	keeping (2)
10:17;14:3,4;17:8,14,19;	31:4;36:3	Income (2)	intent (2)	45:13;48:24
18:8,21;19:8,15;20:2;	herself (1)	15:25;34:14	19:23;37:24	kind (1)
25:15;26:8,24;27:11;	48:25	incomplete (2)	intention (1)	23:23
34:17,18;35:3,10,13;	hold (2)	29:23;30:6	43:7	kindly (1)
37:4;39:4;42:23;44:14;	23:22;38:18	incriminate (1)	interest (2)	5:13
47:4;48:9	holdings (2)	12:21	25:20;31:2	knew (9)
government's (7)	36:3,11	independent (2)	Internal (4)	19:11;32:6,17,21;
5:2;11:10;28:22;35:7;	<b>Honor</b> (69)	41:20;46:24	27:21;36:20,23;38:15	33:11,12,16;36:12;38:13
47:9;49:20;51:15	2:3,10,18;4:15,19,23;	indicated (3)	interstate (1)	knowing (2)
graduated (1)	5:6,13,22;8:20,22;17:16,	7:7;13:8;33:22	19:5	15:11;33:2
7:10	24;18:6,20;19:13,21;	indicating (2)	interview (1)	knowingly (8)
grand (5)	25:22;26:4,10,13;31:8,	5:16;43:6	42:4	17:24;18:3,18;19:3,
13:12;14:5,13,15;	14,21;35:5,9;36:2,19;	indict (1)	interviewed (3)	22;32:5,24;41:19
30:11	37:4;38:3,18,22,22;39:6;	14:6 indictment (15)	43:17;45:18,20 <b>into (4)</b>	knowledge (4)
grant (1) 50:1	41:3,7,11;42:6,12,16; 43:1;44:16;45:1,7,9,15,	4:11;6:5;8:19;9:4,5,	25:5;27:8,20;28:21	8:21,23;30:2;46:20 known (2)
greater (2)	17;46:1,5,12,23;47:7,11,	22;13:10,11,15,17;14:3,	Investigation (4)	18:11;46:22
22:17;33:10	14,19;48:4,7,17,23;	9,12,18;15:11	28:1,11;29:13;49:19	10.11,40.22
greatest (6)	49:13,15;50:20,21;51:5,	individuals (3)	investigators (1)	$\mathbf{L}$
20:7,17;21:2,12,22;	10,13,16,17,19	36:16,21,24	46:20	
22:7	hospitalized (1)	inference (1)	investment (9)	labeled (1)
Greg (1)	7:23	11:19	15:22;16:18;18:11,21,	4:13
2:6	hours (1)	inflated (1)	24;32:3;34:2;35:16,25	Labor (6)
Guidelines (6)	8:5	38:11	Investments (1)	32:15;33:4;34:19;
24:1,5,10;25:6;28:15,		inform (1)	31:5	37:2;38:9,23
17	I	42:23	involved (2)	language (1)
Guidelines' (1)		Information (43)	19:4,23	7:15
24:9	IA (3)	4:13;5:4,10;9:5;10:10;	IRS (1)	Last (1)
guilt (1)	36:1,3,11	13:9,11;14:4,21,21;15:4,	34:18	5:7
12:23	illegal (1)	7,14;16:3,10,17,24;17:4,	issue (2)	later (3)
guilty (56)	35:1	21;22:23;25:14;28:23;	47:5,6	7:2;26:3;45:10
4:12;6:6;9:4,6,10,14,	illness (1)	29:24;30:7;31:20;33:2,	issued (3)	law (3)
25;10:1,5,14,14,18,24;	7:24	25;34:22;35:13,15;37:9,	5:7;13:9,12	27:14;28:2;30:9
12:9,17,20,22;13:1,5,8;	immediate (1)	11,14;39:9,13,17,21,25;	issues (1)	laws (3)
17:11;20:2;23:20,20; 24:21,22;27:8;29:18;	48:22	40:4;41:17,24;43:5,18 <b>informed (4)</b>	26:3	10:4,8;17:23
24:21,22;27:8;29:18; 31:10,16,19;39:10,10,	immediately (1) 46:18	4:10,11;9:3,21	J	lawyer (1) 8:12
11,14,14,15,18,18,19,22,	40:18 important (3)	4:10,11,9:5,21 injured (7)	J	8:12 leading (1)
22,23;40:1,1,2,5,5,6,7,8,	6:11;43:18,19	20:10,20;21:5,15,25;	James (2)	11:3
11;41:16,22,22;44:8	impose (1)	20:10,20,21:3,13,23,	2:10;8:16	least (3)
11,71.10,22,22,77.0	27:12	injuries (1)	Jersey (4)	18:3;32:14;35:20
Н	imposed (3)	28:25	31:3;45:4;46:3,3	leave (1)
**		injury (1)	job (2)	48:11
	23:3;24:25;29:5	Initiry (I)		

Julie 0, 2011				ERIC S. LII KIN,
left (2)	Magistrate (1)	38:24;45:10;50:17	name (4)	observations (1)
23:13;27:4	51:3	mid-1980s (1)	2:22;6:21;8:15;33:10	9:20
lender (1)	magnitude (1)	35:19	namely (2)	obstruction (1)
33:18	49:6	might (3)	5:9;44:20	30:2
		14:5,6;24:20	names (1)	obtain (2)
lending (3)	mails (1)		` /	
37:6,11,12	19:4	million (6)	31:4	4:2;19:18
letter (4)	maintaining (1)	21:2;22:7,16;31:1;	Natasha (1)	obtained (4)
5:7,13;43:6,6	36:20	44:21;50:10	2:7	25:17;30:22;36:7,9
letters (1)	makes (1)	<b>mind</b> (2)	nature (3)	occurring (1)
36:2	31:19	8:8;13:1	28:24;37:17;39:3	5:9
life (1)	making (4)	minor (1)	necessarily (1)	offense (18)
18:5	7:3;16:24;33:23;37:12	31:5	18:3	18:10,23;19:4,9,16;
light (4)	mandatory (1)	mislead (3)	necessity (1)	20:7,8,17,18;21:3,4,12,
28:16;44:16;47:14,21	22:20	32:10;33:18;36:13	37:24	13,22,23;22:8,9;44:8
likely (2)	manner (1)	misleading (3)	need (4)	offenses (3)
44:11;49:24	37:11	29:23;30:6;37:14	3:3,5;51:7,12	22:18;41:21,23
Lipkin (62)	many (2)	misreporting (1)	needed (1)	offer (1)
2:2,11,14,21,23;4:11,	9:24;50:23	38:11	50:23	11:11
20;5:9;6:5,23,24;9:3;	map (1)	modification (1)	needs (1)	office (9)
13:15,17;15:13;20:1;	37:16	50:2	51:8	2:5;23:22;27:25;
25:22;26:8,11;31:9,13,	mapped (1)	moment (2)	nevertheless (2)	43:15,17,24;46:15;51:3,
18;35:7,8,18,21,23;36:2,	46:21	44:5;51:13	36:22;38:5	4
5,8,12,15,17,19,23;37:5,	marked (2)	money (3)	New (14)	Officer (4)
13;38:8,11,24;39:2,7,8;	4:17,21	19:19;30:25;33:17	18:4;31:3;32:4,14,23;	2:9;43:19;44:10;47:23
40:15,16;41:5,9,16;42:4;	match (1)	monies (2)	33:9,14,16;45:4,4,8;	OK (1)
43:15;45:12,18;46:2,14,	32:7	34:11;46:20	46:2,3;50:13	50:25
21,24;47:8,11;48:13;	matched (1)	monitoring (1)	next (2)	old (1)
49:22,24;51:6	46:25	23:2	2:12;7:5	2:24
49.22,24,31.0 Lipkin's (8)	material (1)	months (2)		
	37:11		nexus (1) 38:1	once (2)
2:17;8:18;9:19;15:10;		42:13,18 Magna (42)		6:9;33:15
44:16;46:25;48:8,20	matter (3)	Moore (42)	Ng (3)	one (28)
Lisa (1)	5:3;41:13;44:9	2:3,3,8;4:25;5:1,6,22;	5:23;6:17;42:17	5:17,25;11:4;12:2,9;
2:4	matters (7)	8:17,20;17:13,16;35:3,5,	note (2)	15:13,16;17:17;18:2,3;
listen (1)	2:20;4:8;6:9;20:1;	6,9;37:15;38:3;41:8,11;	5:3;41:15	20:6;25:18,19,25;30:23,
10:5	35:4;45:14,25	42:9,12,16,22;43:1;	notes (2)	24;32:1,11,20;35:14;
lists (1)	Matthew (1)	44:16;45:1,15;46:1,12,	34:21,21	38:18;39:9;41:15,23;
38:9	2:5	23;47:6,11;48:2,14,18,	notice (2)	44:5;46:13;50:22;51:13
little (1)	maximum (7)	23;49:13;50:19;51:2,5,	34:20;49:9	only (1)
37:16	20:4,14,24;21:9,19;	13,15	notification (1)	25:1
lived (1)	22:4,14	Moore's (1)	5:2	operations (1)
23:13	maximums (1)	39:2	November (1)	47:3
loan (4)	25:8	moral (1)	33:15	opportunity (1)
33:8,8,19;37:6	may (13)	47:20	number (11)	43:21
local (1)	6:8,9,14;7:2;9:10;	more (5)	6:6;14:22;25:7;32:17;	oppose (1)
28:6	12:14;23:20;28:20;	6:8;9:24;10:7;33:21;	36:25;37:1;38:10,11,12;	27:11
long (1)	30:11,15;42:17;43:25,25	37:17	45:18;47:19	order (11)
46:7	mean (1)	morning (8)	numbered (1)	5:4;10:24;12:22;
long-time (1)	34:7	2:3,8,10,13,13,15;	41:25	15:12;17:18;18:7;19:7,
46:2	means (3)	5:17;42:21	_	14;33:8,23;44:8
looking (1)	19:4,20;23:1	motion (6)	O	ordered (3)
34:20	medicine (1)	28:14;29:5,9,15,19;		5:13,13;42:15
loss (6)	8:6	43:7	oath (2)	ordinary (1)
20:8,18;21:3,13,23;	member (1)	multiple (1)	6:7,18	36:8
22:8	17:25	36:3	object (1)	others (3)
losses (1)	members (3)	must (11)	11:10	32:4;36:9;37:13
22:17	46:4;47:18;48:22	6:7;17:19;18:8,21;	objection (4)	otherwise (3)
loud (2)	mental (1)	19:8,15;24:1;27:18,25;	48:23;49:3,11,11	18:11;29:24;30:7
15:7,8	7:24	43:7;50:16	objections (1)	out (9)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mentioned (1)	myself (1)	43:4	10:10;15:7,8;27:4,7;
${f M}$	44:24	12:22	obligated (1)	36:2;42:18;46:15;51:9
·	message (1)		29:15	over (2)
Madoff (3)	5:18	${f N}$	obligation (2)	47:20;51:7
5:12;18:11;32:3	met (3)		14:9;24:8	overt (1)

ERIC S. LIPKIN,		T	T	June 6, 2011
18:4	person (5)	5:5	proceeding (2)	purportedly (3)
owing (1)	5:18;44:8,11,12;49:25	post-release (1)	5:8;7:6	36:3,7,9
27:21	personal (3)	23:11	proceedings (2)	purpose (1)
own (5)	37:8,10;44:21	potential (4)	3:22;30:12	36:12
4:2;39:5;40:12;46:24;	persons (8)	22:25;46:10;47:4;	proceeds (3)	pursuant (4)
47:1	20:10,20;21:5,15,25;	49:21	25:17;30:22;46:18	4:16;28:14;31:10;43:7
owned (1)	22:10,19;34:3	practice (1)	process (2)	put (1)
19:19	physically (1)	45:6	46:12;48:12	38:14
P	8:10	PRB (1)	processing (1) 36:17	R
<b>r</b>	<b>pills (1)</b> 8:6	50:10 preauthorized (1)	promised (1)	N .
package (1)	plan (8)	50:15	6:25	raise (1)
44:19	34:12,13,13;37:21,24;	precisely (1)	promises (3)	6:19
page (1)	38:21;39:4,5	37:17	14:17;19:21;27:6	raised (1)
30:19	plans (2)	predict (1)	prompt (2)	41:15
paper (1)	36:18;38:16	24:15	3:15;43:4	Ramapo (1)
51:8	plea (29)	prediction (1)	property (8)	7:10
part (5)	4:12,16;6:6,8;8:19;	24:16	25:16,21;30:21;31:3;	Ramesar (5)
17:9;32:11,13;46:12;	9:1,4,6,14,21,23;10:2,5;	prejudice (2)	44:22,23,24;50:11	2:7,9;47:23,25;48:4
51:15	12:9,20;13:1,2;23:20;	49:8;50:14	proposal (1)	range (2)
participating (2)	24:21,22;27:2,15;29:9,	preparation (2)	44:13	24:9,10
15:15;16:4	18;35:4;41:6,10,19,22	42:4,24	proposed (6)	rather (1)
particular (3)	plead (18)	prepare (2)	44:19;45:21;46:7;	13:11
14:4;48:1;50:15	9:10,25;10:14,14;	32:13;50:5	48:18;49:24;50:2	read (7)
particularly (1)	12:17;13:5,8;17:11;	prepared (6)	prosecute (1)	7:14;13:19;14:24;
47:15	20:2;27:8;31:9,16;39:8,	32:9;36:2;37:5,13;	14:3	15:6,8;26:14;40:18
parties (3)	12,16,20,24;40:3	43:7;45:21	prosecuted (1)	real (2)
36:7;44:13;50:7	pleading (3)	prepares (1)	25:11	25:20;31:3
parties' (1) 42:9	5:9;40:7,11	25:2	prosecuting (1) 28:6	really (1) 48:14
	<b>please (17)</b> 2:21,22;5:1;6:14,17,	<b>preparing (2)</b> 36:20;43:15	prosecution (5)	reason (6)
passport (2) 48:8,24	19,21;10:5;13:14;17:13;	presence (1)	7:2;28:12;29:13,25;	8:24,25;13:3;31:12,
passports (1)	26:7;31:18;35:6,8;39:7;	3:13	44:1	15;48:20
49:3	40:14;50:8	present (4)	prove (13)	reasonable (9)
past (2)	plus (28)	5:21;14:5;42:3;44:20	10:17,19;17:9,14,18;	10:18;17:10,20;18:8,
8:5;27:20	20:6,9,9,10,16,19,19,	presented (2)	18:7,8,22;19:7,14;20:2;	22;19:8,14;35:12;38:7
Paul (1)	20;21:1,4,4,6,11,14,14,	14:13;49:22	37:5;38:6	reasonably (1)
2:6	15,21,24,24,25;22:6,9,9,	presentence (5)	proved (1)	3:12
Pause (8)	11,16,18,20,20	25:2;42:5,14,24;43:16	46:25	reasons (2)
6:3;13:16;26:9;38:19;	pm (1)	presumed (1)	proven (2)	46:13;48:14
41:14;44:6;50:9;51:1	50:17	10:17	35:11,13	received (5)
pay (2)	point (5)	pretense (1)	proves (1)	5:16,18;23:11;33:8;
27:20,20	4:25;6:8;42:15;43:16;	19:20	46:24	36:22
payroll (8)	48:3	Pretrial (7)	provide (1)	recently (2)
32:13,15,22;36:18,20,	policy (1)	2:7;45:2;47:16;48:9;	29:12	7:20;47:9
21,24;38:15	25:6	49:10,19;50:16	provided (5)	recited (1)
penalties (1)	portion (1)	prevail (1)	11:5;28:11,24;38:20;	37:20
27:22	7:5	31:13	48:19	recognizance (1)
penalty (8)	<b>pose (3)</b> 44:11:46:6:49:24	previously (1)	provides (7)	44:21
20:5,15,25;21:10,20; 22:5,15,25	position (3)	33:12 <b>prior (1)</b>	27:18,25;28:10;29:22; 30:5,15;44:7	record (3) 5:2;6:22;17:14
pending (2)	27:11;44:15;47:9	27:18	provision (4)	records (21)
3:11,15	positions (1)	prison (6)	29:14,25;30:8;48:21	15:18,21;16:11,18;
people (10)	34:1	23:2,8,9,12,13,15	provisions (1)	18:7,14,17,21,25;19:2;
10:23;20:8,18;21:3,	possess (1)	<b>Probation (10)</b>	25:5	32:13,15,22;35:15,22;
13,23;22:8;32:17,24;	23:23	25:1;43:5,8,9,10,15,	prudent (1)	36:6,16,20,24;38:15;
37:21	possible (10)	17,18,24;51:7	49:5	39:5
perhaps (1)	9:10;12:13;20:5,15,	procedures (1)	psychiatrist (1)	referred (1)
5:5	25;21:10,20;22:5,15;	43:13	7:21	37:18
perjury (2)	24:10	proceed (2)	public (3)	reflect (3)
7:3;30:2	post (2)	4:7;6:4	5:8;10:9;23:22	26:22;32:22;34:21
permitted (1)	5:11;47:17	proceeded (1)	purported (2)	reflecting (2)
31:16	posted (1)	35:10	36:11;37:8	36:16,24

June 6, 2011

June 6, 2011			T	ERIC S. LIPKIN,
refuse (1)	requests (1)	rights (16)	47:17	25:18,21,24;30:23,25;
13:1	48:9	2:20;3:1,8,24;4:21;	seems (1)	33:5,7;37:3;40:4;41:23;
regard (7)	required (7)	6:10;10:1,3,4;12:3,6,10,	49:5	42:13,17;50:24
17:17;18:6,20;19:6,	10:17;11:12,14;18:14,	13;23:21;40:15;41:18	selected (1)	six-count (1)
13;32:1;43:10	25;19:12;25:15	rise (1)	10:23	4:12
regarding (2)	requiring (1)	51:20	sent (1)	Social (1)
25:23;33:6	5:4	risk (6)	33:16	38:21
registered (1)	resident (1)	28:25;45:25;46:5;	sentence (16)	sole (1)
18:12	46:2	47:10,15;49:21	23:10;24:2,8,16,19,24;	29:6
Regulations (5)	residential (1)	rules (3)	25:1,6,11;27:2,12,13;	solely (1)
15:18;16:13,20;18:15;	44:24	18:14;19:1;44:19	28:15;29:5;43:20,25	24:25
19:1	resides (2)		Sentencing (16)	solemnly (1)
reimprisoned (1)	45:5;46:3	S	24:1,4,9,10,11;27:18;	6:25
23:4	respect (9)		28:15;42:10,13,20;43:3,	someone (1)
relate (1)	17:5;35:14;37:3;39:4;	S3 (3)	12,12,16,23;44:9	37:25
31:24	42:10;44:13;45:24;	4:13;5:10;14:22	serve (2)	sometime (1)
relating (1)	47:10;49:21	safety (3)	23:22;45:19	32:19
22:18	responses (1)	3:13;44:12;49:25	served (1)	sons (1)
relationship (1)	9:19	salaries (2)	23:9	32:20
47:21	responsible (2)	36:23;38:17	Service (1)	sorry (3)
release (18)	36:17,19	satisfaction (1) 38:2	27:21	9:1;31:23;34:19
20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:1,11,21,24;23:1,6,8,	restitution (7) 20:9,19;21:4,14,24;	satisfied (2)	Services (4) 2:7;48:9;49:10,19	Southern (2) 18:4;45:3
	22:9,19	9:16;46:5	serving (1)	1
12,14,15;44:14;46:8; 48:13;50:1	restricted (2)	9.10,40.3 satisfy (2)	25:10	speak (4) 6:14;7:14;41:13;43:22
released (3)	45:3;50:11	9:25;12:22	set (10)	speaking (1)
3:10;23:2;44:12	result (14)	saying (1)	10:10;23:3,7;27:7;	34:21
relevant (2)	20:10,20;21:5,15,25;	10:6	28:16;35:12;42:20;	Special (8)
22:17;45:14	22:10;23:10;25:17;29:1;	scheme (3)	44:14,19;51:8	2:6;20:9,19;21:4,14,
reliability (2)	30:22;38:14,22;46:5;	19:17,18,21	setting (2)	24;22:9,20
28:23;45:12	49:19	school (1)	36:2;42:10	specific (5)
remain (2)	resulting (12)	7:9	seven (1)	19:22;25:5;37:23;
3:3;33:20	20:7,8,17,18;21:2,3,	Schwartz (2)	45:20	49:8,9
remainder (1)	12,13,22,23;22:7,8	2:5,9	several (3)	Specifically (3)
23:8	retained (2)	Scott (1)	34:1;35:25;46:4	35:13;37:12;45:20
remains (1)	4:4,8	6:23	sheet (4)	specifics (1)
29:6	Retirement (2)	SDNY (1)	5:23,24;6:1;50:5	51:8
remind (1)	15:25;34:14	50:12	shepherd (1)	specified (1)
43:4	return (2)	seated (4)	51:3	28:13
report (10)	49:9;50:14	2:11;7:5;35:8;42:1	show (5)	speedy (1)
25:2;42:5,14,24;43:5,	returned (2)	SEC (2)	13:14;26:7;36:11;	10:9
9,16,19,22;47:23	23:7,15	34:5;36:4	37:24;40:14	spent (1)
reported (1)	returns (1)	Second (6)	showed (2)	23:10
37:1	27:19 Povenue (1)	17:24;18:13,24;19:10,	26:5;32:16	stages (1) 11:2
reporting (2) 34:2;38:8	<b>Revenue (1)</b> 27:21	21;38:18 SEC's (2)	sign (2) 41:1;50:22	stand (4)
54:2;56:6 reports (10)	review (1)	18:14,25	signed (17)	2:21;31:18;37:16;39:7
32:4,9;33:22;34:4,9,	41:1	Section (17)	4:21;5:4,24;6:1;13:17,	standing (1)
11;36:9,10,13;37:21	reviewed (2)	15:14,18,21;16:4,5,13,	19,22,24;26:11,14,17,	33:20
represent (3)	4:20;47:23	14,20,21;24:12;26:1;	20;33:2;40:16,18,21,23	start (1)
3:24;4:4;37:8	reviews (1)	28:14,17,20;29:15;44:7;	significance (4)	46:18
representation (1)	36:4	51:4	28:21;39:3;45:25;	state (4)
9:17	reword (1)	Sections (7)	47:10	2:22;6:21;25:10;28:6
representations (1)	6:14	15:16,20,23;16:12,19;	significant (1)	statement (10)
19:20	Ridgewood (1)	17:1,6	47:20	3:4;5:1;7:3;19:10,11,
represented (3)	31:3	secured (3)	sign-up (1)	12;31:7;33:14,15,17
3:21;8:12;11:3	right (28)	44:21,21;50:10	5:23	statements (16)
request (5)	2:19;3:3,10,17,21;6:4,	Securities (2)	silent (1)	3:4,6,6;15:24;16:25;
42:9,12;45:2,7,9	19;10:9,13;11:3,8,10,12,	18:11;36:11	3:3	19:6;25:6;30:8,15,17;
requested (2)	14,24;12:20;13:1,10;	Securities' (1)	sit (1)	35:17,24;36:2;37:19;
5:11;47:15	14:12;23:21,22,22,23;	32:3	37:15	38:2,25
requesting (2)	27:14;30:17;38:20;	Security (4)	Six (17)	<b>States (35)</b>
5:8;28:15	43:21;51:18	15:25;34:14;38:21;	17:3;19:13;22:6,15;	2:1,7;3:2,19;7:18;

suffered (1) 28:25 sufficient (1) 28:24;29:24;30:7,10; 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:12 35:6 35:24;16:25;19:7; 39:2;48:18 summer (1) 49:1 sums (1) 22:17 Third (15) 14:20;18:2,16;19:1, 15:4;16:3,10,17,24; 17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12; 39:9,13,17,21;25;40:4; 41:24 supervised (14) 20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:17,11,20,24;23:16,68; 12:11,6,16;22:1;23:16; 39:11,41:23 39:13,14;21;21,5,140:21; 15:14,16:3,10,17,24; 17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12; 39:11,7;15:10;14:21; 13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24 supervised (14) 20:11,21;21:6,16; 21:11,6,16;22:1;23:16; 50:16 supported (1) 41:20 41:17 41:20 41:17 3:11,15;10:9,16,19,22; 11:2,7,19,24;12:17 17:10,15;23:5;31:13; 35:10;38:7 17:10,15;20:35;31:13; 35:10;38:7 17:10,15;20:35;31:13; 35:10;38:7 17:10,15;20:35;31:13; 35:10;38:7 17:10,15;20:35;31:13; 35:10;38:7 17:10,15;20:35;31:13; 35:10;38:7 14:12,7,19,24;12:15 11:2,7,19,24;23:13:15 11:2,7,19,24;23:13:13 12:11 12:11 1					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2316.44.11.41.92.1; 26.53.83.50.7   38.15   U	10:4,8;13:9;15:15,16,20,	sure (3)	thus (1)		versus (1)
171-16.23:24:11.25-16.1   45:19   45				U	
Status (1)   Status (2)   Status (3)   Status (4)   Status (5)   Sta	17:1,6,23;24:11;25:16;				
status (1)   47:10   50:3				unable (3)	
stats(1)         457,4858,19,21490;; statutory (2)         457,4688,19,21490;; statutory (2)         constructory (2)         surrendered (2)         48,56213         surrendered (2)         48,56213         surrendered (2)         48,56213         surrendered (2)         48,56213         surrendered (3)         surrendered (2)         surrendered (3)         surrender (4)         surrendered (3)         surrender (4)         surrender (4)         surrendered (3)         surrendered (3)         surrender (4)         surrender (1)         surren		` ,			
Statutory (2)   22:18;25:8   39:85:013   Title (12)   15:15:17,19:22:16:35,   13:5242:13:19   10:6   15:15:17,19:22:16:35,   13:5242:13:19   10:6   15:15:17,19:22:16:35,   14:818:14.25:19:19,   24:10.11:27:13:28:20,   23:12:24:28:14:14:52   15:15:37:32:24:48:8.10.11   7:11   8:12   23:12:29:25:24:14:52   18:12:29:25:24:48:8.10.11   18:12:29:25:25:29:25:29:29:25:22:29:25:29:29:25:29:29:25:29:29:25:29:25:29:29:25:29:29:25:29:29:25:29:29:29:25:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:				unanimously (1)	
2218.258   49.8.50.13   Title (12)   13.524.21(31.9   5.20   13.18.20.17.624.211.3   13.18.20.17.624.211.3   13.18.20.17.624.211.3   13.18.20.17.624.211.3   13.18.20.17.624.211.3   13.18.20.17.624.211.3   13.18.20.17.624.211.3   13.18.20.17.624.211.3   14.881.81.425.19.19.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.12.71.13.2   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.13.13.13.1   24.10.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.				10:24	
still (3)         sworn (1)         15.15.17.19.22;16.35, Marker (17)         15.15.17.19.22;16.35, Marker (18)         14.88.18.14.25.19.19.19.22;16.32.25.91.11.7, Marker (18)         24.10.11.27.13.28.20.2, 39.41.03.32.02, 13.94.41.03.07.7         24.10.11.27.13.28.20.2, 13.94.41.03.07.22.23.02.13.94.41.23.02.13.94.41.23.02.13.94.41.23.02.13.94.41.23.02.13.94.41.23.02.23.16.84.11.23.12.23.23.23.23.23.23.23.23.23.23.23.23.23					*
13,52,42;13;19					
T					
T		0.20			
Strict (3)   45:247:16:50:16   study (1)   2:11:41:8   2:11:41:8   2:12:525:59:11.17,   29:15:38:20:21:394,   30:7   violating (2)   violating (3)   violating (2)   violating (3)   violating (2)   violating (3)   violating (2)   violating (3)   violating (3)   violating (3)   violating (4)   violati		Т			
45:247:16;50:16  tstudy (1)  7:11  7:12  7:13  7:14  7:15  47:20  8ubidivision (1)  47:20  8ubidivision (1)  22:19  27:19  10d (1)  27:19  10d (1)  27:19  10d (1)  27:19  10d (1)  27:20  10d (1)  34:12  20:11,212;16,16;  3ubimission (1)  43:12  20:11,212;16,16;  20:11,212;15,33:34:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  32:15;33:33:4:17;  33:18  8ubstantial (2)  28:11,20:12  28:11,20:12  28:11  28:25  sufficient (1)  35:18  suffered (1)  28:25  sufficient (1)  35:18  summarize (1)  35:18  summarize (1)  35:19  39:248:18  therefore (2)  31:12  understandings (1)  28:13  understandings (1)  28:13  understandings (1)  28:13  understandings (1)  25:22  26:63:32:25  understandings (1)  25:22  17:104(8:13):15:16;  22:1,7:32:19:71;  104:48:13:91:15:10,00  22:1,7:32:19:71;  104:48:13:91:15:10,00  23:11:48:10  11:11,18,25:17:5;  30:11  11:21,12:15:16;  22:1,12:21:33:12,23:12;  23:14:12:33:12:33:12;  23:14:12:33:13:31:31  30:11  11:25  11:14:10  11:18:10:30:10  22:17  28:23  28:13  20:11:12:16:63  20:11:11:18:25:10:72  11:11:11:10:10:12:15:10  22:17  28:12  11:11:11:10:10:11:12:10  11:11:10:10:10:12:10:10  11:11:10:10:10:10  22:17:10:10:12:13:10:10  22:17:10:10:12:13:10:10  11:11:10:10:10:10  23:11:10:10:10  23:11:10:10:10		-			
Study (t)   7:11		table (2)			
7:11 sussion (1)					
Suasion (1)   47:20   tax (1)   27:19   tax (1)   27:19   tax (1)   27:19   tax (2)   27:20   tok (1)   47:3   27:40   47:9   United (35)   21:1,3:2,197:17;   104.81.39:151.516.20   22:1,11.21;23.81,12.17;   47:3					
## Subdivision (1) 28:16 28:16 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:11:29:25;44:1;45:2 28:10:29:29:48:18 28:19:29:48:18 29:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19	suasion (1)	2:6,9	together (1)		16:11,18,25;17:5;
28:16   subject (4)   27:19   takes (1)   27:20   took (1)   34:22   submission (1)   43:13   term (13)   36:14   term (13)   36:14   term (13)   14:22   22:1,11.21;23:8,12,12, termination (1)   45:13   substantial (2)   28:11:29:12   substantial (2)   28:11:29:12   substantial (2)   28:11:29:12   28:25   testimony (5)   28:24;29:24;30:7,10; 35:18   suffered (1)   45:25;46:10   testify (5)   11:31,14,15,19,21   testimony (5)   28:24;29:24;30:7,10; 35:11   35:11   summarize (1)   45:10   45:13   therefore (2)   38:10.24   thinking (1)   45:10   threefore (2)   38:10   trusted (1)   45:13   therefore (2)   38:10;41:19;41:1					
Subject (4)   23:1;29:25;44:1;45:2   submission (1)   43:13   submissions (1)   43:12   20:11,12;12:16,16;   22:1,11,21;23:8,12,12,   15:34:6,9   termination (1)   45:25;36:10   testify (5)   13:13,14,15,19,21   testimory (5)   28:24;29:24;30:7,10;   35:18   submarize (1)   35:6   submission (1)   45:13   summarize (1)   35:6   submission (1)   45:13   summarize (1)   45:11   summarize (1)   45:10   testify (5)   15:24;16:25;19:7;   summary (2)   33:11,24   thinking (1)   43:10   thinking (1)   43:10   43:10   thinking (1)   43:10   11:25;10;142:1;   11:23;13:25;10;142:1;   11:23;13:25;10;142:1;   11:23;13:25;10;142:1;   11:23;13:25;10;142:1;   11:23;13:25;10;142:1;   11:23;13:25;10;142:1;   11:23;13:25;10;142:1;   11:23;13:25;10;142:1;   11:23;10;15;10;142:1;   11:23;10;15;10;142:1;   11:23;10;15;10;142:1;   11:23;10;15;10;142:1;   11:23;10;15;10;142:1;   11:23;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;					
23:129:25:44:145:2 submission (1) 43:13 submission (2) 43:12 22:1.11.21;23:8,12,12, 43:12 22:1.11.21;23:8,12,12, 15:34:6,9 17:34:6,19 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 32:15;33:3;4:17; 33:18 substantial (2) 28:11:29:12 28:25 suffered (1) 28:25 sufficient (1) 28:24:29:24;30:7,10; 45:11 summarize (1) 35:18 summary (2) 39:2;48:18 thert (8) 35:6 15:24;16:25;19:7; 39:2;48:18 therefore (2) 38:11.24 thinking (1) 49:1 summ (1) 49:1 summ (1) 49:1 sum (1) 22:17 Superveding (19) 4:1225:10;14:21; 39:9;13;17:21;25;40:4;41:24 threatend (1) 43:10 Third (15) Superveding (19) 4:1225:10;14:21; 39:9;13;17:21;25;40:4;41:24 threatend (1) 20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:11,12,02;42:3:1,6,8,12;21; 39:9;13;17:21,25;40:4;41:24 trace (1) 20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:11,12,02;42:3:1,6,8,12;21; 39:9;13;17:21,25;40:4;41:24 trace (1) 22:11,12,02;42:3:1,6,8,12;21; 39:9;13;17:21;25;40:4;41:24 supervision (4) 22:11,14,15 supervision (4) 23:11,45:347:16; 50:16 supervision (4) 22:11,13;23;23;31:4;35:4;35:4;35:4;35:4;35:4;35:4;35:4;35				unemployed (1)	
submission (1)         36:14         term (13)         term (13)         21:1,7:32,19;7:17; 10:4,8:13:9;15:15,16,20; 23:16:4,11,3,19,21; 10:4,8:13:9;15:15,16,20; 23:16:4,11,3,19,21; 17:16,23;24:11,25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 17:16,23;24:11,25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 17:16,23;24:11,25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 14:10: untrue (1)         voluntary (1)         d5:19; 17:16,23;24:11,25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 11:18:18:19:4,5,22         voluntary (1)         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 11:18:18:19:4,5,22         voluntary (1)         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 11:18:18:19:19:23:11; 17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11; 11:18:18:19:19:23:11; 17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,11         voluntary (1)         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,31:1         voluntary (1)         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,31:1         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,31:1         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,31:1         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,31:1         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,21:1         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,21:1         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,21:1         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:1,27,10,13;29:4,21:1         voluntary (1)         d5:17:16,23;24:11;25:16; 28:11;11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11					
submissions (1) 43:12 submistions (2) 43:12 submitted (7) 32:15;33:33:4:17; 37:6,13;38:8;43:8 substantial (2) 28:11;29:12 35:14,713;39:3; substantive (1) 35:18 suffered (1) 28:22,47,13;39:3; suffered (1) 28:22,5 sufficient (1) 45:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11 35:11 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11 35:11 35:11 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11 11:13,14,15,19,21 testimony (5) 35:11,15:10:9,16,19,22; 11:2,7,19,24;12:1,19 11:13,14,15,19,21 truble(1) 7:1 7:1 11:13,14,15,19,21 truble(1) 7:1 11:13,14,15,10,21 truble(1) 7:1 11:13,14,15,20,21 truble(1) 7:1 11:13,14,15,20,2					
submissions (1)         20:11,21:21:6,16;         20:11,21:21:6,16;         22:1,11,21;23:8,12,12,         22:1,11,21;23:8,12,12,         22:21,11,21;23:8,12,12,         22:21,11,21;23:8,12,12,         22:21,11,21;23:8,12,12,         23:23:16:4,11,13,19,21;         23:11,6,23;24:11,15:16;         23:11,6,23;24:11,15:16;         23:11,6,23;24:11,13,19,21;         23:11,6,23;24:11,13,19,21;         23:11,13,11,13,10,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,13,13,13,13,13         23:11,14,13,13,13,13         23:11,14,14,13,13,13,13         23:11,14,14,13,13,13,13         23:11,14,14,13,13,13,13         33:11,14,14,13,13,13,13         33:11,14,14,13,13,13,13         33:11,14,14,13,13,13,13         33:11,14,14,13,13,13,13         33:11,14,14,13,13,13,13         33:11,14,14,13,13,13,13,13         33:11,14,14,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14         33:11,14,14,14,14,14					
Asi:					
submitted (7)         15;34:6.9         traced (1)         17;(0,13,28:4,11)         28:12,7,10,13,29:4,11         14,18,30:19,20:31:1         vote (1)           32:15;33:3;34:17;         37:6,13,38:8,43:8         46:20         47:3         48:12.0,21;         44:3         45:23,88:43:8         23:2,47,13;39:3;         45:23,88:48:20,21;         45:38,88:48:20,21;         45:38,88:48:20,21;         45:33,88:48:20,21;         45:31,10;50:3,11,12,16         14:13,10;50:3,11,12,16         15:44:10         minrue (1)         33:11,44:10         waive (8)         4:11,81:18:9,45,22         3:11,44:10         waive (8)         4:11,81:18:9,45,22         3:11,44:10         waive (8)         4:11,81:18:18:9,45,22         3:11,44:10         waive (8)         4:11,81:18:18:9,45,22         3:11,44:10         waive (8)         4:11,81:18:18:9,45,22         1:11,11,21,61         1:11,11,21,61         1:11,21,21,61         1:11,21,21,61         1:11,21,21,61         1:11,21,21,61         1:11,21,21,61         1:11,21,21,61         1:11,21,21,61         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21,21         1:11,21,21         1:11,21,21         1:11,21					
32:15;33:3;34:17; 37:6,13;38:8;43:8 substantial (2) 28:11;29:12 substantive (1) 35:18 suffered (1) 28:25 sufficient (1) 35:6 35:6 35:6 15:24;16:25;19:7; 39:2;48:18 summer (1) 38:11,24 49:1 summer (1) 38:11,24 38:11,24 38:11,24 39:9;13;17;21,25;40:4; 11:23;31:20;35:7;39:9;13;17;21;25;40:4;12:17; 12:31;22;30;35:12; 39:9;13;17;21,25;40:4; 12:4; 12:21;14;15; 29:11,21;21;21;41;5; 29:11,21;41;5; 29:11,223;3; 29:11;41;5; 29:11,223;3; 29:11;41;5; 29:11,223;3; 29:11;41;5; 29:11,223;3; 29:11;41;5; 29:11,223;3; 29:11;41;23;3; 29:11;41;41:10  waive (8) 33:11,44:10 untrue (1) 7:1 up (15) 5:5;10:4:11:3,12:9,13, 20;41;12:11;41;41:0 up (15) 5:5;10:4:11:3,12:9,13, 20;41;12:11;41;41:0 up (15) 5:5;10:4:11:3,12:9,13, 20;41;12:11;41;41:10 untrue (1) 7:1 up (15) 5:5;10:4:11:3,12:9,13, 20;41:12:11;41;41:0 up (15) 5:5;10:4:11:3,12:9,13, 20;41:12:11;41;41:0 up (15) 5:5;10:4:11:3,12:9,13, 20;41:12:11;41;41:0 11:27,19,24:12:17;11;41;41:0 11:27,19,24:12:17;11;41;41:0 11:27,19,24:12:17;11;41;41;41;41;41;41;41;41;41;41;41;41;					
37:6,13;38:8;43:8   47:3   Terms (7)   23:1;29:12   23:2,4,7,13;39:3;   49:3,7,10;50;3,11,12;16   treated (1)   35:18   testify (5)   11:13,14,15,19,21   testimony (5)   3:11,2,7,19,2,4;12:17;   35:11   17:10,15;23:5;33:11;3   35:16   summarize (1)   28:24,29;24;30:7,10;   35:10,38:7   tribunal (1)   48:13   summary (2)   35:17;37:19,2338:1,25   deference (2)   34:8;36:10   trusted (1)   43:10   45:13   truth (1)   42:18;10;10;10;12;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;					
substantial (2)         Terms (7)         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,8,8;48:20,21;         45:3,10;50:3,11,12,16         mutrue (1)         7:1         up (15)         55:5,10:4;11:3;12:9,13,         20:14:12;23:13;25:18;         20:14:12;23:13;25:18;         41:1,15,19,21         14:12:9,18         Waiver (8)         4:11;8:18;9:4,5,22         14:29,18         Waiver (5)         6:5,10:4;11:3;12:9,13,         20:14:12;23:13;25:18;         35:10:38:7         17:10,15;23:5;31:13;         37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8;         55:5,10:4;10:42:17;         17:10,15;23:5;31:13;         37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8;         55:5,10:4;10:3;11:29,13,         Waiver (5)         6:5,8;13:15,17;15:         waiving (3)         use (2)         15:18,12         week (1)         48:13         use (2)         15:12;43:16         week (1)         55:7         week (1)         47:25         week (1)         55:7         welcome (1)         47:25         whereabouts (2)         46:16;47:2         wish (6)         31:5;46:3;47:12,13					23.22
28:11;29:12					$\mathbf{W}$
substantive (1)         45:25;46:10         treated (1)         7:1         waive (8)         4:11;8:18;9:45,22         4:11;8:18;9:45,22         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13         4:11;8:18;9:45,22         4:11;8:18;9:45,22         4:11;8:18;9:45,22         4:11;8:18;9:45,22         4:11;8:18;9:45,22         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13         20;14:12;23:13;25:18;37:16;37:16;37:19;13;37:19;23;38:1,25         5:6;8:13:15,17;15:         waiving (3)         4:11;30:16;41:18         waiving (3)         4:11;30:16;41:18         waiving (3)         4:11;30:16;41:18         website (3)         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13,20;18:19;13         37:16,45:11;46:25;50:8;51:8,12         5:8,12         urge (1)         4:11;30:16;41:18         waiving (3)         4:11;30:16;41:18         website (3)         4:11;30:16;41:18         website (3)         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13,20;36:7;35:9,13         35:10;38:7         tribunal (1)         48:13         website (3)         website (3)         48:13         website (3)         45:13         used (3)         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13,20;36:7;33:9,13         5:5;10:4;31:3;20:35:18,33:20;35:18,33:10         46:13         48:13         website (3)         45:13         used (3)         47:25         website (3)         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25         47:25					
35:18 suffered (1)         testify (5)         7:23 trial (17)         4:11:8:18;9:4,5,22         4:11:8:18;9:4,5,22         4:11:8:18;9:4,5,22         4:11:8:18;9:4,5,22         4:12:9,18         Waiver (5)         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13, 20:14:12;23:13;25:18; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 57:8,12         4:12:9,18         Waiver (5)         4:12:9,18         Waiver (5)         6:5,8;13:15,17;15: waiving (3)         waiving (3)         waiving (3)         waiving (3)         waiving (3)         waiving (3)         summor (3)         48:13         website (3)         5:5,12:43:14         week (1)         48:13         week (1)         week (1)         48:13         week (1)         48:13         week (1)         48:13         week (1)         5:7         47:25         47:	substantive (1)				waive (8)
suffered (1)         11:13,14,15,19,21         trial (17)         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13         14:2,9,18           28:25         testimony (5)         3:11,15;10:9,16,19,22;         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13, 20;14:12;23:13;25:18; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 51:8,12         4:12:0,18;20:24;30:7,10; 11:2,7,19,24;12:17; 17:10,15;23:5;31:13; 35:10;38:7         3:11,15;10:9,16,19,22; 11:2,7,19,24;12:17; 17:10,15;23:5;31:13; 35:10;38:7         3:11,15;10:9,16,19,22; 11:2,7,19,24;12:17; 17:10,15;23:5;31:13; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 51:8,12         4:10,15;13;15;17:15: 48:11         4:10,15;23:5;31:13; 35:10;38:7         3:11,15;10:9,16,19,22; 11:2,7,19,24;12:17; 17:10,15;23:5;31:13; 35:10;38:7         5:5;10:4;11:3;12:9,13, 20;14:12;31:2;31:13; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 51:8,12         4:10,15;23:5;31:13; 35:10;38:7         4:10,15;23:5;31:13; 35:10;38:7         4:11,130:16;41:18         website (3)         4:11;130:16;41:18         website (3)         4:11;130:16;41:18         website (3)         5:5;10:4;31:4         website (3)         5:5;10:4;31:4         4:11;30:16;41:18         website (3)         5:5;10:4;31:13; 20:35:18; 37:16; 37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8; 51:8,12         4:11;30:16;41:18         website (3)         4:11;130:16;41:18         website (3)         5:5;10:4;41:18         website (3)         test (1)         48:13         week (1)         47:25         week (1)         47:25         week (1)         47:25         46:16;47:2         weifulness (1)         47:25         weifulness (1)         48:21         weifulness (1)         48:					4:11;8:18;9:4,5,22;
sufficient (1)         28:24,29:24;30:7,10;         11:2,7,19,24;12:17;         37:16;45:11;46:25;50:8;         6:5,8;13:15,17;15:5           45:11         35:6         15:24;16:25;19:7;         35:10;38:7         tribunal (1)         48:13         website (3)         14:11;30:16;41:18           summary (2)         35:17;37:19,23;38:1,25         therefore (2)         38:11,24         therefore (2)         38:11,24         trusted (1)         48:13         website (3)         5:5,12;43:14         week (1)         5:7         5:7         week (1)         5:5,12;43:14         week (1)         5:5,12;43:14         week (1)         5:5,12;43:14         week (1)         47:25         wife (8)         31:5;46:3;47:12,13         47:25         wife (8)         31:5;46:3;47:12,13         48:24;49:7;50:13,13         48:24;49:7;50:13,13         48:24;49:7;50:13,13         48:24;49:7;50:13,13         48:24;49:7;50:13,13         48:24;49:7;50:13,13         <					
45:11 summarize (1) theft (8)					
summarize (1)         theft (8)         35:10;38:7         tribunal (1)         48:13         urge (1)         48:13         website (3)           summary (2)         35:17;37:19,23;38:1,25         therefore (2)         30:11         use (2)         5:5,12;43:14           summer (1)         38:11,24         thinking (1)         trusted (1)         34:8;36:10         used (3)         seek (1)           sums (1)         49:1         thinking (1)         trusted (1)         36:7:2;34:9         welcome (1)           22:17         Third (15)         truth (1)         28:21         whereabouts (2)           Superseding (19)         4:12;5:10;14:21;         11,23;31:20;36:7;39:9, 13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24         truth (1)         28:21         whereabouts (2)           41:24         threatened (1)         27:7         28:23         viifully (3)         31:5;46:3;47:12,13           41:24         threatened (14)         20:11,21;21:6,16;         16:9;18:6;20:11,21;         12,13,22,23;22:78         V         valid (2)         48:13;49:13;40:44:6         willing (1)           42:14:15         16:9;18:6;20:11,21;         16:2;17:17;20:16;         23:21         value (1)         33:4         15:4;16:3;47:12,13           41:24         41:24         41:17         41:20         42:13;20;23;					
35:6   15:24;16:25;19:7;   35:17;37:19,23;38:1,25   therefore (2)   38:11,24   34:8;36:10   trusted (1)   43:10   45:13   truth (1)   22:17   Third (15)   14:20;18:2,16;19:1, 17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12;   47:25   threatened (1)   27:7   threats (1)   14:17   20:11,21;21:6,16;   22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 12,14,15   supported (1)   23:12   three-year (1)   41:20					
summary (2)         35:17;37:19,23;38:1,25         30:11         use (2)         5:5,12;43:14         week (1)           39:2;48:18         38:11,24         38:11,24         34:8;36:10         used (3)         5:7           49:1         thinking (1)         trusted (1)         3:6;7:2;34:9         welcome (1)           5:7         43:10         45:13         usefulness (1)         47:25           5:14;16:3,10,17,24;         14:20;18:2,16;19:1, 12;3;31:20;36:7;39:9, 13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24         11,23;31:20;36:7;39:9, 13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24         threatened (1)         28:23         wife (8)         31:5;46:3;47:12,13           41:24         threats (1)         28:23         truthful (4)         33:4         wilfully (3)         18:19;19:3,22           supervised (14)         20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:1;23:16; 22:1,23:16; 22:1,23:16; 22:1,23:16; 22:1,23:16; 22:1,23:16; 22:1,23:16; 22:1,23:14;25:34:25;30:23,24; 33:25;30:23,24;30:25;30:23,24; 33:25;30:23,24; 33:25;30:34;30:35;30:34         val					
39:2;48:18 summer (1) 49:1 38:11,24 thinking (1) 43:10 Third (15) 14:20;18:2,16;19:1, 17:4;25:10;14:21; 17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12; 17:4:24 supervised (14) 20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 12,14,15 supervision (4) 23:10 Three (2) 38:11,24 thinking (1) 43:10 Third (15) 14:20;18:2,16;19:1, 11,23;31:20;36:7;39:9, 13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24 threatened (1) 27:7 threats (1) 16:9;18:6;20:11,21; 21:1,6,16;22:1;23:16; 50:16 supported (1) 41:20  therefore (2) 34:8;36:10 trusted (1) 45:13 truth (1) 28:21 using (1) 28:21 using (1) 33:4  V wilfully (3) 46:16;47:2 wife (8) 31:5;46:3;47:12,13 48:24;49:7;50:13,1 valid (2) 18:19;19:3,22 willing (1) 47:17 valid (2) 68:31:12 valid (1) 33:10 47:17 valid (2) 68:31:12 valid (1) 33:10 47:17 valid (2) 68:31:12 valid (1) 33:10 47:17 valid (2) 68:33:10 47:17 valid (2) 68:33:10 47:17 valid (2) 68:31:12 valuable (1) 33:10 47:17 valuable (1) 33:10 3:6;7:2;34:9 valie (8) 31:5;46:3;47:12,13 48:24;49:7;50:13,1 48:26 vife (8) 31:5;46:3;47:12,13 48:26 vife (8) 31:5;46:3;47:12,13 48:24;49:7;50:13,1 48:26 vife (8) 31:5;46:3;47:12,13 48:26;49:7;50:13,1 48					
summer (1)         38:11,24         34:8;36:10         used (3)         5:7           49:1         thinking (1)         43:10         45:13         usefulness (1)         welcome (1)           22:17         Third (15)         truth (1)         28:21         whereabouts (2)           4:12;5:10;14:21;         11,23;31:20;36:7;39:9,         truthful (4)         33:4         33:4         wife (8)           15:14;16:3,10,17,24;         13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24         threatened (1)         27:7         28:23         wilf (8)           41:24         threats (1)         27:7         28:23         wilf (15)         18:19;19:3,22           supervised (14)         14:17         20:11,21;21:6,16;         20:7,8,17,18;21:2,3,         12,13,22,23;22:7,8         valid (2)         6:8;31:12         willing (1)           22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 12,14,15         16:9;18:6;20:11,21;         16:2;17:17;20:16;         23:14;25:18,21,24;         23:14;25:18,21,24;         23:14;25:18,21,24;         23:14;25:18,21,24;         33:10         wish (16)           supported (1)         41:20         23:12         7:24;34:13;50:8         11:25         42:3;43:3;45:23;48					
## thinking (1) ## trusted (1) ## sums (1) ## superveding (19) ## supervised (14) ## supervised (14) ## supervised (14) ## supervised (14) ## supervision (4) ## supervi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
sums (1)     43:10     45:13     usefulness (1)     47:25       Superseding (19)     14:20;18:2,16;19:1, 11,23;31:20;36:7;39:9, 15:14;16:3,10,17,24; 17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12; 39:9,13,17,21,25;40:4; 41:24     13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24     threatened (1)     34:20     valid (2)     willfully (3)       supervised (14)     14:17     20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:1;11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 12,14,15     Three (20)     16:9;18:6;20:11,21; 21:1,6,16;22:1;23:16; 32:11,45:3;47:16; 32:2,12;35:14;38:24; 50:16     Two (15)     valid (2)     willingly (2)       supervision (4)     25:18,19,25;30:23,24; 39:17;41:23     39:17;41:23     three-year (1)     23:12     values (1)       supported (1)     41:20     45:13     truth (1)     28:21     using (1)     using (1)     46:16;47:2     wife (8)       31:5;46:3;47:12,13     48:24;49:7;50:13,14     valid (2)     valid (2)     18:19;19:3,22     willingly (3)       47:17     47:25     value (1)     23:21     willingly (2)       16:2;17:17;20:16; 23:14;25:18,21,24; 39:13;41:23;48:10     value (1)     33:10     value (1)     47:25       supported (1)     46:16;47:2     valid (2)     valid (2)     valid (2)     48:24;49:7;50:13,14       41:20     47:17     23:21     value (1)     33:10     47:25     value (1)     47:17     48:24;49:7;50:13,14       42:3;43:3;45:23;48:10	, ,		trusted (1)		
22:17  Superseding (19) 4:12;5:10;14:21; 11;23;31:20;36:7;39:9, 15:14;16:3,10,17,24; 17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12; 39:9,13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24 41:24  supervised (14) 20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 12,14,15  supervision (4) 23:11;45:3;47:16; 50:16  supported (1) 41:20  Third (15) 14:20;18:2,16;19:1, 11,23;31:20;36:7;39:9, 13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24 threatened (1) 28:21 truth(1) 6:25 truth(1) 33:4  V  wife (8) 31:5;46:3;47:12,13 46:16;47:2 wife (8) 31:5;46:3;47:12,13 48:24;49:7;50:13,1 valid (2) 6:8;31:12 valuable (1) 23:21 value (1) 33:10 value (1) 35:25 value (1) 35:25 value (1) 11:5:21;6:2;9:4,5, 39:13;41:23;48:10 value (1) 35:25 value (1) 35:25 value (1) 11:5:21;6:2;9:4,5, 39:13;43:3;45:23;48 villfully (3) 18:19;19:3,22 villing (1) 47:17 value (1) 35:25 value (1) 35:26 value (1) 35:26 value (1) 35:26 value (1) 35:27 value (1) 35:27	sums (1)		45:13		47:25
Superseding (19)       4:12;5:10;14:21;       14:20;18:2,16;19:1,       6:25       truthful (4)       33:4       wife (8)         15:14;16:3,10,17,24;       17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12;       39:9,13,17,21,25;40:4;       threatened (1)       28:23       willfully (3)       48:24;49:7;50:13,1         41:24       threats (1)       28:23       willfully (3)       18:19;19:3,22         supervised (14)       14:17       20:13,22,23;22:7,8       Three (20)       16:9;18:6;20:11,21;       16:9;18:6;20:11,21;       16:2;17:17;20:16;       23:21       valuable (1)       willingly (2)         12;14,15       25:18,19,25;30:23,24;       32:2,12;35:14;38:24;       30:23,24;33:5,6;37:3;       33:10       wish (16)         supervision (4)       25:18,19,25;30:23,24;       30:23,24;33:5,6;37:3;       39:13;41:23;48:10       value (1)       33:10       values (1)         supported (1)       41:20       7:24;34:13;50:8       verdict (1)       42:3;43:3;45:23;48         41:20       42:3;43:3;45:23;48       45:16;47:2         45:16;47:2       wife (8)       31:5;46:3;47:12,13         46:16;47:2       willing (1)       48:24;49:7;50:13,1         47:17       23:21       value (1)         33:10       41:25;32:6;29:4,5,         35:25       13:5,8;31:9;35:3;41 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>whereabouts (2)</td>					whereabouts (2)
4:12;5:10;14:21; 15:14;16:3,10,17,24; 17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12; 39:9,13,17,21,25;40:4; 41:24  supervised (14) 20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 12;14,15  supervision (4) 23:11;45:3;47:16; 23:11;45:3;47:16; 30:21,12;31:20;35:14;38:24; 31:24  11,23;31:20;36:7;39:9, 13,17,21,25;40:4;41:24  threatened (1) 28:23  twice (12) 20:7,8,17,18;21:2,3, 12,13,22,23;22:7,8  Two (15) 16:2;17:17;20:16; 23:11;45:3;47:16; 32:2,12;35:14;38:24; 33:4  wife (8) 31:5;46:3;47:12,13 48:24;49:7;50:13,1 willfully (3) 18:19;19:3,22 willing (1) 47:17  willingly (2) 17:25;32:5 value (1) 33:4  valid (2) 6:8;31:12 valuable (1) 23:21 value (1) 33:4  valid (2) 6:8;31:12 valuable (1) 23:21 value (1) 33:4  valid (2) 6:8;31:12 valuable (1) 23:21 value (1) 33:10 villingly (2) 17:25;32:5 vish (16) 41;5:21;6:2;9:4,5, 39:13;41:23;48:10 values (1) 35:25 verdict (1) 11:25					*
17:4;25:13;31:20;35:12;       threatened (1)       truthfulness (1)       V       48:24;49:7;50:13,1         39:9,13,17,21,25;40:4;       27:7       28:23       willfully (3)       18:19;19:3,22         41:24       threats (1)       28:23       valid (2)       18:19;19:3,22         supervised (14)       14:17       20:7,8,17,18;21:2,3,       6:8;31:12       willing (1)         22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8,       16:9;18:6;20:11,21;       12,13,22,23;22:7,8       valuable (1)       23:21         12,14,15       21:1,6,16;22:1;23:16;       25:18,19,25;30:23,24;       23:14;25:18,21,24;       23:14;25:18,21,24;         supervision (4)       25:18,19,25;30:23,24;       23:14;25:18,21,24;       30:23,24;33:5,6;37:3;       33:10       wish (16)         50:16       39:17;41:23       39:13;41:23;48:10       35:25       41:5:21;6:2;9:4,5,         supported (1)       41:20       7:24;34:13;50:8       11:25       42:3;43:3;45:23;48					` ,
39:9,13,17,21,25;40:4; 41:24 threats (1)					
41:24				$\mathbf{V}$	
supervised (14)       14:17       20:7,8,17,18;21:2,3, 12;13,22,23;22:7,8       6:8;31:12 valuable (1)       willing (1)         20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 12,14,15       16:9;18:6;20:11,21; 21:1,6,16;22:1;23:16;					
20:11,21;21:6,16; 22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 12:1,6,16;22:1;23:16; 22:11,6,16;22:1;23:16; 22:18,19,25;30:23,24; 23:11;45:3;47:16; 50:16 39:17;41:23 49:10 49:10 49:10 47:17 waluable (1) 23:21 walue (1) 23:21 value (1) 33:10 wish (16) 41:20 42:3;43:15;0:8 values (1) 35:25 values (1) 35:25 values (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 value (1) 47:17 willingly (2) 17:25;32:5 value (1) 33:10 value (1) 33:10 values (1) 35:25 values (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 value (1) 23:12 value (1) 35:25 values (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 value (1) 23:12 value (1) 35:25 values (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 value (1) 23:12 value (1) 35:25 values (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 value (1) 23:12 value (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 value (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 value (1) 47:17 willingly (2) 47:17 value (1) 47:					
22:1,11,20,24;23:1,6,8, 16:9;18:6;20:11,21; 12,14,15 21:1,6,16;22:1;23:16; 21:1,6,16;22:1;23:16; 22:14;25:18,19,25;30:23,24; 23:11;45:3;47:16; 32:2,12;35:14;38:24; 50:16 39:17;41:23 supported (1) 41:20 23:12 Two (15) 23:21 walue (1) 23:21 walue (1) 33:10 wish (16) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48:10 type (3) values (1) 35:25 verdict (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 wished (2)					0 , ,
12,14,15					
supervision (4)       25:18,19,25;30:23,24;       23:14;25:18,21,24;       33:10       wish (16)         23:11;45:3;47:16;       32:2,12;35:14;38:24;       30:23,24;33:5,6;37:3;       39:13;41:23;48:10       4:1;5:21;6:2;9:4,5,         50:16       39:17;41:23       39:13;41:23;48:10       35:25       13:5,8;31:9;35:3;41         supported (1)       41:20       7:24;34:13;50:8       verdict (1)       42:3;43:3;45:23;48         11:25       vished (2)					
23:11;45:3;47:16; 32:2,12;35:14;38:24; 30:23,24;33:5,6;37:3; 50:16 39:17;41:23 39:13;41:23;48:10 type (3) values (1) 35:25 verdict (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 values (1) 42:3;43:3;45:23;48 va					
50:16					4:1;5:21;6:2;9:4,5,25;
supported (1) three-year (1) type (3) verdict (1) 41:20 7:24;34:13;50:8 verdict (1) 11:25 wished (2)		39:17;41:23			13:5,8;31:9;35:3;41:12;
41:20 23:12 7:24;34:13;50:8 11:25 wished (2)					42:3;43:3;45:23;48:3,6
1 (4)					
				verify (1)	
30:16,17 5:14;42:19 50:22 <b>withdraw (4)</b>	30:16,17	5:14;42:19	50:22		withdraw (4)

Case 1:11-cv-03605-JSR Document 89-6 Filed 01/26/12 Page 27 of 27 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. June 6, 2011 ERIC S. LIPKIN, 24:22;27:14;29:9,18 within (1) 29:6 without (3) 23:4;49:8;50:14 witnesses (2) 11:8,12 word (2) 14:21;45:13 words (1) 17:22 work (3) 36:17,22;51:8 worked (5) 32:2,22,25;36:24; 38:16 working (8) 32:18,21;35:21,23; 37:13;38:12,13;45:16 written (1) 50:10 wrong (3) 24:16;33:18;34:25 Y **years (19)** 20:6,11,16,21;21:1,6, 11,16,21;22:1,6,11,16, 21;23:14,16;25:7,8; 27:19 York (7) 18:4;32:4,14,23; 33:14,16;45:4 York/New (1) 46:3  $\mathbf{Z}$ zero (1) 25:7

## Exhibit 7

1 1BLMKUGP1 Plea UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 10 Cr. 228 (LTS) v. 5 DAVID KUGEL, 6 Defendant. 8 New York, N.Y. November 21, 2011 9 12:45 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, 12 District Judge 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 JULIAN MOORE 17 LISA A. BARONI MATTHEW SCHWARTZ 18 Assistant United States Attorneys MARTIN ADELMAN DANIEL ZELENKO MICHAEL BLUMENTHAL Attorneys for Defendant 21 22 ALSO PRESENT: GREG HAGARTY, FBI PAUL TAKLA, FBI 23 JEFFREY STEIMEL, Pretrial Services 24 25

1BLMKUGP1 Plea

- 1 (Case called)
- 2 MR. MOORE: Good afternoon, your Honor, Julian Moore
- 3 for the government. With me at counsel table are my colleagues
- 4 of the USA, Lisa Baroni and Matthew Schwartz. Also present are
- 5 Special Agents Greg Hagarty and Paul Takla of the FBI. Jeff
- 6 Steimel of U.S. Pretrial Services is also with us.
- 7 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Moore, Ms. Baroni,
- 8 Mr. Schwartz, Agent Hagarty, Agent Takla, Officer Steimiel.
- 9 MR. ADELMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor, Martin
- 10 Adelman for Mr. Kugel. The other gentlemen will introduce
- 11 themselves.
- 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Adelman, and good
- 13 afternoon, Mr. Kugel.
- 14 MR. ZELEKNO: Good afternoon, your Honor. Daniel
- 15 Zelenko and Michael Blumenthal, also appearing on behalf of
- 16 Mr. Kugel.
- 17 THE COURT: Good afternoon Mr. Zelenko and good
- 18 afternoon, Mr. Blumenthal, and good afternoon, everyone in
- 19 attendance.
- I would just like to say, I understand there was a
- 21 little bit of a miscommunication with respect to the door
- 22 signage. I was conducting a trial in here this morning. As
- 23 you can see, it is a fairly small courtroom. The sign should
- 24 have said: Kugel on at 12:30, trial in progress, not abandon
- 25 hope ye who entered here. Forgive us. It was just a matter of

3 1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 not wanting to have a lot of unnecessarily disruptive activity 2 going on while the trial was going on. But I also assumed that 3 anyone who would have come in while the trial was going on 4 would not have been disruptive. Again, my apologies. There 5 was no meaning to offend. And I hope that none was taken. 6 It is my understanding that Mr. Kugel has an 7 application to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea to a 8 six-count superseding information which is labeled S4 10 Cr. 9 228. 10 Now, Mr. Adelman, would you be the principal one I 11 should speak to, or should it be Mr. Zelenko? MR. ADELMAN: That's fine, yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, is that correct? 13 14 MR. ADELMAN: Yes, ma'am, it is. 15 THE COURT: And the plea is pursuant to the agreement that has been marked as Government Exhibit 1 and has been 16 executed and is at defense table. Is that correct? 17 MR. ADELMAN: Yes, it is, ma'am. 18 THE COURT: And an advice of rights form has also been 19 20 reviewed and executed and marked as Court Exhibit 1. Is that 21 correct? 22 MR. ADELMAN: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Now, I have a question for the government.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

MR. MOORE: Yes, today, your Honor.

Mr. Moore, are you the principal one today?

24

25

4 1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 THE COURT: Would you make a statement regarding 2 victim notification in connection with this proceeding? 3 MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. As the Court is aware, 4 last week we reached out via a letter to the Court requesting 5 that today's proceeding be publicized. Your Honor so kindly 6 issued that order. And as of that date, which I believe was 7 Wednesday, December 16, we published on the U.S. Attorney's 8 Madoff website that today's proceeding would be conducted and 9 that Mr. Kugel, the defendant present in court today, would be pleading pursuant to the S4 superseding indictment to the 10 11 counts that we will go through today. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, before I accept your waiver of 13 indictment and your guilty plea, there are a number of 14 questions that I must ask you while you are under oath to 15 assure that it is a valid waiver and plea. At times I may 16 cover a point more than once and I may cover matters that were 17 also addressed in the advice of rights form that you have seen. But if I do so, that will be because it is very important that 18 you understand what is happening here today. 19 20 In that connection, if you don't understand something 21 that I ask you, please say so and I will reword the question or you may speak with your attorney. 22

that I ask you, please say so and I will reword the question
you may speak with your attorney.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Ng, would you please administer the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

5 1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 oath or affirmation. 2. (Defendant sworn) 3 THE COURT: Would you please state your full name for 4 the record. 5 THE DEFENDANT: David Louis Kugel. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, do you understand that you have 7 solemnly promised to tell the truth and that if you answer any 8 of my questions falsely, your false or untrue answers may later 9 be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or 10 making a false statement? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: You can be seated for the next portion of 13 the proceeding. 14 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: How old are you, sir? THE DEFENDANT: 66. 16 THE COURT: And how far did you go in school? 17 THE DEFENDANT: I have a graduate -- undergraduate 18 19 degree. THE COURT: In this particular field? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: BBA in accounting, business administration. 22 23 THE COURT: Since you finished your formal schooling, 24 what types of work have you done?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

THE DEFENDANT: Brokerage firms, security work.

25

6

1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 THE COURT: Are you able to read, speak, and understand English well? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 7 under the care of a doctor or psychiatrist? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Are you under care for any condition that affects your ability to think clearly? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I don't know, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: You don't know? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Are you taking any medication that affects 15 your ability to think clearly? THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Are you under treatment for any condition 17 or taking any medication that affects your judgment in terms of 18 making important decisions for yourself? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: In the past 24 hours have you taken any drugs, medicine or pills or have you drunk any alcohol? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: I have taken medicine, prescribed medicine. No alcohol. 24

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

THE COURT: And have you taken the prescription

25

7 1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 medicine on the schedule prescribed and in the amount 2. prescribed? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Are you represented by counsel here today? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And who are your lawyers? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Martin Adelman, Dan Zelenko, and 11 12 Michael Blumenthal. THE COURT: Messrs. Adelman, Zelenko and Blumenthal 13 and Mr. Moore, does any of you have any doubt as to Mr. Kugel's 14 15 competence to waive indictment and plead guilty at this time? 16 MR. MOORE: No, your Honor. And the government is aware of Mr. Kugel's prescribed medication that he takes, as 17 indicated on page 3 of the pretrial services report. 18 19 THE COURT: Defense counsel. 20 MR. ADELMAN: The same answer, your Honor. 21 MR. ZELEKNO: Same, your Honor. MR. BLUMENTHAL: Same also, your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, your attorneys have informed me 24 that you wish to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea to an

25

information.

8 1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 Do you wish to waive indictment and plead guilty? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with 4 your attorneys, including the charges to which you intend to 5 plead guilty and any possible defenses to those charges? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Have you and your attorneys also discussed 8 the consequences of entering a guilty plea? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorneys and 10 11 their representation of you? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Kugel's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that 14 15 he is fully competent to waive indictment and enter an informed plea at this time. 16 17 Before I accept your plea, sir, I am going to ask you some more questions. These questions are intended to satisfy 18 the Court that you wish to plead guilty because you are in fact 19 20 guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the 21 consequences of your plea. 22 Now, I will describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You 23 24 will be giving up these rights if you enter a guilty plea. 25 Please listen carefully. If you do not understand something

9 1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 that I'm saying or describing, stop me and I or your attorney 2. will explain it more fully. 3 Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, 4 you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on 5 the charges against you that are in the information. 6 Do you understand that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be 12 presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove 13 you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. 14 You would not have to prove that you were innocent at trial. 15 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 12 people selected from this district would have to agree 18 unanimously in order to find you guilty. 19 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: If there were a trial and at all stages 22 23 leading up to it, you would have the right to be represented by 24 an attorney. And if you could not afford one, an attorney

would be provided to you free of cost.

25

10 1BLMKUGP1 Plea

1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the
4	right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your
5	attorney could cross-examine them. You would have the right to
6	have your attorney object to the government's evidence and
7	offer evidence on your behalf, if you so desired. You would
8	also have the right to have witnesses required to come to court
9	to testify in your defense, and you would have the right to
10	testify yourself, but you would not be required to testify.
11	Do you understand all that?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a
14	trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference
15	could be drawn against you based on your decision not to
16	testify?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were
19	convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that
20	verdict?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of
23	these rights that I have questioned you about?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of

25

- 1 these rights?
- THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty
- 4 today you will be giving up each and every one of these rights,
- 5 that you will be waiving these rights?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be
- 8 waiving any possible claim that your constitutional rights may
- 9 have been violated and that you will have no trial?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: One moment.
- Ms. Baroni, do you need water?
- 13 MS. BARONI: That would be great, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Ms. Ng.
- MS. BARONI: Thank you, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, do you understand that by
- 17 entering a plea of guilty you will also have to give up your
- 18 right not to incriminate yourself because I will ask you
- 19 questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that
- you are guilty as charged and you will have to admit and
- 21 acknowledge your guilt?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your
- 24 mind right now and refuse to enter a guilty plea. You do not
- 25 have to enter this plea if you do not want to, for any reason.

12

1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 Do you understand this fully? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And do you still wish to plead guilty? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: The document that contains the charges to 6 which you've indicated you wish to plead guilty is called an 7 information. It has been issued by the United States Attorney. 8 You have a constitutional right to be charged by an indictment 9 rather than an information. An indictment would be a charge 10 issued from a grand jury. 11 Do you understand that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, would you please show 14 Mr. Kugel the waiver of indictment form. 15 MR. ADELMAN: I have done so, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 16 Mr. Kugel, have you signed this waiver of indictment 17 form? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney 22 23 before you signed it? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

13 1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 signed it? 2. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you do not waive 4 indictment, if the government wanted to prosecute you on these 5 particular charges that are in the information, the government 6 would have to present the charges to a grand jury, which might 7 or might not indict you on them? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to waive indictment? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that by waiving 12 13 indictment you are giving up your right to have the case 14 presented to a grand jury? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Did anyone promise you anything or 18 threaten you to get you to waive indictment? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the fourth superseding information? That's the charging instrument that 22 23 says S4 10 Cr. 228 at the top. 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you read it?

25

- 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 2 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?
- 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 4 THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you
- 5 that are detailed in the information?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: If you want me to, I'll read the
- 8 information out loud now here in court. Do you want me to read
- 9 it to you out loud?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: I find that Mr. Kugel's waiver of
- 12 indictment is knowing and voluntary. I accept it and I so
- 13 order it.
- 14 Mr. Kugel, do you understand that Count One of the
- 15 superseding information charges you with participating in a
- 16 conspiracy in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code,
- 17 Section 371 to commit securities fraud in violation of Title
- 18 15, Sections 78jb and 77ff, and 17, Code of Federal
- 19 Regulations, Section 250 10B-5. Also to falsify books and
- 20 records of a broker dealer in violation of Title 15, Sections
- 21 78qa and 78ff, and 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section
- 22 240.17A-3, and also to falsify books and records of an
- 23 investment advisor, in violation of Title 15, United States
- 24 Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17, and 17, Code of Federal
- 25 Regulations, Section 275.204-2?

1	$_{ m THE}$	DEFENDANT:	Yes,	vour	Honor.

- 2 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Two of the
- 3 superseding information charges you with conspiracy in
- 4 violation of Title 18, Section 371 to commit bank fraud in
- 5 violation of Title 18, Section 1344?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Three of the
- 8 superseding information charges you with securities fraud in
- 9 violation of Title 15 Sections 78jb and 77ff, as well as 17,
- 10 CFR, Section 240.10B-5, and Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 2?
- 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Four of the
- 13 superseding information charges you with falsifying books and
- 14 records of a broker dealer in violation of Title 15, Sections
- 15 78qa and 78ff, 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section
- 16 240.17A-3, and Title 18, Section 2?
- 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Five of the
- 19 superseding information charges you with falsifying books and
- 20 records of an investment advisor in violation of Title 15, U.S.
- 21 Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17, 17, CFR, Section 275.204-2 and
- 22 Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 2?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Six of the
- 25 superseding information charges you with committing bank fraud

1 in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1344 and

- 2 Title 18, United States Code, Section 2?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that the government
- 5 would have to prove each and every part or element of each of
- 6 these charges beyond a reasonable doubt at trial if you did not
- 7 plead guilty?
- 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, would you please explain the
- 10 matters that the government would have to prove if we were to
- 11 go to trial on the charges in the fourth superseding
- 12 information?
- MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 With regard to Counts One and Two, the conspiracy
- 15 counts, in order to prove the crime of conspiracy, the
- 16 government must establish each of the following elements beyond
- 17 a reasonable doubt: First, that the conspiracy charged in the
- 18 information existed, in other words, that there was in fact an
- 19 agreement or understanding to violate the laws of the United
- 20 States; second, that the defendant knowingly, willingly and
- 21 voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy charged; and,
- 22 third, your Honor, that any one of the conspirators, not
- 23 necessarily the defendant, knowingly committed at least one
- 24 overt act in the Southern District of New York in furtherance
- of the conspiracy during the life of the conspiracy.

1	With regard to Count Three your Honor the gogurities
1	With regard to Count Three, your Honor, the securities
2	fraud count, to establish a violation of Section 10B as charged
3	in Count Three of the information, the government must prove
4	each of the following elements:
5	First, that in connection with the purchase or sale of
6	securities, the defendant did any one or more of the following:
7	One, employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; or,
8	two, made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to
9	state a material fact which made what was said under the
10	circumstances misleading; or, three, engaged in an act,
11	practice, or course of business that operated or would operate
12	as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller.
13	Second, your Honor, that the defendant you are
14	considering acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to
15	defraud.
16	And, third, that the defendant used or caused to be
17	used any means or instruments of transportation or
18	communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in
19	furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.
20	With regard to Count Four, falsifying books and
21	records of a broker dealer: First, the government must prove
22	beyond a reasonable doubt that at the time of the alleged
23	offense, Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, which I'll
24	refer to during the rest of these proceedings as BLMIS, was a
25	registered broker dealer; second, that BLMIS failed to make and

1 keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules

- 2 and regulations; third, that the defendant aided and abetted
- 3 BLMIS' failure to make and keep accurate records; and, fourth,
- 4 that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.
- 5 With regard to Count Five, falsifying books and
- 6 records of an investment advisor, in order to prove this crime
- 7 beyond a reasonable doubt the government must: First, prove
- 8 that at the time of the alleged offense, BLMIS was in fact an
- 9 investment advisor; second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep
- 10 certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and
- 11 regulations; third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS'
- 12 failure to make and keep accurate records; fourth, that the
- 13 defendant acted knowingly and willfully; and, fifth, the
- offense involved the use of the mails and means of
- instrumentalities of interstate commerce.
- 16 Finally, with regard, your Honor, with regard to Count
- 17 Six, the bank fraud count, the government must prove beyond a
- 18 reasonable doubt that: First, that at the time of the alleged
- 19 offense, the defendant executed or attempted to execute a
- 20 scheme or artifice to defraud a bank or that the defendant
- 21 executed or attempted to execute a scheme or artifice to obtain
- 22 money owned by or under the control or custody of that bank by
- 23 means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or
- 24 promises; second, that the defendant engaged in the scheme or
- 25 artifice knowingly and willfully and with the specific intent

- 1 to defraud the bank; and, third, and finally, your Honor, that
- the bank involved was a federally-insured chartered
- 3 institution.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
- 5 Mr. Kugel, do you understand the matters that the
- 6 government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty?
- 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum
- 9 possible penalty for the crime charged in Count One of the
- 10 superseding information is five years of imprisonment, plus a
- fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from
- 12 the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from
- the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full
- 14 restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal
- 15 conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term
- 16 of imprisonment?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum
- 19 possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Two is five
- 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000,
- 21 twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to
- other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special
- 23 assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a
- 24 result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised
- 25 release after your term of imprisonment?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum
3	possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Three is 20
4	years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5
5	million, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice
6	the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a
7	\$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons
8	injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years
9	of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum
12	possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Four is 20
13	years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5
14	million, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice
15	the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a
16	\$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons
17	injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years
18	of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum
21	possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Five is five
22	years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000
23	twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to
24	other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special
25	assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a

1 result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised

- 2 release after your terms of imprisonment?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum
- 5 possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Six is 30 years
- of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$1 million,
- 7 twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to
- 8 other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special
- 9 assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a
- 10 result of your criminal conduct, plus five years of supervised
- 11 release after your term of imprisonment?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: And do you understand that the maximum
- 14 possible combined penalty for the six crimes to which you
- 15 propose to plead guilty is 85 years of imprisonment, plus a
- 16 fine of \$11,750,000 or, if greater, the sums of the relevant
- 17 gains, losses, and statutory amounts relating to your offenses,
- 18 plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal
- 19 conduct, plus a \$600 mandatory special assessment, plus
- 20 supervised release for five years after your term of
- 21 imprisonment?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: I will now give you some information and
- 24 verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of
- 25 the potential penalty. Supervised release means that you will

- 1 be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison.
- 2 Terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of
- 3 the set terms and conditions, you can be reimprisoned without a
- 4 jury trial. If you are on supervised release and you do not
- 5 comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be
- 6 returned to prison for the remainder of the term of supervised
- 7 release. You will be given no credit for the time that you
- 8 served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for
- 9 any time spent on postrelease supervision. For example, if you
- 10 received a prison term and then a three-year term of supervised
- 11 release and after you left prison you lived up to the terms of
- 12 supervised release for two years, but then you violated some
- 13 term of the supervised release, you could be returned to prison
- 14 for three whole years.
- Do you understand that?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept
- 18 your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may
- 19 deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as the right to
- 20 vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a
- 21 jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm if you
- 22 currently have or otherwise contain such rights?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you understand that there are
- 25 sentencing guidelines that the Court must consider in

- 1 determining your sentence?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Has your attorney discussed the sentencing
- 4 guidelines with you?
- 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining your
- 7 sentence the Court has an obligation to calculate the
- 8 applicable sentencing guideline range and must consider that
- 9 range, possible departures under the sentencing guidelines, and
- 10 other sentencing factors under the statute we referred to as
- 11 Section 3553(a)?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or
- 14 anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your
- 15 sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if
- 18 your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone
- 19 else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you
- 20 expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea and you
- 21 will not be allowed to withdraw your guilty plea?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be
- 24 imposed will be determined solely by the Court and that I can
- 25 only determine the sentence to be imposed after the probation

- department prepares a presentence report?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has
- 4 discretion while taking into account the specific provisions
- 5 and policy statements in the guidelines to sentence you to any
- 6 number of years of imprisonment between zero and the combined
- 7 statutory maximums of 85 years?
- 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal
- 10 sentence or are you being prosecuted for any other crime?
- 11 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that the superseding
- 13 information also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the
- 14 government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the
- 15 United States any and all property constituting or derived from
- 16 any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the crimes
- 17 charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, and Six, as well as
- 18 any and all property traceable to such property, including but
- 19 not limited to a sum of money representing the amount of
- 20 proceeds obtained as a result of said offenses, totaling
- 21 approximately \$3,585,000 as to each of Counts Two and Six, and
- \$170 billion as to Counts one, Three, and Four?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, would you please show
- 25 Mr. Kugel the agreement which has been marked as Government

- 1 Exhibit 1.
- MR. ADELMAN: I have done so, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 4 Mr. Kugel, have you signed this agreement?
- 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
- 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney
- 9 before you signed it?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Did you fully understand the agreement
- 12 before you signed it?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Does the agreement reflect accurately your
- 15 complete and total understanding of the entire agreement
- between the government, your attorney, and you?
- 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about
- 19 your plea, cooperation, and sentence covered in this agreement?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Has anything been left out?
- THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you other
- than what's written in that agreement or threatened you or
- 25 forced you to plead quilty or to enter into the cooperation

1BLMKUGP1 Plea agreement? THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the government does not oppose or take a position on what your attorney will ask as a sentence, I am free to impose whatever sentence I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and the applicable law, and you will have no right to withdraw your plea? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. (Continued on next page) 

27 1bldkug2 Plea

1 THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement 2 provides that you must cooperate fully with the office of the 3 United States Attorney, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, 4 and any other law enforcement agency designated by the United 5 States Attorney? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement does 8 not bind any federal, state, or local prosecuting authority 9 other than the United States Attorney? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that if the United States Attorney determines that you 12 13 have provided substantial assistance in the investigation or 14 prosecution and if you have fully complied with the 15 understandings specified in the agreement, the United States Attorney will file a motion, pursuant to Section 5K1.1 of the 16 17 Sentencing Guidelines, requesting that the Court sentence in light of the factors set forth in Section 5K5.1(a)? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Do you understand that the factors that 21 the Court may consider in this connection include the significance and usefulness of your assistance, taking into 22 23 account the government's evaluation of your assistance, the

truthfulness, completeness and reliability of any information

or testimony you provided, the nature and extent of your

24

25

28 1bldkug2 Plea 1 assistance, any injuries suffered or any danger or risk of 2 injury to you or to your family as a result of your assistance, 3 and the timeliness of your assistance? Do you understand that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the United 6 States Attorney files such a motion, the sentence to be imposed 7 on you remains within the sole discretion of the Court? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your quilty plea even if the Court denies 10 11 the government's motion? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that if the United 14 States Attorney determines that you have not provided 15 substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution, or 16 that you have violated any provision of the agreement, the 17 United States Attorney is not obliged to file a motion under Section 5K1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be 21 entitled to withdraw your guilty plea even if the United States Attorney does not file the motion? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement

provides that if you commit any further crimes, or if it is

25

1bldkug2 Plea

- 1 determined that you gave false, incomplete, or misleading
- 2 testimony or information, or otherwise violated any provision
- 3 of the agreement, you will be subject to prosecution for any
- 4 federal violations of which the United States Attorney has
- 5 knowledge, including perjury and obstruction of justice?
- 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement
- 8 provides that if you commit any further crimes, or if it is
- 9 determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading
- 10 testimony or information, or otherwise violated any provision
- 11 of the cooperation agreement, all statements made by you to the
- 12 United States Attorney or other designated law enforcement
- agents and any testimony given by you before a grand jury or
- 14 other tribunal may be admissible in evidence in any criminal
- 15 proceedings against you?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement also
- 18 provides that you may not assert a claim that such statements
- 19 should be suppressed from evidence and that you have waived
- 20 your right to claim that such statements should be suppressed?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Do you understand that page 3 of the plea
- 23 agreement includes your agreement to forfeit to the United
- 24 States any and all property constituting and derived from any
- 25 proceeds that you obtained as a result of the unlawful

30 1bldkug2 Plea 1 activities charged in Counts One, Three and Four of the 2 Superseding Information, including, but not limited to, a sum 3 equal to \$170 billion, and also to forfeit any and all property 4 constituting and derived from any proceeds that you obtained as 5 a result of the unlawful activities charged in Counts Two and 6 Six of the Information, including, but not limited to, a sum of 7 money equal to \$3,585,000 as to each count, as well as your 8 right, title and interest in the specific property identified 9 in the agreement? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are 12 specifically agreeing that the amount to be forfeited includes, 13 but is not limited to, a sum of money equal to \$170,007,175,000 14 in United States currency as well as the specific property and 15 that a judgment will be entered against you for at least that 16 amount? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are not 18 obligated to admit the forfeiture allegation? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are not obliged to agree to the forfeiture amount with the government? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: And do you understand that it is up to the

Court to make a final determination as to forfeiture?

25

31 1bldkug2 Plea 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand that any amount that you 3 do forfeit will not be credited toward any fines, restitution, 4 cost of imprisonment, or other additional penalty that the 5 court may impose on you? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty pursuant 8 to this agreement? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, do you know of any valid 10 11 reason why Mr. Kugel would prevail at trial? MR. ADELMAN: I do not, your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why he should not be permitted to plead guilty? 14 15 MR. ADELMAN: I do not. THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, would you please stand now and 16 tell me what you did that makes you guilty of the six crimes 17 charged in the Fourth Superseding Information? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 20 Your Honor, I stand before you ready to plead guilty 21 to the six counts in the Information filed against me, and to accept responsibility for what I have done. I am deeply sorry 22 23 for my actions and the harm suffered by the victims. I want 24 the Court and everyone to know that I will do all I can to

cooperate with the government.

25

32 1bldkug2 Plea

1	As to Counts One, Three, Four and Five, I provided
2	historical trade information to other BLMIS employees, which
3	was used to create false, profitable trades in the Investment
4	Advisory clients' accounts at BLMIS. Specifically, beginning
5	the early '70s, until the collapse of BLMIS in December 2008, I
6	helped create fake, backdated trades. I provided historical
7	trade information sorryfirst to Annette Bongiorno, and
8	later to Joanne Crupi, and others which enabled them to create
9	fake trades that, when included on the account statements and
10	trade confirmations of Investment Advisory clients, gave the
11	appearance of profitable trading when in fact no trading had
12	actually occurred. I helped Bongiorno, Crupi and others create
13	these fake, backdated trades based on historical stock prices
14	and were executed only on paper.
15	Many of these false trades were based on trades that
16	previously had been used in the Proprietary Trading operations
17	at BLMIS. I was aware that the trades would be reported to
18	BLMIS customers on their monthly statements and trade
19	confirmations, and that the information was false.
20	I did this at the Madoff offices in Manhattan and
21	known that many of the account statements and trade
22	confirmations were mailed to clients from the offices in
23	Manhattan.
24	I therefore plead guilty to the crimes alleged against
25	me in Count One, Three, Four and Five. I did conspire with

33

1bldkug2 Plea 1 other BLMIS employees to commit these crimes and, in fact, they were committed, in violation of the law. 2. 3 Finally, as to Count Two and Six, I acknowledge that 4 from at least from 2002 through 2007, on several occasions, I 5 caused false financial information to be submitted to various 6 financial institutions on my behalf and on behalf of other 7 potential borrowers. The false financial information was 8 submitted in connection for applications for mortgage loans. 9 I asked Joann Crupi to prepare documents that did not accurately reflect my assets and the assets of others at BLMIS 10 11 and she did so. Those documents overstated the total value of 12 my own and the other potential borrowers' holdings in accounts 13 at BLMIS. These fake documents were submitted to financial 14 institutions on my behalf and on behalf of other potential 15 borrowers. The false documents were prepared at BLMIS offices in 16 Manhattan and submitted to federally-insured lenders by U.S. 17 18 mail or wire transmissions. THE COURT: Thank you. I have a couple of questions 19 20 for you. 21 You used the term "conspired" in relation to Counts One, Three, Four and Five. What do you mean by that? 22

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

with them to create the false trades that appeared on the

Investment Advisory clients' statements and confirmations,

THE DEFENDANT: When I conspired, I worked together

23

24

25

34 1bldkug2 Plea 1 pursuant to agreement and understanding. 2. THE COURT: You had an agreement and understanding 3 with the other individuals you mentioned in order to create the 4 false trades? 5 THE DEFENDANT: That applied to historical 6 information, yes. 7 THE COURT: And you said that the customer information 8 regarding the false trades was mailed in the regular Postal 9 system, is that correct? 10 THE DEFENDANT: To the best of my knowledge, yes. THE COURT: And the financial institutions to which 11 the false mortgage information, application information, was 12 13 given were banks; is that your understanding? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Is it your understanding that they were 16 federally-insured banks? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And when you were dealing with the false 18 trading history information and the false financial 19 20 information, did you know that that information was false? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And did you know that what you were doing 23 was wrong and unlawful? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Does the government have any further

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

35 1bldkug2 Plea

1 requests for factual matters to be addressed in Mr. Kugel's

- plea allocution?
- 3 MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman -- actually, before we do
- 5 that, Mr. Moore, would you please summarize the government's
- 6 evidence against Mr. Kugel?
- 7 MR. MOORE: Certainly, your Honor.
- 8 Had this case proceeded to trial --
- 9 THE COURT: You will have to get up again in a minute
- 10 but it is your choice.
- MR. MOORE: My apologies, your Honor.
- 12 Had this case proceeded to trial, the government would
- 13 have proven through testimony and evidence beyond a reasonable
- doubt the facts set forth in the Superseding Information.
- 15 Specifically, the government would have proven, with
- 16 respect to Counts One, Three, Four and Five of the Information,
- 17 that Mr. Kugel was employed at the Bernard L. Madoff Investment
- 18 Securities LLC, or "BLMIS," from in or about 1970 through at
- 19 least on or about December 11, 2008. BLMIS was both an
- investment advisor and a market maker, your Honor.
- 21 Beginning in or about the 1970s, Kugel was a trader in
- 22 BLMIS's Proprietary Trading and Market Making operations. In
- or about the late 1990s, your Honor, Mr. Kugel assumed a
- 24 managerial position on the trading floor and later took on the
- 25 role of Trading Floor Compliance Analyst. Beginning in or

36 1bldkug2 Plea 1 about the early 1970s until the collapse of BLMIS in 2008, 2 Mr. Kugel helped create fake, backdated trades for Mr. Madoff's 3 Investment Advisory, or the "IA," business with his 4 codefendants, Annette Bongiorno, Joann Crupi, as well as other 5 individuals, your Honor. These fake, backdated trades -- many 6 of which mimicked actual trades executed in connection with the 7 Proprietary Trading operation -- were used to deceive the 8 clients of the IA business and to give the appearance that 9 actual trading occurred when, as Mr. Kugel and others well knew, no trading occurred at all in the IA account. 10 11 Beginning in or about the early 1970s, Bongiorno 12 requested from Kugel backdated arbitrage trades to be used in 13 Investment Advisory clients' accounts. On a regular basis, 14 when the IA business had received money that was to be 15 invested, Bongiorno told Kugel the amount of funds that she had 16 available to purportedly invest on behalf of IA clients, which 17 was typically in the millions of dollars. In response, Mr. Kugel provided Bongiorno historical information from which 18 she created the fake trades. Specifically, Mr. Kugel, using 19 20 historical stock prices from the Wall Street Journal and other 21 sources, he provided Bongiorno with the name of the stocks, the buy and sell dates of potential trades, as well as the 22 23 historical price ranges of those stocks of the respective dates

that she could use to make a profit. Often, this information

mimicked trades previously executed in connection with limited

24

25

37 1bldkug2 Plea

1 Proprietary Trading operation.

25

2 Mr. Kugel also gave Ms. Bongiorno the total volume of 3 shares traded in particular stocks on certain dates so she 4 would not exceed a particular stock's daily trading volume when 5 creating the fake trades. Using the information provide to her 6 by Kugel, Bongiorno then selected the particular stocks and 7 historical purchase prices from the price ranges given to her 8 by Mr. Kugel. Bongiorno selected different stocks and purchase 9 prices to be used for each IA client in order to meet the rate of return predetermined by Madoff for that client. In doing 10 11 so, Ms. Bongiorno calculated the number of shares that would 12 have to be used in each IA client's account, based on both the 13 amount of money the client had available to invest and the 14 predetermined rate of return for each client as determined by 15 Madoff. 16 Beginning in or about the early 1990s, Mr. Kugel similarly provided Ms. Crupi with historical price information 17 to enable Crupi to create false, backdated arbitrage trades for 18 IA clients. Using the information provide by Kugel, Crupi then 19 20 selected the particular stock and historical purchase prices to 21 be used for each IA client in order to meet the rate of return predetermined by Madoff for that client. In doing so, Crupi 22 calculated the number of shares that would have to be used in 23 24 each IA client's account based on both the amount of money the

client had to invest and the rate of return predetermined by

38 1bldkug2 Plea 1 Madoff. In or about the mid-1990s, your Honor, Crupi took over 2. 3 the role of Kugel in selecting the historical information 4 needed for the fake arbitrage deals. In doing so, Crupi 5 selected the name of the stocks, the buy and sell date of a 6 potential trade, as well as the historical price range for that 7 date that she could use to make a profit. Crupi then created 8 fake, backdated arbitrage trades that were executed on paper 9 only in the IA clients' accounts. 10 With respect to Counts Two and Six, your Honor, the 11 government would have proven that, separate and apart from the 12 fake trades that Kugel, Bongiorno, Crupi, and others created at 13 BLMIS, Kugel, with the assistance of Ms. Crupi and others, also 14 defrauded numerous financial institutions in order to obtain 15 loans using artificially-inflated financial information. On 16 multiple occasions, Mr. Kugel and Crupi submitted false and misleading information concerning Kugel's assets and the assets 17 of others to financial institutions in order to obtain loans 18 for the purchase and construction of homes for Kugel and 19 20 others. With the assistance of Crupi and others, Kugel and 21 others obtained multiple million-dollar loans based on the submission of this fraudulent information. 22 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 Mr. Kugel, how do you now plead to the charge against

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

you in Count One of the Fourth Superseding Information, not

25

39 1bldkug2 Plea 1 quilty or quilty? 2. THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 4 you in Count Two, not guilty or guilty? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 7 you in Count Three, not guilty or guilty? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against you in Count Four, not guilty or guilty? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 13 you in Count Five, not guilty or guilty? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: And how do you now plead to the charge against you in Count Six, not guilty or guilty? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty to each of these 18 charges because you are in fact guilty of each of these 19 20 charges? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of 22 23 your own free will? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, would you please show

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bldkug2 Plea

- 1 Mr. Kugel Court Exhibit 1, the advice of rights form.
- MR. ADELMAN: I have done so, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 4 Mr. Kugel, have you signed this form?
- 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
- 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed
- 9 it?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney
- 12 before you signed it?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, did you also review and sign
- 15 Court Exhibit 1?
- MR. ADELMAN: Yes, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, are there any other questions
- 18 that you believe I should ask Mr. Kugel in connection with his
- 19 plea?
- MR. ADELMAN: No, ma'am.
- 21 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, are there any other questions
- 22 that you believe I should ask Mr. Kugel in connection with his
- 23 plea?
- MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, you have acknowledged that you

41 1bldkug2 Plea 1 are quilty as charged in the Information. I find that you know 2 your rights and that you are waiving them voluntarily. 3 Because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily 4 and is supported by an independent basis in fact, containing 5 each of the essential elements of each of the offenses, I 6 accept your guilty plea and I adjudge you guilty of the 7 offenses charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five and Six 8 of the Fourth Superseding Information, to which you have pled 9 guilty. 10 You can be seated now. Thank you. 11 Mr. Adelman, do you wish to be present for any 12 interview of Mr. Kugel in connection with the preparation of 13 the presentence report? 14 MR. ADELMAN: Yes, ma'am. 15 THE COURT: I will make that direction. 16 MR. ADELMAN: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Do the parties have a particular request with respect to the setting of a sentencing date? 18 19 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, the government requests a 20 sentencing control date for six months from now and that a 21 presentence report not be ordered at this time. 22 THE COURT: Ms. Ng, may I have a date, please? THE CLERK: Friday, May 4th, 2012, at 11 a.m. 23 24 THE COURT: May 4th at 11 a.m.? 25 THE CLERK: Yes.

1bldkug2 Plea

- 1 THE COURT: The sentencing control date is set for
- 2 May 4th at 11 a.m.
- 3 Will the government inform the Court and the defense
- 4 at such time that it believes that it is appropriate to prepare
- 5 a presentence report?
- 6 MR. MOORE: We will, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 Counsel, when it does come time for sentencing, please
- 9 be certain to give any comments or objections promptly to the
- 10 Probation Office, and to make your sentencing submissions in a
- 11 manner consistent with my sentencing submission procedures,
- 12 which are posted on the court's website and they are also
- available here in hard copy.
- 14 Mr. Kugel, at some point the Probation Office will be
- 15 preparing a presentence report to assist me in sentencing you.
- 16 You will be interviewed by the Probation Office. It is
- 17 important that the information that you give to the probation
- 18 officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in
- 19 what my decision is as to what your sentence will be.
- 20 You and your attorney have the right and will have an
- 21 opportunity to examine the report, to challenge or comment on
- 22 it, and to speak on your behalf before sentencing. Failing to
- 23 be truthful with the Probation Office and the Court may have an
- 24 adverse effect on your sentence and may subject you to
- 25 prosecution.

43 1bldkug2 Plea 1 Do you understand that? 2. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Now, I understand that there is a --4 actually, we need to deal with bail conditions, and we also 5 need to deal with the documents that I have been given relating 6 to forfeiture. 7 Is it the parties' request that I sign today the 8 Preliminary Order of Forfeiture and also the Stipulation and 9 Order relating to forfeiture issues? 10 MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Any objection from the defense? 11 12 MR. BLUMENTHAL: No, your Honor. THE COURT: And am I to sign the full and redacted 13 14 copy? 15 MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, your Honor. Of course, we ask that only the redacted versions be docketed. 16 17 THE COURT: Yes. And that the full version be filed under seal? 18 19 MR. SCHWARTZ: Please, yes. The redactions are in accordance with the court's local rules. 20 21 THE COURT: So relating to the privacy rules. MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct. It is financial accountant 22 23 information and the names of minors. THE COURT: Thank you. 24 25 (Pause)

44 1bldkug2 Plea 1 Ms. Ng will take care of the entry of those orders. 2 I have reviewed the Pretrial Services' report. Do the 3 parties have a joint application and recommendation with 4 respect to bail pending sentencing? 5 MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. 6 In light of Mr. Kugel's cooperation, his full 7 disclosure of his financial assets and his dealings that limits 8 to the government, we are satisfied that he will follow the rules set forth by Pretrial Services in accordance with the 9 proposed bail package we are prepared to present to the Court 10 11 at this time. Namely, we respectfully submit to you, your Honor, 12 13 that the defendant's ball be set at a \$3 million personal recognizance bond to be secured by six financially-responsible 14 15 persons and \$900,000 in cash or property. This property will, 16 of course, have to be clean assets, wholly unaffiliated with 17 BLMIS. We further request that the defendant be subject to 18 strict pretrial supervision, and that his travel be restricted 19 20 to the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of 21 New York and the District of New Jersey. We further request that he surrender all of his travel 22 23 documents and make no new travel applications. We ask that 24 this restriction also apply to his wife. In light of the 25 holiday this week, your Honor, we request that the defendant

45 1bldkug2 Plea 1 have until Thursday, December 1st, to meet these conditions. 2. To date, the government has already met with a number 3 of the defendant's proposed suriters and has been satisfied 4 with their financial and personal qualifications. These 5 persons include close family members and friends of the 6 defendant who have known him for decades and present strong 7 moral suasion over the defendant to follow the conditions of 8 his release. 9 The defendant has been working with our office and the FBI for quite some time now, your Honor. During this time he 10 11 has been both been reliable, timely in making his appointments, and flexible with his schedule when the government requested 12 13 meetings with him. 14 The defendant is a long-time resident on Long Island, 15 and his family resides in that district, in the Southern District of New York and the District of New Jersey. Moreover, 16 your Honor, the defendant currently helps assist his elderly 17 mother with her care, and that includes bringing her to visit 18 his brother, who resides in the District of New Jersey. 19 20 Currently, this is his primary job responsibility. 21 As part of the cooperation process, your Honor, the defendant has filled out financial affidavits and worked with 22 our Asset Forfeiture Unit at the U.S. Attorney's Office, 23

has worked closely with Mr. Schwartz in that regard, and has

detailing the amounts and whereabouts of all of his assets. He

24

25

46 1bldkug2 Plea 1 fully accepted the fact that he must forfeit the vast majority 2. of his assets. 3 As your Honor is aware, and I believe as the Court has 4 issued today or will be issuing shortly, our Asset Forfeiture 5 unit has presented forfeiture papers for your review in which 6 the defendant has agreed to a forfeiture amount of over \$170 7 billion. And as part of that forfeiture, your Honor, the 8 defendant has agreed to give up his home, luxury vehicle, 9 monies maintained in several financial institutions, and other specific assets detailed in the papers before your Honor. 10 11 The government does not believe the defendant is a 12 danger to the community. 13 For all of these reasons, your Honor, the government believes that the proposed bail package is reasonable and 14 15 appropriate in this case for this individual defendant. 16 THE COURT: And the government believes, I take it, 17 based on its thorough review of the financial situation and its dealings with Mr. Kugel over a lengthy period of time, that the 18 evidence is clear and convincing that the package that has been 19 20 proposed, including the delayed satisfaction of all of the 21 particulars of the package, is sufficient to address the societal interests in proper appearance for proceedings in this 22 23 case and protection of the public? 24 MR. MOORE: We do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

25

47 1bldkug2 Plea 1 Did Pretrial Services wish to be heard further on 2 this? 3 MR. STEIMEL: No, your Honor. We stand by our report. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 And the defense has no objection to the set of 6 conditions? 7 MR. ADELMAN: No, ma'am. I was just going to endorse 8 them by pointing out that Mr. Kugel, aside from these financial 9 considerations, is closely tied to his family, all of whom are 10 here in New York. His brother and sister are going to be suriters. The brother is a dentist in New Jersey. 11 12 He is in contact with his aged mother on a frequent 13 basis. His goal is to help her when she needs help. His 14 daughter and son are here. His grandchildren are here. He has 15 no connections anywhere else in the world. I have the passports of Mr. and Mrs. Kugel with me to surrender to the 16 17 court. And I endorse the proposal that has been made. Your Honor doesn't have to hear this now, and I 18 apologize. At some point in time I will be requesting travel 19 20 permission for Mr. Kugel to go to Florida. He has a piece of 21 real estate, a house there, that he is obliged to sell to raise funds for the forfeiture. He is going to be the one selling 22 it, not the government, and he has his personal property there. 23 24 So I will be in communication with your Honor to ask for such a

trip, and I expect you will remember what I just told you today

25

48 1bldkug2 Plea 1 in ruling on the application. 2. THE COURT: I have listened carefully to what you just 3 told me. I would simply ask that you speak -- before you make 4 the communication to my chambers, that you verify that there is 5 no objection to it from the government, from the Probation 6 Department, and that you write a letter reciting those 7 communications and the positions of those two aspects of the 8 institution. 9 MR. ADELMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right, then. 10 I am going to prepare a bail disposition sheet. I'm 11 12 sorry. 13 MR. STEIMEL: Your Honor, I'm sorry --14 THE COURT: It is Pretrial Services, not Probation? 15 MR. STEIMEL: Yes, your Honor. Just one clarification. I know that defense counsel 16 indicated that the wife's passport was also going to be ordered 17 seized or surrendered to Pretrial Services. I was not clear 18 actually -- I'm not sure if I heard you say whether the wife's 19 passport was also supposed to be surrendered. Did you order 20 21 that? 22 THE COURT: I am going to. It was in the list of

conditions that -- I'm sorry, am I interrupting you? 23

24 MR. MOORE: No. I'm just saying you hadn't issued

25 your decision yet.

49 1bldkug2 Plea 1 THE COURT: It was part of the package as described by 2 Mr. Moore. 3 And so what I was about to say was that I find, based 4 on the review of the Pretrial Services' report and all of the 5 representations that have been made to the Court, that the 6 package as proposed is appropriate and sufficient to address 7 the statutory concerns of appearance and protection of the 8 community. Accordingly, I will approve release pending 9 sentencing on the basis of these conditions. 10 Now I am going to prepare a bail disposition sheet on 11 which I will summarize the conditions. I will read out to make 12 sure that everyone agrees that I have reflected the appropriate 13 conditions. So if you will bear with me, I will do that. 14 MR. ADELMAN: Your Honor, may I have a moment to 15 consult with my brother and sister here? THE COURT: Yes, you may. 16 MR. ADELMAN: Thank you. 17 18 (Pause) 19 THE COURT: This is what I have written. 20 \$3 million PRB with six FRPs; 900,000 security in cash 21 and property (not derived from BLMIS or any activity that is the subject of the charges); strict supervision; travel 22 23 restricted to S.D.N.Y., EDNY, DNJ; surrender passports of

defendant and spouse, and no new applications. All conditions

must be met by December 1, 2011.

24

25

50 1bldkug2 Plea 1 Does that cover it? 2. MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. 3 MR. ADELMAN: Yes, your Honor. The only -- I don't 4 anticipate him not being compliant by December 1, but if there 5 is one piece that is missing or it will come in the next day, I 6 will come back to your Honor and advise. 7 THE COURT: Yes. If you need to, you can make an application for an extension of the deadline, but this is the 8 9 deadline that I am putting in today. 10 MR. ADELMAN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let me print the copies. 11 12 (Pause) THE COURT: Mr. Kugel. 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Did you hear the conditions that I had set for your bail? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that you must comply 18 strictly with all of those conditions, and that the violation 19 20 of any of those conditions may result in the issuance of a 21 warrant for your arrest, the revocation of your release, or 22 other sanctions? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: The Pretrial Services Department will be 24 25 going over the details of all of the conditions with you.

1bldkug2 Plea Counsel, is there anything further that we need to address together this afternoon? MR. MOORE: Nothing from the government, your Honor. MR. ADELMAN: Nor from the defense. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We are all adjourned. MR. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor. MS. BARONI: Thank you, your Honor. THE CLERK: All rise. 

## **Exhibit 8**

```
1
     1cjdpitp
1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                          New York, N.Y.
 3
 4
                v.
                                            S5 Cr. 0228 (LTS)
 4
 5
     ENRICA COTELLESSA-PITZ,
 5
 6
                   Defendant.
 6
 7
      ----x
 7
 8
 8
                                            December 19, 2011
 9
                                            2:55 p.m.
9
10
     Before:
10
11
11
                       HON. LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN,
12
12
                                            District Judge
13
13
14
                              APPEARANCES
14
15 PREET BHARARA
15
         United States Attorney for the
16
          Southern District of New York
16 BY: LISA A. BARONI
          JULIAN J. MOORE
17
17
          MATHEW SCHWARTZ
18
              Assistant United States Attorneys
18
19 TIMOTHY J. TREANOR
19 DAVID M. RODY
20
          Attorneys for Defendant
21
             - also present -
22
   SA Jared Thompson, FBI
22
     SA Paul Takla, FBI
23
     P.O. Jeffrey Steimel
24
25
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

2 1cjdpitp PLEA 1 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Would everyone other than 2 the lawyers please be seated. 3 THE CLERK: This case is United States of America 4 versus Enrica Cotellessa-Pitz. 5 MS. BARONI: Good afternoon, your Honor. Lisa Baroni 6 for the government. With me is Julian Moore and Matthew 7 Schwartz from the U.S. Attorney's office and Special Agents 8 Jared Thompson and Paul Takla from the FBI. 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Baroni, Mr. Moore, Mr. 10 Schwartz, Agents Thompson and Takla. 11 MR. MOORE: Good afternoon, your Honor. 12 MR. TREANOR: Tim Treanor and David Rody for Enrica 13 Cotellessa-Pitz. Good afternoon, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Treanor, Mr. Rody, and 15 good afternoon, Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz. 16 This is Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz's first appearance, is 17 that correct? 18 MR. TREANOR: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 MR. TREANOR: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: So let's address the advice of rights 22 first. 23 And good afternoon everyone. Thank you all for coming 24 to court.

Would you please stand, Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz.
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

25

1cjdpitp

PLEA

Please state your full name.
THE DEFENDANT: Enrica Cotellessa-Pitz.
THE COURT: And how old are you, ma'am?
THE DEFENDANT: 53.
THE COURT: I will now explain certain rights that you have under the Constitution of the United States.
You have the right to remain silent. You need not make any statement. Even if you have already made statement to

make any statement. Even if you have already made statement to the authorities, you need not make any additional statements. Any statements that you do make can be used against you.

Do you understand these rights? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You have the right to be released either conditionally or unconditionally pending trial unless I find that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your presence at future court hearings and the safety of the community. If the government were to ask me to detain you pending trial, you are entitled to a prompt hearing on whether such conditions exist.

Do you understand this right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an attorney today and at all future proceedings in this case, and if you are unable to afford an attorney, I will appoint an attorney to represent you.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

4 1cjdpitp PLEA Do you understand these rights? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Do you wish to have and are you able to 4 obtain and afford counsel on your own? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Have you retained Messrs. Treanor and Rody 7 to represent you? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: The document that contains the charges 12 against you is called an information. It has been issued by 13 the United States Attorney. You have a constitutional right to be charged by an indictment rather than by an information. An 14 15 indictment would be a charge issued from a grand jury. 16 Do you understand that? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Treanor, do you have the Waiver of 19 Indictment form there, and would you show it to 20 Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz? 21 MR. TREANOR: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 2.2 23 Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, have you signed this waiver of 24 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

5 1cjdpitp THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed 4 it? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: And did you discuss it with your attorneys 7 before you signed it? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you did not 10 waive indictment, if the government wanted to prosecute you on 11 the charges that are in the Information, it would have to 12 present those charges to a grand jury, which might or might not 13 indict you on them? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no 16 obligation to waive indictment? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Do you understand that by waiving 19 indictment you are giving up your right to have these charges 20 presented to a grand jury? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 2.2 THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Did anyone give you anything or make any 25 threat or promises to get you to waive indictment? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

6 1cjdpitp PLEA 1 THE DEFENDANT: No. 2 THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the Fifth 3 Superseding Information that has number S5 10 Criminal 228 at 4 the top? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Have you read it? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorneys? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you 11 that are detailed in the Information? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: If you want me to, I can read the 14 Information out loud for you here in court. 15 Do you want me to read it to you? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Are you taking any medication or suffering 18 from any condition that affects your ability to think clearly? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 22 THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Do you feel comfortable making important 25 decisions for yourself today? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp PLEA 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any 2 3 drugs, medicine or pills or drunk any alcohol? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Just my prescription medications. 5 THE COURT: And did you take those medications on 6 schedule? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Does any of those medications affect your 9 ability to think clearly? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No. 11 THE COURT: I find that Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz's Waiver 12 of Indictment is knowing and voluntary, and I accept it and I 13 so order it. 14 How do you intend to plead to the charges against you 15 that are in that Information, not guilty or guilty? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 17 THE COURT: Now, Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, you can have a 18 seat for a moment. I have a couple of questions for counsel. 19 Mr. Treanor, this plea is pursuant to the agreement 20 that has been marked as Government Exhibit 1, is that correct? MR. TREANOR: That is correct, your Honor. 21 2.2 THE COURT: And it is a letter addressed to you and 23 Mr. Rody dated today -- the printed date, I'm sorry, not today, 24 December 15th, last Thursday, at the top, is that correct? 25 MR. TREANOR: That is correct. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

2.2

2.3

## PLEA

THE COURT: And the advice of rights form has also been executed and marked as Court Exhibit 1, is that correct?

MR. TREANOR: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Baroni or Mr. Moore, wou

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Baroni or Mr. Moore, would you make a statement regarding victim notification in connection with this proceeding?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.

Last Thursday, on December 15th, we sent a letter to your Honor outlining the proposed charges against Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, and advising your Honor that she would plead guilty pursuant to a cooperation agreement with the government. On that date we posted that letter on the government's website on a page dedicated to Madoff-related cases for victim notification.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, before I accept your guilty plea, there are a number of questions that I must ask you while you are under oath to assure that it is a valid plea. At times I may cover a point more than once, and I may cover matters that were also addressed in the advice of rights form that you have seen, but if I do that will be because it is very important that you understand what is happening here today.

 $\,$  If you don't understand something that I ask you, please say so and I will reword the question or you may speak with your attorneys.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

9 1cjdpitp PLEA Do you understand that? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Please stand now to take the oath. Ms. Ng, would you administer the oath, please. 5 THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 6 (The defendant was sworn) 7 THE COURT: Would you please state your full name for 8 the record. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Enrica Cotellessa-Pitz. 10 THE COURT: Do you understand that you have solemnly 11 promised to tell the truth, and that if you answer any of my 12 questions falsely, your false or untrue answers may later be 13 used against you in another prosecution for perjury or making a 14 false statement? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: You can be seated for the next portion of 17 the proceeding. 18 Was the information that you gave me about your age 19 and your mental condition a little while ago completely true? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: An undergraduate degree from college. 23 THE COURT: In what field. 24 THE DEFENDANT: Economics. 25 THE COURT: And what types of work have you done since SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

10 1cjdpitp PLEA 1 finishing your final education? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I worked in the financial industry. 3 THE COURT: Are you able to speak, read and understand the English language well? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Counsel, does any of you have any doubt as 7 to Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz's competence to enter a guilty plea at 8 this time? 9 MR. TREANOR: No, your Honor. 10 MS. BARONI: No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, your attorneys have 12 informed me that you -- and you actually have informed me 13 yourself that you wish to enter a guilty plea to the 14 Information. Do you wish to enter a plea of guilty? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with 17 your attorneys, including the charges to which you intend to plead guilty and any defenses that you may have to those 18 19 charges? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Have you and your attorneys also discussed 2.2 the consequences of entering a guilty plea? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorneys and 25 their representation of you? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

PLEA

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: On the b

THE COURT: On the basis of Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz's responses to my questions and my observations of her demeanor, I find that she is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time.

Before I accept your plea, ma'am, I am going to ask you some more questions. Thee questions are intended to satisfy the Court that you wish to plead guilty because you are in fact guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of your plea.

Now I will describe certain right that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you enter a guilty plea. Please listen carefully. If you do not understand something that I am saying or describing, stop me and I or your attorney will explain it more fully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you that are in the Information. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent at a trial. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of twelve people selected from this district would have to agree unanimously in order to find you guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, and at all stages leading up to it, you would have the right to be represented by an attorney, and if you could not afford one, an attorney would be provided to you free of cost. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your attorney could cross-examine them. You would also have the right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and offer evidence on your behalf, if you so desired. In addition, you would have the right to have witnesses required to come to court to testify in your defense. And you would have the right to testify yourself but you would not be required to testify.

Do you understand all of that?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

13 lcjdpitp

PLEA

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference could be drawn against you based on your decision not to testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of the rights that I've asked you about?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a guilty plea today, you will be giving up each and every one of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be giving up any possible claim that your constitutional rights may have been violated?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if you plead SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

14 1cjdpitp 1 guilty you will have no trial? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading quilty 4 you will also have to give up your right not to incriminate 5 yourself, because I will ask you questions about what you did 6 in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as charged and 7 you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your 10 mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty? You do 11 not have to enter this plea if you do not want to for any 12 reason. Do you understand this fully? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 16 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count One of the 17 Superseding Information charges you participating in a conspiracy to, one, obstruct or impede the lawful government 18 19 functions of the Internal Revenue Service in the ascertainment, 20 assessment, computation and collection of income taxes; two, 21 falsified books and records of a broker-dealer; three, 2.2 falsified books and records of an investment advisor; and, four, make false filings with the United States Securities and 23 24 Exchange Commission, all in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 371? 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you u

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Two of the Superseding Information charges you with falsifying books and records of a broker-dealer, in violation of Title 15 of the United States Code, Section 78qa and 78ff; Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.17a-3, and Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Three of the Superseding Information charges you with falsifying books and records of an investment advisor, in violation of Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17; Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 275.204-2, and Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Four of the Superseding Information charges you making false filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in violation of Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections 78q and 78ff; Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 240.17a-5, 240.17a-13, and 210.2-01; as well as Title 18 of the United States Code Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the government would have to prove each and every part, or element, of each of SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

these charges beyond a reasonable doubt at a trial if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Baroni or Mr. Moore, would you please state for the record the elements that the government would have to prove if we were to go to trial on these charges?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.

With respect to Count One, the conspiracy count, the government would have to prove the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the conspiracy charged in the Information existed, in other words, that there was in fact an agreement or understanding to either violate the laws of the United States or to defraud the United States; second, that the defendant knowingly, willingly and voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy; third, that any one of the conspirators, not necessarily the defendant, knowingly committed at least one overt act in the Southern District of New York in furtherance of the conspiracy and during the life of the conspiracy.

With respect to Count Two, falsifying books and records of a broker-dealer, in order to prove this crime the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements: First, that at the time of the alleged offense Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities was a registered broker-dealer; second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

and regulations; third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS's failure to make and keep accurate records; and, fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

With respect to Count Three, falsifying books and records of an investment advisor, the government would have to prove, first, that at the time of the alleged offense BLMIS was an investment advisor; second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and regulations; third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS's failure to make and keep accurate records; fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully; and, fifth, that the offense involved the use of mails and the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce.

And, finally, with respect to Count Four, making false filings with the SEC, the government would have to prove, first, that the defendant was required to file an application, report or document with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations thereunder; second --

THE COURT: The defendant personally or BLMIS?

MS. BARONI: The defendant or the BLMIS, actually, your Honor.

That the application or report or document filed with the SEC contained false or misleading statements; third, that the false or misleading statements were material; and, fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, do you understand the matters that the government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count One is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Two is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

## PLEA

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Three is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Four is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible combined penalty for the four crimes with which you are charged is 50 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of -- plus a fine of \$10,500,000 or, if greater, the sums of the relevant gains, losses and statutory amounts SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

## PLEA

relating to your offenses, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus \$400 total mandatory special assessment, plus supervised release for three years after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I will now give you some information and verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of the potential penalty.

Supervised release means that you will be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison. Terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set terms and conditions you can be reimprisoned without a jury trial.

If you are on supervised release and you do not comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be returned to prison for the remainder of the term of supervised release, you will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of your sentence, and no credit for any time spent on post-release supervision.

So, for example, if you received a prison term and then a three-year term of supervised release and after you left prison you lived up to the terms of supervised release for two years but then you violated some term of the supervised release, you could be returned to prison for three full years.

Do you understand that?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

21 lcjdpitp

PLEA

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Do you a

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil rights such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that there are Sentencing Guidelines that the Court must consider in determining your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Has your attorney discussed the Sentencing Guidelines with you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining your sentence, the Court must calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines' range and consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 3553(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea and you will not be allowed to withdraw your guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be imposed will be determined solely by the Court, and that I can only determine the sentence to be imposed after the Probation Department prepares a presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has discretion, while taking into account the specific provisions and policy statements in the guidelines, to sentence you to any number of years of imprisonment between zero and the combined statutory maximums of 50 years?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal sentence, or are you being prosecuted for any other crime?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Superseding Information also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States all property, real and personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of the offenses charged in Counts One and Two of the Information SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

23 1cjdpitp PLEA including, but not limited to, a sum of money equal to 1 2 \$97.3 billion, representing the amount of proceeds obtained as 3 a result of those offenses? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Treanor, would you please show 6 Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz Government Exhibit 1, the agreement. 7 Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, have you signed this agreement? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys 12 before you signed it? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Did you fully understand the agreement 15 before you signed it? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Does the agreement reflect accurately your 18 complete and total understanding of the entire agreement 19 between the government, your attorney and you? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about 2.2 your plea, cooperation and sentence covered in this agreement? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Has anything been left out? 25 THE DEFENDANT: No.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

PLEA

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you other than what's set forth in that agreement, or given you anything or threatened you or forced you to plead guilty or to enter into the cooperation agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the government does not oppose or take a position on what your attorney will ask as a sentence, I am free to impose whatever sentence I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and the applicable law and you will have no right to withdraw your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that you must cooperate fully with the Office of the United States Attorney, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the United States Department of Labor, the Internal Revenue Service, and any other law enforcement agency designated by the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement does not bind any federal, state or local prosecuting authority other than the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that if the United States Attorney determines that you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

have provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution, and if you have fully complied with the understandings specified in the agreement, the United States Attorney will file a motion pursuant to Section 5K1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines requesting that the court sentence you in light of the factors set forth in that section?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the factors that the Court may consider under Section 5K1.1 include the significance and usefulness of your assistance, the truthfulness, completeness and reliability of any information or testimony you provide, the nature and extent of your assistance, any injuries suffered or any danger or risk of injury to you or your family as a result of your assistance, and the timeliness of your assistance?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the United States Attorney files such a motion, the sentence to be imposed on you remains within the sole discretion of the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your plea even if the Court denies the motion?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if the United SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

2.2

## PLEA

States Attorney determines that you have not provided substantial assistance, or that you violated any provision of the agreement, the United States Attorney is not obligated to file a motion under Section 5K1.1?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your guilty plea even if the United States Attorney does not file a motion?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes or if it is determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading testimony or information, or that you otherwise violated any provision of the agreement, you will be subject to prosecution for any federal violations of which the United States Attorney has knowledge, including perjury and obstruction of justice?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that your agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes, or if it is determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading testimony or information, or otherwise violated any provision of the agreement, all statements made by you to the United States Attorney or other designated law enforcement agents and any testimony you have given before a grand jury or other tribunal may be admissible in evidence in any criminal SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

24

25

PLEA

1 proceedings against you? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement also 4 provides that you may not assert a claim that such statements 5 should be suppressed from evidence and that you have waived 6 your right to claim that such statements should be suppressed 7 from evidence? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 2, your 10 agreement, which is Government Exhibit 1, provides that you are 11 admitting the forfeiture allegation in the Information and that 12 you are agreeing to forfeit to the United States all property, 13 real and personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds 14 traceable to the commission of those offenses, including, but 15 not limited to, a sum of money equal to \$97.3 billion, 16 representing the amount of proceeds obtained as a result of the 17 offenses charged in Counts One and Two of the Information? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are not 20 obligated to admit the forfeiture obligation? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2.2 THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 5 -- just 23 one moment.

(Pause)

Sorry for the delay. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

28 1cjdpitp

PLEA

Ms. Baroni, is there a factual basis or other reason 1 for my specifically querying the immigration-related agreement 2 3 that is on page 5? 4

MS. BARONI: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, do you understand that any amount that you do forfeit will not be credited toward any fines, restitution, costs of imprisonment, or any other additional penalty that the Court may impose on you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty pursuant to this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Messrs. Treanor and Rody, does either of you know of any valid reason why Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz would prevail at trial?

MR. TREANOR: No, your Honor.

MR. RODY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does either of you know of any reason why she should not be permitted to plead guilty?

MR. TREANOR: No, your Honor.

MR. RODY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, would you please stand now and tell me what you did that makes you guilty of the crimes to which you are pleading guilty.

> Your counsel may stand with you, if that will make you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

1 more comfortable.

THE DEFENDANT: I have a statement here.

I am here to plead guilty to the counts in the Information filed against me and to accept responsibility for what I have done.

From approximately 1999 through December 2008, while working for Bernard Madoff, I made accounting entries in the books and records of his business that I knew were false and inaccurate, and I filed document with regulatory authorities and others that I knew repeated these falsehoods and inaccuracies. I now know that these acts helped Bernard Madoff and others perpetuate a fraud that harmed thousands of people, and I am terribly sorry that I contributed to the harm done to so many.

I would like to explain my role further.

I began working at Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, LLC, which I will refer to as "BLMIS," in 1978 as a part-time employee while I was still in college. For the next 30 years, I continued to work at BLMIS primarily performing functions in the operations department associated with BLMIS's Proprietary Trading and Market Making businesses.

During the entire time I worked at BLMIS, I reported to Daniel Bonventre, who was the director of operations at the firm  ${}^{\prime}$ 

In late 1998, I was promoted to comptroller of BLMIS. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

2.2

## PLEA

In that capacity, I assisted Bonventre in maintaining the books and records of BLMIS, which included the General Ledger and stock records. I also regularly prepared the Financial and Operational Combined Uniform Single Reports, also know as "FOCUS Reports," that were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, I occasionally assisted Annette Bongiorno, Joann Crupi and others in maintaining books and records relating to Investment Advisory accounts and signing redemption checks issued to investors.

During the period when I was comptroller of BLMIS, I agreed with and worked together with other BLMIS employees to violate the laws of the United States, and I took a number of actions over the years at BLMIS's offices in Manhattan that constituted violations of U.S. laws. I did so knowingly and willfully, and knew that what I was doing was wrong and unlawful.

 $\,$  My conduct included a number of acts that I would like to describe.

From about 1999 through December 2008, I worked with others to make false entries in the books and records of BLMIS and to cause the filing of false documents with the SEC. As a registered broker-dealer and as an investment advisor, BLMIS was required to make and keep accurate books and records under the SEC's rules. At the direction of Madoff, Bonventre and others, I caused inaccurate ledgers and other books and records SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

to be created and kept by BLMIS, including inaccurate general ledgers and stock records. I then transferred the same inaccurate record entries into FOCUS Reports and annual financial statements that I knew would be sent to the SEC.

The false documents were prepared at the BLMIS offices in Manhattan, and some of these documents were submitted through the U.S. Mail.

 $\label{eq:while undertaking these actions, I knew that what I was doing was wrong.$ 

The following are a few examples of the types of false records I created and maintained.

From about 1999 through December 2008, I made false and inaccurate entries in the books and records of BLMIS relating to transfers of funds from BLMIS's Investment Advisory business. At various times, I believed these transfers to be the interest or commissions from securities trading in the personal accounts of Bernard Madoff or the accounts of customers of the Investment Advisory business. Nevertheless, at the direction of Madoff, Bonventre and others, I booked these transfers improperly to the accounts of BLMIS's Proprietary Trading and Market Making businesses, and recorded these false entries in BLMIS's Trading Ledgers, General Ledgers, and other supporting books and records of BLMIS's Proprietary Trading and Market Making businesses.

In addition, at the direction of Bonventre and others, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

## PLEA

I booked the transfers of funds at times into specific securities or trading positions and accounts that were part of the firm's Proprietary Trading and Market Making businesses. I knew that the transfers bore no relation to these securities or positions, and that the funds did not result from trading in these securities through the firm's Proprietary Trading and Market Making businesses and, therefore, that my entries were false. I understood that my entries falsely inflated the revenue, increased the profits, and hid the losses of the Proprietary Trading and Market Making businesses and at the same time did not accurately report the financial condition of BLMIS as a whole.

In addition, in 2005, the SEC conducted an audit of BLMIS's businesses. At Madoff's direction and in response to this audit, I, together with others, created false books and records to be shown to the auditors.

For example, the SEC requested a list and description of all BLMIS trading accounts as well as a report reflecting the monthly profit and loss for each of the trading accounts for a three-month period in 2005. In response, I, together with Bonventre, O'Hara and others, created several false trading account reports that were given to the SEC. In those reports, among other things, we intentionally omitted an account affiliated with the Investment Advisory business.

I knew that my actions in creating these false reports SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

and omitting this account were wrong and would have the effect of misleading the SEC and concealing the account from the SEC.

Separately, I assisted Madoff and other BLMIS employees in defrauding the United States by preventing the Internal Revenue Service from collecting the proper amount of income taxes from Madoff. I did this in 2007, when the IRS audited Madoff's 2004 and 2005 federal tax returns, as well as on other occasions.

In 2007, at the direction of Madoff and his accountant, David Friehling, I, together with Bonventre, O'Hara and others, created a false backdated General Ledger and Trading Ledger and created false reports derived from the Trading Ledger in order to support Madoff's false tax returns. In addition, I, together with Bonventre and others, fabricated backdated positions in certain stocks in a backdated trading ledger. I knew that the backdated stock positions were not real and that the false General Ledger and Trading Ledger were being created in order to deceive IRS tax auditors in connection with Madoff's tax returns.

The altered backdated documents that I helped to create were shown to an IRS auditor.

I believe that the actions I have described above make me guilty of the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the Information, and I plead guilty to those charges because I am responsible for committing those crimes.

1cjdpitp

PLEA

1 Although I now know that the crimes I committed helped 2 to cover up and perpetuate Bernard Madoff's fraudulent Ponzi 3 scheme, at the time I committed these crimes I did not know that Madoff and others were stealing investors' money instead 5 of actually investing the money through securities trading. 6 Nonetheless, the consequences of my actions are clear to me 7 now, and for that I am, again, terribly sorry. 8 I would like to apologize to the victims of the fraud, 9 and I would like the Court and the public to know that I am 10 cooperating fully with the government in this matter. 11 Thank you, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Now, I notice that you were reading from notes as you 14 made that factual recitation. Do those notes reflect 15 accurately and truthfully your own actions and knowledge as of 16 the time of the relevant events? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they do. 18 THE COURT: And to confirm, you knew at the time that 19 you made these false records and false submissions that you 20 have described that the information in them was false? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: And you nonetheless made them and 23 submitted them willfully? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25

THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, does the government wish SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

anything further as a factual matter to be addressed in the plea allocution?

MS. BARONI: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Baroni, would you please summarize the government's evidence against Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz.

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.

If this case had proceeded to trial, the government would have proven, through witness testimony, documents and other evidence, beyond a reasonable doubt all the facts set forth in the Superseding Information.

Specifically, the government would have proven that Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz was employed at BLMIS from 1978 until at least December 2008; that beginning in 1998 she became a comptroller.

The evidence would show that Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, along with Daniel Bonventre, Annette Bongiorno, Joann Crupi, Jerome O'Hara, George Perez, Eric Lipkin, David Kugel and Frank DiPascali, and other co-conspirators, engaged in a scheme to create many false and misleading entries in the books and records of BLMIS that lasted for decades.

Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, the evidence would show, worked under the supervision of Mr. Bonventre, and that she engaged in an accounting fraud that covered up Mr. Madoff's Ponzi scheme.

The evidence would prove that they took proceeds -- SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp

PLEA

she, Mr. Bonventre and others took proceeds from the Ponzi scheme, which was a fraud run through the Investment Advisory business, and made it appear that the money belonged in other parts of Madoff's businesses. This allowed Madoff to avoid scrutiny by hiding the fact that the money came from the fraudulent IA business, and it also allowed Madoff to prop up BLMIS's market making and prop trading businesses that were losing money and that served as a front for the Ponzi scheme.

The government would also prove, if this case had gone to trial, that Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, Mr. Bonventre and others made similar false and misleading statements in reports that were required to be filed with the SEC.

In addition, the government would prove that Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, along with Daniel Bonventre, Jerome O'Hara, George Perez, Joann Crupi, Eric Lipkin, Frank DiPascali and other co-conspirators, created false and fraudulent documents for the purpose of deceiving the Securities and Exchange Commission. For example, the government would prove that in connection with an audit of BLMIS conducted by the SEC in 2005, Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, Bonventre, O'Hara and others created numerous false and altered documents that were given to the SEC relating to BLMIS's trading accounts, bank accounts, and relating to its profitability and loss.

Further, and separately, the government would also prove that Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, Daniel Bonventre, Jerome O'Hara SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

PLEA

and Madoff's accountant David Friehling, along with other 1 co-conspirators, created false and fraudulent documents in 3 connection with tax audits of Bernard L. Madoff. For example, in 2004, when New York State Department of Taxation and Finance 5 conducted an audit of Madoff, Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, Bonventre, 6 O'Hara, Friehling and others covered up the fact that 7 Mr. Madoff had underreported BLMIS's profits by tens of 8 millions of dollars in each of those years and in that cover-up 9 Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, Bonventre, O'Hara and others created fake 10 general ledgers and stock records and other documents to 11 deceive the tax auditors. 12 The government would further prove that 13 Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz and her co-conspirators did the same in 14 connection with a 2007 audit conducted by the Internal Revenue 15 Service. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, would you please stand again. How do you now plead to the charge against you in 18 19 Count One of the Fifth Superseding Information, not guilty or 20 quilty? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 2.2 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 23 you in Count Two of the Fifth Superseding Information, not 24 quilty or quilty? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

1cjdpitp

PLEA

THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against you in Count Three of the Fifth Superseding Information, not guilty or guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: And how do you now plead to the charge against you in Count Four of the Fifth Superseding Information, not guilty or guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty to each of these charges because you are in fact guilty of the illegal conduct charged?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of your own free will?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Treanor, would you please show

Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz Court Exhibit 1, the advice of rights form.

Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, have you signed this form?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney

23 before you signed it?

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

39 1cjdpitp PLEA 1 signed it? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Treanor, did you also review and sign 4 Court Exhibit 1? 5 MR. TREANOR: Yes, I did, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Treanor, are there any other questions 7 that you believe I should ask Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz in connection 8 with this plea? 9 MR. TREANOR: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, are there any other questions 11 that you believe I should ask Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz in connection 12 with this plea? 13 MS. BARONI: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, you have acknowledged 15 that you are guilty as charged in the Information. I find that 16 you know your rights and that you are waiving them voluntarily. 17 Because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily 18 and is supported by an independent basis in fact containing 19 each of the essential elements of each of the offenses, I 20 accept your guilty plea, and I adjudge you guilty of the 21 offenses charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the 22 Fifth Superseding Information. 23 Mr. Treanor, do you wish to be present for any 24 interview of Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz in connection with the 25 preparation of the presentence report? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

40 lcjdpitp

PLEA

1 MR. TREANOR: Yes, your Honor.
2 THE COURT: I will make that direction.
3 Counsel, what is the desire of the parties with
4 respect to setting a sentencing date?
5 MS. BARONI: Your Honor, the government would as

MS. BARONI: Your Honor, the government would ask that your Honor set a sentencing control date for approximately six months into the future and that we would not ask that a presentence report be prepared at this time.

THE COURT: And you will inform the Court whether that date would need to be extended further or, alternatively, when it is an appropriate time to have the presentence report prepared?

MS. BARONI: We will.
THE COURT: Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Ms. Ng, may I have a control date six months out? THE CLERK: Friday, June 22, 2012, at 11 a.m.

THE COURT: The sentencing control date is set for

June 22, 2012, at 11 in the morning.

Counsel, when it is time for preparation for sentencing, please make sure that you make and file your submissions in accordance with the sentencing submission policy that I have posted on the court's website.

Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, at some point in the future the Probation Office will be preparing a presentence report to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

PLEA

Probation Office. It is important that the information that you give to the probation officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in my decision as to what your sentence will be. You and your attorneys have a right and will have an opportunity to examine the report, to challenge or comment on it, and to speak on your behalf before sentencing.

Failing to be truthful with the Probation Office and the Court may have an adverse effect on your sentence and may subject you to prosecution.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Is there an application with respect to bail pending sentencing?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. The parties have a proposal for your Honor's consideration with respect to a bail package.

It would be a \$2.5 million personal recognizance bond to be secured by eight financially-responsible people and to be also secured by at least \$800,000 in cash or property. None of the cash or property could come from proceeds of the fraud or from any forfeitable funds.

Further, the proposal would subject the defendant to strict pretrial supervision. Her travel would be restricted to the Southern and Eastern District of New York. She would SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

2.2

## PLEA

surrender all travel documents and would not make any new travel applications.

Further, Judge, the government has interviewed eight of the defendant's proposed suriters already, and we are satisfied with respect to their qualifications. We think that they are financially responsible. They are very close family members and friends of the defendant, and we believe that they would have moral suasion over her.

Further, your Honor, the defendant has been working with the U.S. Attorney's Office and the FBI as well as the IRS for quite a long time now. She has met every appointment. She has been completely reliable, and the government believes that she has an overwhelming incentive to continue to cooperate and will not flee.

Further, as part of the cooperation process, she has filled out financial affidavits and submitted them to our office detailing all of her assets, the amounts and the location of those assets. She has also entered into a voluntary restraint agreement with the government relating to her assets.

And in light, your Honor, of her cooperation, which, as I said, has been ongoing for a long time, her full disclosure of her conduct while she was an employee at BLMIS, as well as full disclosure of her financial situation and all of her assets, the government believes that she will be SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

PLEA

compliant with the proposed bail package and with any rules set 1 2 forth by Pretrial Services. 3 THE COURT: Does the government believe, on the basis 4 of its factual investigation, that the evidence is clear and 5 convincing that Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz will not pose a risk of 6 flight or danger to the community? 7 MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And has the government investigated the 9 proposed sources of the security? 10 MS. BARONI: Yes, we have. 11 THE COURT: And is the government satisfied that the 12 sources are indeed unrelated to the particular forfeitable 13 funds? 14 MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. They are not related to 15 BLMIS in any way. 16 THE COURT: And what would be the government's 17 proposal as to the deadline for satisfaction of these 18 conditions? 19 MS. BARONI: Given the upcoming holidays, your Honor, 20 we would be amenable to ten days to post the property and for 21 the cosigners to sign the bond. 2.2 THE COURT: And so today being the 19th, that would be 23 the 29th of December? 24 MR. TREANOR: Your Honor, if we could ask for 25 January 3rd, just to get us past the holidays. It is a

1cjdpitp

2.2

PLEA

difficult time to get people focused on this. The courthouses aren't open all of the days between now an then. And so we have a number of cosigners ready to go, and we expect that that will be a pretty quick process getting the signatures. It is the property that may take a little bit longer. So we would ask for that additional time.

MS. BARONI: We have no objection to that, your Honor. THE COURT: I will allow until January 3rd for satisfaction of the conditions.

And having reviewed the Pretrial Services' report and considered carefully the government's proffers regarding the proposed terms and its investigations in relation to those proposed terms, I find that they are sufficient and compliant with the relevant legal standards regarding bail pending sentencing, and I will grant release on those conditions, with the requirement that they be fully satisfied by why don't I call it 4 in the afternoon on January 3rd.

And I am going to now prepare a bail disposition sheet and I will show it to everyone to -- I'll show it to counsel to confirm that I have all of the conditions written properly.

(Pause)

Ms. Ng, would you hand a copy to each table and one to the court reporter, please.

The draft that I have handed out summarizes the bail conditions as follows: \$2.5 million PRB with 8 FRPs and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1cjdpitp

PLEA

\$800,000 cash or property security (such security must be unrelated to fraud proceeds and unrelated to forfeitable funds); strict pretrial supervision; travel restricted to S.D.N.Y./E.D.N.Y.; surrender travel documents and no new applications. All conditions must be satisfied by 4 p.m. on January 3, 2012.

Does that accurately cover the agreed conditions? MS. BARONI: Yes, it does, your Honor.

If your Honor could add that she could be released today on a certain subset of cosigner signatures, either on her own signature or on a couple of other signatures, depending on how many people are here to sign?

THE COURT: How many do we have to sign?

MR. TREANOR: We have two here. I believe we have a third that will be here. We may have as many as five today. Of course, we have Ms. Pitz also.

THE COURT: I will permit release today on the signature of Ms. Pitz and three financially-responsible persons.

MR. TREANOR: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will add that.

So I've added a sentence at the end that says: "Defendant may be released today on own signature and those of three FRPs."

So I will save and print those.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

46 1cjdpitp PLEA 1 How many copies to we need, Ms. Ng? 2 THE CLERK: Five. 3 (Pause) 4 THE COURT: Ms. Cotellessa-Pitz, did you hear the bail 5 conditions as I have outlined them? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand them? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are legally 10 obligated to comply with these conditions, and that the 11 consequences of noncompliance can be severe? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you fail to 14 comply with the conditions, you are subject to remand and 15 potentially subject to further penalty? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you do not 18 return to my courtroom on the time and date that is ultimately set for sentencing, that you will be guilty of a crime 19 20 separate, apart from -- separate and apart from the crimes to 21 which you have just pled guilty? 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And do you understand that under such 24 circumstances, you will be subject to penalties separate, apart 25 from, and over and above any that may be imposed in connection

47 1cjdpitp PLEA 1 with the crimes to which you have pled guilty? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Then I will fully expect you to comply 4 with these conditions and to appear as scheduled for 5 sentencing. 6 I will now sign the bail disposition sheet. 7 (Pause) 8 Is there a consent forfeiture order at this time, or 9 is that something the parties contemplate to prepare later? 10 MS. BARONI: We will prepare it later, your Honor, 11 prior to sentencing. 12 THE COURT: All right. I will enter my usual order 13 regarding the preparation of a preliminary order of forfeiture. 14 Is there anything else that we need to take up 15 together this afternoon? 16 MS. BARONI: Not from the government. Thank you, your 17 Honor. 18 MR. TREANOR: No, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Ms. Baroni, Mr. Moore, you will shepherd the process 21 through the Magistrate Clerk's office in relation to the 22 signing of the necessary documents? 23 MS. BARONI: Yes, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 25 MR. MOORE: Thank you very much. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1cjdpitp PLEA THE COURT: I wish you all a safe and healthy holidays. Thank you all for being here today. We are adjourned. MR. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor. You, too. THE CLERK: All rise.